

**THE CITY OF TORONTO**

**Clerk's Department**

**Minutes of the Urban Environment and Development Committee**

**Monday, March 23, 1998, and Tuesday, March 24, 1998.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee met on Monday, March 23, 1998, in Committee Room A, 2nd Floor, Metro Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:35 a.m.

Members Present:

Councillor Joe Pantalone, Chair  
Councillor Frank Faubert  
Councillor Irene Jones  
Councillor Blake F. Kinahan  
Councillor Peter Li Preti  
Councillor Pam McConnell  
Councillor Ron Moeser  
Councillor Howard Moscoe  
Councillor Mario Silva  
Councillor Mike Tzekas

Regrets:

Councillor Judy Sgro  
Mayor Mel Lastman

Also Present:

Councillor Robert Davis  
Councillor Mario Giansante  
Councillor Doug Holyday  
Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski

Councillor Pantalone in the Chair.

Confirmation of Minutes.

**46. Appointments to the Board of Management for**

**Business Improvement Areas and Amendments to  
the (Former Toronto) Municipal Code Chapter 20,  
Business Improvement Areas - Various Wards.**

The Committee had before it a report (March 6, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Economic Development recommending that:

- (1) in accordance with the elections held at the Business Improvement Area Annual General Meetings in the former City of Toronto, amendments be made to Schedule A Individual Boards of Management, of the (former Toronto) Municipal Code Chapter 20, Business Improvement Areas, as set out in the attached Schedule A. These changes are specific to Number of Members and Members Needed for Quorum and are highlighted by "Changes From and To";
- (2) Council appoint the nominees listed in Appendix 1 of this report to the Boards of Management for Corso Italia, Little Italy, Pape Village, Parkdale Village and Weston Business Improvement Areas. The term of office is to expire on November 30, 2000, or as soon thereafter as successors are appointed. Each of the named nominees meets the requirements of Section 220 of the Municipal Act, as amended by Bill 106; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by, the Committee recommends the adoption of the aforementioned report from the Interim Functional Lead, Economic Development, subject to amending Recommendation No. (2) by adding the words "Kennedy Road" before the words "and Weston"; so that such Recommendation shall now read as follows;

- "(2) Council appoint the nominees listed in Appendix 1 of this report to the Boards of Management for Corso Italia, Little Italy, Pape Village, Parkdale Village, Kennedy Road and Weston Business Improvement Areas. The term of office is to expire on November 30, 2000, or as soon thereafter as successors are appointed. Each of the named nominees meets the requirements of Section 220 of the Municipal Act, as amended by Bill 106; and":

**(Clause No. 6 - Report No. 4)**

**47. Renewal of Agreement to Permit Molson Indy Race  
at Exhibition Place for 1998, 1999 and 2000.**

**(RE-OPENED)**

**(Also refer to Minute No. 62)**

The Committee on March 23 and 24, 1998, had before it a joint report (March 4, 1998) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, recommending that:

- (1) an Agreement between the City of Toronto, the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place, Molson Breweries and Molstar Sports and Entertainment be authorized to permit the Molson Indy Race to be held in 1998, 1999 and 2000, in a form and content satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Treasurer, and on substantially the same terms and conditions as the previous Agreement executed by the parties;
- (2) the approval of the Agreement set out in Recommendation No. (1) above be subject to the renewal of an Agreement between the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place, Molson Breweries and Molstar Sports and Entertainment for the 1998, 1999 and 2000 Molson Indy races;
- (3) should Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2) above be approved, such approval be subject to the condition that Molson Breweries and Molstar Sports and Entertainment obtain the necessary City of Toronto approvals each year prior to staging the race;
- (4) this report be forwarded to the Toronto Community Council for its meeting on April 1, 1998, for information; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

The Committee also had before it a communication (January 30, 1998) addressed to Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park, from Mr. James H. Tario, Senior Manager, Operations, Molstar Sports and Entertainment, requesting that the City of Toronto ratify a three-year Agreement with Molstar Sports and Entertainment for the years 1998, 1999 and 2000.

Councillor Moscoe declared his interest in the foregoing matter in that his "soon-in-law" has a catering contract with the Molson Indy.

**(Matter re-opened for further discussion - also refer to Minute No. 62)**

**48. Operation of the 1998 Molson Indy Race  
at Exhibition Place.**

**(RE-OPENED)**

**(Also refer to Minute No. 63)**

The Committee on March 23 and 24, 1998, had before it a joint report (March 4, 1998) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, recommending that:

- (1) the Agreement recommended to be entered into with Molstar Sports and Entertainment (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Molson Breweries of Canada Limited) in a form satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the City Solicitor and the City Treasurer contain, among others, the following terms and conditions with respect to the partial and total road closures of Lake Shore Boulevard West, from Strachan Avenue to British Columbia Road, between July 17 to 19, 1998, inclusive:
  - (a) Molstar Sports and Entertainment shall submit a policy of public liability insurance as primary insurance in the amount of not less than \$15,000,000.00 (Fifteen Million Dollars), naming each of the City of Toronto, the Toronto Chief of Police, the Toronto Police Services Board, the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place, the Canadian National Exhibition Association, and any Agency, Board, Commission or Body having utilities or services on or in Lake Shore Boulevard West, as additional insureds (hereinafter referred to collectively as the "additional insureds") in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the City Treasurer;
  - (b) Molstar Sports and Entertainment shall submit proof satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the City Treasurer, that Molstar Sports and Entertainment and the Additional Insureds are covered for an additional \$50,000,000.00 (Fifty Million Dollars) under Molson's corporate excess insurance programme;
  - (c) Molstar Sports and Entertainment shall agree to indemnify and save harmless each of the Additional Insureds from all claims, suits, or demands arising out of the event in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, including claims arising out of negligence of the City of Toronto, its agents and employees;
  - (d) Molstar Sports and Entertainment shall agree to pay the cost of providing all barriers, delineators, signs, pavement markings, portable flashers and other traffic control measures, including pay-duty officers, deemed necessary by the Toronto Commissioner of Transportation and the Chief of Police for the control of traffic on Lake Shore Boulevard West, all restoration costs attributable to the event(s), and the cost of advertising and registering any by-laws;

- (e) Molstar Sports and Entertainment will obtain from each participant a Release, Waiver and Indemnity from any and all claims resulting from participation in the event(s) in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor; and
  - (f) Molstar Sports and Entertainment will obtain a written waiver from all persons whose access would be affected by the staging of this event(s);
- (2) subject to the execution by Molstar Sports and Entertainment of the aforesaid agreement, authority be granted to totally occupy or close Lake Shore Boulevard West, between Strachan Avenue and Ontario Drive, from 1:00 a.m. Friday, July 17, 1998, to 11:59 p.m. Sunday, July 19, 1998, except for emergency vehicles and Transportation Department vehicles. (Access and egress will be maintained to Ontario Place and local Lake Shore Boulevard West traffic by an alternate route via Remembrance Drive, a parallel road on the south edge of Lake Shore Boulevard West);
  - (3) stopping be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. from July 17, 1998, to July 19, 1998, inclusive, on both sides of Fleet Street from Bathurst to Strachan Avenue, and on both sides of Strachan Avenue, from Lake Shore Boulevard West to King Street West;
  - (4) this report be forwarded to the Toronto Community Council for its meeting on April 1, 1998, for information; and
  - (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

Councillor Moscoe declared his interest in the foregoing matter in that his "soon-in-law" has a catering contract with the Molson Indy.

**(Matter re-opened for further discussion - also refer to Minute No. 63)**

**49. Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals:  
Morningside Avenue and Finch Avenue East/Old Finch Avenue.**

The Committee had before it a report (March 3, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be approved at the intersection of Morningside Avenue and Finch Avenue East/Old Finch Avenue;

- (2) coincident with the traffic control signal installation, the easterly northbound lane on Morningside Avenue at Finch Avenue East/Old Finch Avenue be designated for right-turning vehicles only, buses excepted;
- (3) installation be subject to the approval of the 1998 Capital Works Program and the securing of appropriate financing; and
- (4) the appropriate by-law(s) be amended accordingly;

advising that the funds associated with new traffic signal installations are contained in the Transportation Department's proposed Capital Works Program under Project No. C-TR031; and that the estimated cost of installing traffic control signals at the aforementioned location is \$90,000.00.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

**(Clause No. 14 - Report No. 4)**

**50. Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals:  
 Sewells Road and Brenyon Way.**

The Committee had before it a report (February 13, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be approved at the intersection of Sewells Road and Brenyon Way;
- (2) coincident with the traffic control signal installation, the existing pedestrian crossover at Sewells Road and Brenyon Way be removed;
- (3) installation be subject to the approval of the 1998 Capital Works Program and the securing of appropriate financing; and
- (4) the appropriate by-law(s) be amended accordingly;

advising that the funds associated with new traffic signal installations are contained in the Transportation Department's proposed Capital Works Program under Project No. C-TR031; and that the estimated cost of installing traffic control signals at the aforementioned location is \$98,000.00 including the removal of the existing pedestrian crossover.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

**(Clause No. 15 - Report No. 4)**

**51. Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals:  
Tapscott Road and Newgale Gate.**

The Committee had before a report (February 13, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be approved at the intersection of Tapscott Road at Newgale Gate; and
- (2) installation be subject to the approval of the 1998 Capital Works Program and the securing of appropriate financing;

advising that the funds associated with new traffic signal installations are contained in the Transportation Department's proposed Capital Works Program under Project No. C-TR031; and that the estimated cost of installing traffic control signals at the aforementioned location is \$85,000.00.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

**(Clause No. 16 - Report No. 4)**

**52. Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals:  
Doris Avenue at Empress Avenue.**

The Committee had before it a report (January 28, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be approved at the intersection of Doris Avenue and Empress Avenue;
- (2) coincident with traffic control signal installation at Doris Avenue and Empress Avenue, the existing pedestrian crossover at Doris Avenue and Princess Avenue be removed;

- (3) installation and removal be subject to the approval of the 1998 Capital Works Program and the securing of appropriate financing; and
- (4) the appropriate by-law(s) be amended accordingly;

advising that the funds associated with new traffic signal installations are contained in the Transportation Department's proposed Capital Works Program under Project No. C-TR031; and that the estimated cost of installing traffic control signals at the aforementioned location is \$66,000.00 including the removal of the existing pedestrian crossover.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) requested the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, to submit an up-to-date map directly to Council for consideration with this matter on April 16, 1998.

(Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Copy to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Mr. Bruce Zvaniga, Transportation Department; Ms. Madeline Brown, Interim Contact, Council - March 30, 1998)

**(Clause No. 17 - Report No. 4)**

**53. Removal of Trees from the Bayview Avenue Right-of-Way Between Sheppard Avenue East and Mallingham Court.**

The Committee had before it a report (February 20, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, recommending that authority be granted for the removal of six trees from the Bayview Avenue right-of-way between Sheppard Avenue East and Mallingham Court; advising that the removal of the trees is required to facilitate the construction of the Sheppard Subway Bayview Station; and that the costs associated with this work will be the responsibility of the Toronto Transit Commission.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned matter until its next meeting, scheduled to be held on April 20, 1998.

(Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Copy to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Commissioner of Works and



Emergency Services; Mr. David Butler, Manager, Sheppard Subway Traffic Operations, Transportation Department, Metro Hall - March 24, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(a) - Report No. 4)**

**54. 1998 Cycling Ambassador Program City-Wide.**

The Committee had before it a report (March 6, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that:

- (1) the Urban Environment and Development Committee endorse the 1998 Cycling Ambassadors Program as described in this report, and that the outside funding for the program be administered through Urban Planning and Development Services; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services co-ordinate with the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Community and Neighbourhood Services the allocation of funds within their budgets, in order to achieve a minimum City contribution of \$40,000.00 towards this program.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Committee concurred with the recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report.

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Copy to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services; Interim Functional Lead, Planning; Metropolitan Cycling and Pedestrian Committee - March 30, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(b) - Report No. 4)**

**55. High Priority Items Being Considered By  
 Transportation Staff on Eglinton Avenue West,  
 Between Dufferin Street and the W.R. Allen Road.**

The Committee had before before it a report (February 25, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation responding to a request made by the Committee on January 12, 1998, for a list of high priority items being considered on Eglinton Avenue West, between Dufferin Street and the W.R. Allen Road; advising that Transportation staff are currently considering the following priority items:

- (1) a traffic management plan for the former City of York Ward 2;

- (2) the proposed installation of a westbound left-turn lane at Eglinton Avenue West and Dufferin Street; and
- (3) a modification to the pedestrian hand-rail at Eglinton Avenue West and Oakwood Avenue;

and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee directed that:

- (1) the aforementioned report be received; and
- (2) a copy thereof be forwarded to the North York Community Council and the York Community Council for information.

(Sent to: North York Community Council; York Community Council; Copy to: Commissioner, Planning and Urban Development Services; Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services; Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Ms. Jacqueline White, Transportation Department - March 25, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(c) - Report No. 4)**

**56. Toronto Transit Commission: Clean Air Buses in Etobicoke.**

The Committee had before it a communication (February 26, 1998) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, advising that the Toronto Transit Commission (Commission) on February 25, 1998, considered report No. (20), entitled "Clean Air Buses In Etobicoke", which responds to a request by the Metro Environment and Public Space Committee in November 1997 for the Chief General Manager of the Toronto Transit Commission to submit a report to the appropriate committee of the new City of Toronto Council on the expected date of receipt of clean air buses in the City of Etobicoke, and the routes that will be utilized for such buses; and stating that the Commission took the following action:

- (1) received the report for information, noting that:
  - (a) pollution from TTC vehicles is a very small component of the total amount of vehicle-generated pollution in Toronto; and
  - (b) it is impracticable and inefficient to commit specific types of buses to any particular route or area within Toronto; and

- (2) requested that a copy of the report be forwarded to the Urban Environment and Development Committee of the City of Toronto and to the Etobicoke Community Council for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee received the aforementioned communication.

**(Clause No. 19(d) - Report No. 4)**

**57. Approval of Plans of Subdivision and Condominium.**

The Committee had before it a report (March 3, 1998) from the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services submitting recent plans of subdivision and condominium which have been approved by the former Metropolitan Commissioner of Planning under the authority delegated to him by the Metropolitan Council; advising that the existing delegations continue until City Council has the opportunity to examine and amend its current procedures; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee:

- (1) received the following report; and
- (2) directed that, in future, approvals by the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development of plans of subdivision and condominium be reported through the appropriate Community Council:

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Copy to: City Solicitor; Ms. Wendy Walberg, Legal Department; Mr. Lorne Ross, Interim Functional Lead, Planning; Mr. Phil Houghton, Planning Department, Metro Hall; Mr. Paul Hamilton, Planning Department, Metro Hall - April 1, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(e) - Report No. 4)**

**58. Toronto Transit Commission: Project Approval for Rail Replacement Along the Queensway Streetcar Line.**

The Committee had before it a communication (February 12, 1998) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, advising that the Commission on February 11, 1998, approved the following Recommendations contained in report No. (6), entitled "Project Approval for Rail Replacement Along The Queensway Streetcar Line":

"It is recommended that the Commission approve:

- (1) project approval in the amount of \$1,350,000.00 under 1.2 Surface Track for the installation of new continuous welded rail on The Queensway line from Parkside Drive Bridge up to, and including, the Humber River Bridge;
- (2) forwarding this report to the City of Toronto, requesting City Council's project and financing approval (under Toronto project No. 120) in the amount of \$1,350,000.00 gross (\$337,500.00 net); and
- (3) forwarding the report to the Ministry of Transportation of Ontario for information and requesting confirmation of 50 percent funding;

further advising that the Commission also approved that, if possible, funding for this project be provided from under-expenditures in the 1998 Budget, and if this is not possible, that staff report back to the Commission.

Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park, appeared before the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by, the Committee recommended to the Budget Committee, the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, and Council:

- (1) the adoption of Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the communication (February 12, 1998) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, viz:
  - "(2) It is recommended that the Commission approve forwarding this report to the City of Toronto, requesting City Council's project and financing approval (under Toronto Project No. 120) in the amount of \$1,350,000.00 gross (\$337,500.00 net);"; and
- (2) that the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) be advised of the community's concerns regarding the inconvenience and the economic impact associated with the rail replacement along The Queensway streetcar line, having regard that the TTC already carried out work in this area in 1997; and
- (3) directed that this matter be forwarded to the Budget Committee for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on March 31, 1998.

(Budget Committee; Copy to: Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park; General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission; Chief General

Manager, Toronto Transit Commission; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Mr. Rob Hatton, Urban Environment and Development Committee Lead, Finance Department - March 24, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(f) - Report No. 4)**

**59. By-law for Building Permits.**

The Committee had before it the following reports:

- (i) (February 27, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Building recommending that the attached Building Permit By-law be approved; advising that the Ontario Building Code Act 1992 authorizes municipalities to charge fees for the issuance of permits for construction and demolition of buildings, and other related matters, in order to offset the expenditures associated with the administration and enforcement of the Ontario Building Code; that the proposed by-law will standardize the fees to be charged across the entire City of Toronto for the issuance of the aforementioned permits, and is considered to be fair, effective and efficient; stating that by eliminating differences in existing fee structures of the former Area Municipalities, there will be an approximate four percent (\$1 million) increase over the 1997 combined budgeted revenues; and that further increases in revenues (\$4 million) are also expected due to the anticipated increase in the value of permit applications; and
- (ii) (March 17, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that:
  - (1) the draft By-law attached to the report dated February 27, 1998, from the Interim Functional Lead, Building, be revised by adding a new Schedule "B" in order to preserve certain practices of the former City of Toronto;
  - (2) the former Schedule "B" be re-lettered Schedule "C"; and
  - (3) the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services continue to review the current practices of the former Municipalities and bring forward appropriate amendments to the Building Permits By-law, as required.

On motion by, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned reports;
- (2) directed that a copy thereof be forwarded to the Budget Committee for information; and

- (3) requested the Interim Functional Lead, Building:
- (a) to submit directly to Council, for consideration with this matter on April 16, 1998:
    - (i) a copy of the former City of Toronto Building Permit By-law, and any relevant policies with respect thereto; and
    - (ii) a brief report outlining any differences in the proposed Building Permit By-law from the former City of Toronto Building Permit By-law; and
  - (b) to submit a report to the next meeting of the Urban Environment and Development Committee, scheduled to be held on April 20, 1998, regarding:
    - (i) any further requirements which should be included in the Building Permits By-law in order to protect the infrastructure from unnecessary demolition; and
    - (ii) a proposed policy for reporting new construction or renovation to the assessment office.

(Budget Committee; Interim Functional Lead, Building; Copy to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; City Solicitor; Ms. Wendy Walberg, Legal Department, Metro Hall; Mr. George Dixon, Legal Department, North York Civic Centre; Mr. Vince Brescia, Greater Toronto Homebuilders' Association - March 25, 1998)

**(Clause No. 5 - Report No. 4)**

**60. GO Transit: Year 2021 Plan.**

The Committee had before it a communication (February 17, 1998) from Mr. Eldred King, Chairman, GO Transit, suggesting that, in light of the recent changes in the reporting structure for GO Transit, a presentation by GO Transit staff to the Council of the City of Toronto would be beneficial to all parties; and submitting a copy of a report, entitled "GO Transit: Year 2021 Plan".

Mr. Eldred King, Chairman, and Mr. Rick Ducharme, Managing Director, made an overhead presentation to the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council that the Toronto Transit Commission and GO Transit be encouraged to continue their ongoing discussions with respect to transit planning; and, further, that the Members of Council who have, at this time, been appointed to the Greater Toronto Services Board be involved in such discussions; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to submit a report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee on:
  - (a) the funding relationship between the City of Toronto and GO Transit; and
  - (b) the funding formula used for all the funding partners of GO Transit.

(Interim Functional Lead, Planning; Copy to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Mr. Eldred King, Chairman, GO Transit; Mr. Rick Ducharme, Managing Director, GO Transit; Mr. Dick Gordon, Planning Department, Metro Hall - March 30, 1998)

**(Clause No. 10 - Report No. 4)**

#### **61. Ward Boundary Review Process.**

The Committee had before it a joint report (March 12, 1998) from the City Clerk and the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) the City Solicitor be authorized to request from the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing amendments to the City of Toronto Act, 1997 to permit single member ward representation within the City of Toronto and to allow for increases or decreases in the overall size of City Council;
- (2) the four commonly accepted principles on which ward boundaries options are to be evaluated be approved and that variations in average ward populations of plus or minus 25 percent be accepted as the norm;

- (3) a Staff Working Group with representation from Clerk's, Legal, Planning, Economic Development, Social Development and Corporate Policy and Planning be created to co-ordinate the process, liaise with Members of Council, and undertake the necessary research;
- (4) existing ward boundaries within the new City of Toronto be used as the basis for the new ward boundaries, with minor refinements where needed;
- (5) Council indicate its support for the principle that each of the existing City wards be divided in two, thus enabling election of a single Councillor per ward at the next municipal election in the year 2000;
- (6) should Council, in the future, elect to divide the former East York into three as opposed to two wards, this division be achieved as part of the boundary review process outlined in this report;
- (7) the process and timeline outlined for refining existing boundaries and dividing wards be approved for implementation;
- (8) this report be forwarded to the Community Councils for information and input through the Urban Environment and Development Committee; and
- (9) the appropriate City officials be authorized to give effect hereto.

The Committee also had before it the following communications and Committee Transmittal:

- (i) (January 15, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on January 2, 6, 8 and 9, 1998, in adopting, as amended, the recommendations of the final report of the Toronto Transition Team, entitled 'New City, New Opportunities', directed, in part, that the following Recommendation No. (21) embodied therein be referred to the Urban Environment and Development Committee and circulated to the Community Councils for consideration and report thereon to Council:

"Recommendation No. (21):

City Council should move to single-member wards for the next term of Council that begins in 2001. At that time, consideration should be given to further refining the community council boundaries to reflect historic associations among neighbourhoods."

- (ii) (February 26, 1998) from City Clerk advising that the Scarborough Community Council, on February 18, 1998, inter alia:



- (1) considered Recommendation No. (21) of the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team;
  - (2) endorsed the principle of splitting the Wards; and
  - (3) directed that Scarborough Community Council's position on this matter be forwarded to the Urban Environment and Development Committee, and that the Committee be requested to deal with this issue as expeditiously as possible.
- (iii) (March 5, 1998) from Councillor Frances Nunziata, York Humber, and Councillor Tom Jakobek, East Toronto, regarding Recommendation No. (21) of the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team, pertaining to one Councillor per Ward representation; advising that, on review of the minutes of the Community Councils with respect to the issue of one Councillor per Ward, there appears to be some confusion as to exactly what process is in place for dealing with the aforementioned Recommendation No. (21); and submitting a Motion thereon for consideration by the Urban Environment and Development Committee, the operative paragraphs of which are as follows:

**"THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Recommendation No. (21) of the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team, pertaining to one Councillor per Ward representation, be endorsed by Council; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council now refer this issue to the Urban Environment and Development Committee, which shall direct and oversee appropriate staff in preparing a report on the measures needed to be implemented, in order to ensure that Council can effectively move to single-member Wards for the next term of Council."

Ms. Elaine Baxter-Trahair made an overhead presentation to the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter, and also filed a copy of her presentation material.

The following Members of Council appeared before the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Rob Davis, York Eglinton;
- Councillor Doug Holyday, Markland Centennial;
- Councillor Frances Nunziata, York Humber;
- Councillor Mario Giansante, Kingsway Humber; and

- Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski.

On motion by, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report (March 12, 1998) from the City Clerk and the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services; and
- (2) requested the City Clerk and the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services to submit a joint report directly to Council, for consideration with this matter on April 16, 1998, on:
  - (a) the issue of allowing variations in average ward populations of plus or minus 25 percent; and
  - (b) the pros and cons of utilizing a single voters' list.

(Sent to: City Clerk; Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services; Copy to: Councillor Rob Davis, York Eglinton; Councillor Doug Holyday, Markland Centennial ; Councillor Frances Nunziata, York Humber; Councillor Mario Giansante, Kingsway Humber; Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski; Ms. Elaine Baxter-Trahair, Clerk's Department; Mr. Dennis Kelly, Clerk's Department; Ms. Madeline Brown, Interim Contact, Council - March 24, 1998)

**(Clause No. 1 - Report No. 4)**  
**(Clause No. 1 - Report No. 4A)**

**62. Renewal of Agreement to Permit Molson Indy Race  
at Exhibition Place for 1998, 1999 and 2000.**

**(Also refer to Minute No. 47)**

On motion The Urban Environment and Development Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (1), (2), (3) and (5), embodied in the aforementioned report;
- (2) concurred with Recommendation No. (4) embodied in the aforementioned report, viz:

- "(4) that a copy of this report be forwarded to the Toronto Community Council for its meeting on April 1, 1998, for information;"
- (3) requested the City Solicitor to submit a report directly to Council, for consideration with this matter at its meeting scheduled to be held on April 16, 1998, on whether an indemnity should be obtained from Molson Breweries of Canada Limited in addition to the indemnity obtained from Molstar Sports and Entertainment; and
- (4) received the aforementioned communication.

(Sent to: Toronto Community Council; City Solicitor; Copy to: Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services; Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Ms. Wendy Walberg, Legal Department; Mr. Tim Laspa, Infrastructure Planning and Transportation Division, Toronto City Works Services, City Hall; Ms. Madeline Brown, Interim Contact, Council - March 24, 1998)

**(Clause No. 7 - Report No. 4)**

**63. Operation of the 1998 Molson Indy Race at Exhibition Place.**

**(Also refer to Minute No. 48)**

On motion by, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (1), (2), (3) and (5), embodied in the aforementioned report;
- (2) concurred with Recommendation No. (4) embodied in the aforementioned report, viz:

"(4) that a copy of this report be forwarded to the Toronto Community Council for its meeting on April 1, 1998, for information;" and

- (3) requested the City Solicitor to submit a report directly to Council, for consideration with this matter at its meeting scheduled to be held on April 16, 1998, on whether an indemnity should be obtained from Molson Breweries of Canada Limited in addition to the indemnity obtained from Molstar Sports and Entertainment.

(Sent to: Toronto Community Council; City Solicitor; Copy to: Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services; Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Ms. Wendy Walberg, Legal Department; Mr. Ron Rout, Road Allowance Control Section, Toronto Transportation, Metro Hall; Mr. Tim Laspa, Infrastructure Planning and Transportation Division, Toronto City Works Services, City Hall; Ms. Madeline Brown, Interim Contact, Council - March 25, 1998)

**(Clause No. 8 - Report No. 4)**

**64. Contract No. T-23-98: Resurfacing of City of Toronto Roads at Four Locations.**

The Committee had before it a report (March 2, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation recommending that:

- (1) Contract No. T-23-98, for the resurfacing of City of Toronto roads at four locations, be awarded to D. Crupi and Sons Limited who submitted the lowest price bid in the amount of \$2,892,366.33;
- (2) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (3) in the event that the 1998-2002 Capital Works Program is not approved by Council at its April 15, 1998 meeting, pre-budget and project financing approval in the amount of \$3,227,366.33 be granted for this project in order that the project may commence in late April, 1998;

advising that the scope of work for this contract includes crack repairs, scarifying, grinding, concrete repairs, catch basins, and asphalt overlay on the following roads:

- (a) Eglinton Avenue--Black Creek Drive to Weston Road;
- (b) O'Connor Drive--Woodbine Avenue to Sandra Road;
- (c) Rexdale Boulevard--460 metres west of Highway No. 27 to 320 metres west of Humberwood Boulevard; and
- (d) W. R. Allen Road--Sheppard Avenue to Overbrook Place;

further advising that Contract No. T-23-98 forms part of Project No. C-TR496, Road Resurfacing, within the Transportation Department's proposed 1998-2002 Capital Works Program; and stating that the Treasurer has previously certified that financing can be provided under the updated Debt and Financial Obligation Limit and that it falls within Corporate Debt Guidelines.

The Committee also had before it a joint communication (March 20, 1998) from Mrs. Helen Hansen and Mrs. Joan Doiron, Toronto Pedestrian Issues Sub-Committee, urging, with respect to items of resurfacing of roads, new traffic control signals and other road changes, that full consideration be given to the safety of pedestrians and other non-motorized road users; suggesting, with respect to road resurfacing, that the work include better pavement markings and rumble strips, especially at intersections where many collisions occur; further suggesting, with respect to the installation of traffic control signals, that pedestrians need an adequate amount of time in which to cross the intersection; and reiterating the following recommendation which was made by the Metropolitan Council at its meeting on October 8 and 9, 1997:

- "(iii) that, in view of the high proportion of fatalities on Metro roads which involve pedestrian deaths, the Commissioner of Transportation be requested to make the safety of pedestrians the number one priority in road design ...".

Mrs. Helen Hansen, Toronto Pedestrian Issues Sub-Committee, appeared before the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee, inter alia, referred the aforementioned joint communication (March 20, 1998) from Mrs. Helen Hansen and Mrs. Joan Doiron, and the newspaper articles filed by Mrs. Hansen, to the Pedestrian Issues Sub-Committee of the Metropolitan Cycling and Pedestrian Committee for consideration.

(Budget Committee; Pedestrian Issues Sub-Committee of the Metropolitan Cycling and Pedestrian Committee; Copy to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Mr. R. Burlie, Manager of Resurfacing, Construction Branch, Metro Hall; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Mr. Rob Hatton, Urban Environment and Development Committee Lead, Finance Department; Mrs. Helen Hansen, Toronto Pedestrian Issues Sub-Committee; Mrs. Joan Doiron, Toronto Pedestrian Issues Sub-Committee - March 24, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(g) - Report No. 4)**

**65. Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals:  
Keele Street and Humberside Avenue.**

The Committee had before it the following report and communications:

- (i) (February 20, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation recommending that:
- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Keele Street and Humberside Avenue;
  - (2) coincident with the traffic control signal installation, the existing pedestrian crossover be removed;
  - (3) installation be subject to the approval of the 1998 Capital Works Program and the securing of appropriate financing; and
  - (4) the appropriate by-law(s) be amended accordingly;

advising that the funds associated with new traffic signal installations are contained in the Transportation Department's proposed Capital Works Program under Project No. C-TR031; and that the estimated cost of installing traffic control signals at the aforementioned location is \$50,000.00 including the removal of the existing pedestrian crossover.

- (ii) (March 10, 1998) from Mr. Robert Botten and Ms. Meg Young (Principal), Indian Road Crescent School Community Council, expressing support for the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Keele Street and Humberside Avenue; stating, however, some concern that, subsequent to the installation of the aforementioned traffic control signals, there could be a further increase in traffic along Humberside Avenue; urging that the proposed traffic study of the area surrounding the south portion of Keele Street take into account the need for possible further measures to prevent commuting traffic from diverting off arterial roads, e.g., Keele and Annette Streets, onto residential streets such as Humberside Avenue; and recommending that traffic counts regarding this matter be conducted during the school year in order to fairly judge the impact on the schools located close to Keele Street.
- (iii) (March 20, 1998) from Mrs. Helen Hansen and Mrs. Joan Doiron, Toronto Pedestrian Issues Sub-Committee, urging, with respect to items of resurfacing of roads, new traffic control signals and other road changes, that full consideration be given to the safety of pedestrians and other non-motorized road users; suggesting, with respect to road resurfacing, that the work include better pavement markings and rumble strips, especially at intersections where many collisions occur; further suggesting, with respect to the installation of traffic control signals, that pedestrians need an adequate amount of time in which to cross the intersection; and reiterating the following recommendation which was made by the Metropolitan Council at its meeting on October 8 and 9, 1997:

"(iii) that, in view of the high proportion of fatalities on Metro roads which involve pedestrian deaths, the Commissioner of Transportation be requested to make the safety of pedestrians the number one priority in road design ...".

Mrs. Helen Hansen, Toronto Pedestrian Issues Sub-Committee, appeared before the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

**(Clause No. 13 - Report No. 4)**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee recessed at 12:28 p.m.

The Urban Environment and Development Committee reconvened at 2:10 p.m.

Members Present:

Councillor Joe Pantalone, Chair  
Councillor Frank Faubert  
Councillor Irene Jones  
Councillor Peter Li Preti  
Councillor Pam McConnell  
Councillor Ron Moeser  
Councillor Howard Moscoe  
Councillor Mario Silva  
Councillor Mike Tzekas

Regrets:

Councillor Blake Kinahan  
Councillor Judy Sgro  
Mayor Mel Lastman

Also Present:

Councillor Ila Bossons  
Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski  
Councillor Jack Layton  
Councillor Frances Nunziata

**66. Update on the Greater Toronto Airports Authority.**

The Committee had before it a communication (March 4, 1998) from Mr. Keith A. Medenblik, Government Liaison Co-ordinator, The Greater Toronto Airports Authority, requesting that Mr. Gerry Meinzer and Ms. Sharon Moss, Members of the Board of Directors of the Greater Toronto Airports Authority, appear before the Urban Environment and Development Committee on March 23, 1998, and submitting a copy of a report, entitled "Greater Toronto Airports Authority--Regional Report February 19, 1998".

Mr. Gerry Meinzer, Member of the Board of Directors, and Mr. Steve Shaw, Vice-President, Strategic Planning, The Greater Toronto Airports Authority, made an overhead presentation to the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by, the Committee received, with thanks, the aforementioned communication and presentation.

**(Clause No. 19(h) - Report No. 4)****67. Prevention of Suicides on the Bloor Street Viaduct.**

The Committee had before it the following reports and communications regarding the prevention of suicides on the Bloor Street Viaduct:

- (i) (February 12, 1998) from Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, recommending that:
  - (1) the appropriate staff be requested to meet with the Schizophrenia Society and the local Councillors as well as the Historical Board to develop an options analysis with recommendations to be submitted to the Urban Environment and Development Committee within 90 days;
  - (2) the Chief Coroner of Ontario be requested to assist by providing detailed data on suicide deaths from bridges in Toronto, specifically at the Bloor Viaduct;
  - (3) the report consider options in use elsewhere in the world; for example, the netting and emergency phone service provided at the Golden Gate bridge, fencing as provided in New York state, and other options;
  - (4) the report consider both immediate measures and long-term strategies;
  - (5) funding source possibilities be investigated; and



- (6) the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services be requested to consider the advisability of entering into a modest contract with the Bridge Committee of the Schizophrenia Society of Ontario in order to draw upon its expertise in the conduct of these investigations and in the preparation of the report (estimate: one day/week for 12 weeks of report preparation at \$400.00/day = \$4,800.00).
- (ii) (February 12, 1998) from Mr. J. A. (Al) Birney, President, East York Chapter, and Chairman, Bridge Committee, Schizophrenia Society of Ontario, advising that this letter and the attached documentation will demonstrate that:
- (1) deaths from Toronto's Bloor Street Viaduct are an alarming, yet preventable, public concern;
  - (2) the Schizophrenia Society of Ontario's advocacy of safety measures to deter bridge suicide has precedents in other large municipalities; and
  - (3) Toronto City Council could demonstrate pioneering leadership, and win favour from families of the mentally ill, by taking concerted and public action against bridge suicides;
- and stating that the time has come to erect safety fences along the Bloor Street Viaduct, and to install crisis/hot line telephones on each of the bridge's sidewalks.
- (iii) (March 10, 1998) from Ms. Alison Licht, Chairperson, Council on Suicide Prevention (COSP), advising that, with respect to the public concern regarding the Bloor Viaduct, COSP requested Dr. Issac Sakinofsky of the Clarke Institute of Psychiatry to conduct a comprehensive review of the current research literature and statistical information available on bridge suicides; summarizing some very early conclusions that have arisen from the review, in part:
- (1) There is no doubt that certain landmarks become favoured spots for suicide. Publicity from the media undoubtedly enhances the popularity of such suicide points, especially if the initial victims are perceived as celebrities or can be identified with...;
  - (2) accessibility undoubtedly facilitates choice of suicide method. Thus, any measure that impedes accessibility to the bridge will lower the suicide incidence on that bridge. This has been shown at bridges such as the Duke Ellington Bridge in Washington, D.C.;
  - (3) there is absolutely no evidence available that closing off access to suicide from the Bloor Viaduct will lower the overall suicide incidence in Toronto...

Equally, there is no evidence that suicides will increase at others of the many bridges in Toronto if steps are taken at the Bloor...; and

- (4) we recommend that alternative measures to high barriers be considered since we note also the public concern about cutting off visibility and defacing a loved landmark. Safety nets alongside the bridge to catch jumpers may provide such a compromise. Dedicated telephone lines (as are being considered) will likely prove useful for those who become ambivalent at the last minute and opt to seek help, but in themselves would not prevent suicide from those who actually jump, whereas safety nets would do so. Safety nets would also protect motorists and pedestrians down below from jumpers--an important consideration.

The following persons appeared before the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Dr. Isaac Sakinofsky, Psychiatrist, Clarke Institute of Psychiatry, and Professor of Suicide Studies, York University, and filed a copy of his overhead presentation material, entitled "A Rationale for Preventing Suicide on the Prince Edward (Bloor) Viaduct";
- Mr. Michael McCamus, Spokesperson of the Bridge Committee, Schizophrenic Society of Ontario, and displayed posters of bridges with safety fencing and/or netting and telephones;
- Superintendent Aidan Maher, Toronto Police, No. 55 Division; and filed a copy of an internal report from himself to Staff Superintendent R. Molyneaux, No. 5 District Headquarters, expressing concern regarding the potential of innocent lives being lost as a result of individuals jumping to their deaths from the Bloor Street Viaduct and landing either on the Don Valley Parkway or the Bayview Extension; and again recommending that anti-suicide fencing be placed over both roadways;
- Mr. Michael Hrecico, Toronto;
- Councillor Jack Layton, Don River; and
- Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park.

On motion by, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council:
  - (a) the adoption of the report (March 2, 1998) from Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, subject to

amending Recommendation No. (6) by striking out the words "the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation"; so that Recommendation No. (6) now reads as follows:

- "(6) the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, be requested to consider the advisability of entering into a modest contract with the Bridge Committee of the Schizophrenia Society of Ontario in order to draw upon its expertise in the conduct of these investigations and in the preparation of the report (estimate: one day/week for 12 weeks of report preparation at \$400.00/day = \$4,800.00).";
  - (b) that the Chief of Police be requested to place under special attention the Bloor Street Viaduct and any other high-profile locations for potential suicides;
- (2) requested the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, to consult with the Bridge Society of the Schizophrenia Society of Ontario and submit a report directly to Council, for consideration with this matter on April 16, 1998, on any progress that has been made with respect to this matter and, specifically, on any short-term initiatives that can be implemented immediately;
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, in consultation with the appropriate City officials, to submit a report to the next meeting of the Urban Environment and Development Committee, scheduled to be held on April 20, 1998, regarding:
- (a) a public education program which would set out the actions that should be taken by members of the public if confronted with a potential suicide situation; and
  - (b) improved services which can be implemented in an effort to prevent suicides;
- (4) referred the following motions by Councillor Moscoe to the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, with a request that he submit a

report thereon to the next meeting of the Urban Environment and Development Committee, scheduled to be held on April 20, 1998:

"That the Urban Environment and Development Committee recommend that Council:

- (a) support in principle the concept of barriers or safety netting to avert suicides on the Bloor Street Viaduct;
- (b) refer the design of the barriers or safety netting to the Toronto Historical Board, the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, and the Interim Functional Lead, Culture, Arts and Heritage, with a request that the design process be in accordance with the City's policies and that an artist be included on the design team; and
- (c) that this process be fast-tracked."

(Sent to: Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services; Copy to: Councillor Jack Layton, Don River; Council Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services; Mr. Les Kelman, Transportation Department; Dr. Isaac Sakinofsky, Psychiatrist, Clarke Institute of Psychiatry, and Professor of Suicide Studies, York University; Mr. Michael McCamus, Spokesperson of the Bridge Committee, Schizophrenic Society of Ontario; Police Superintendent Aidan Maher, Toronto Police, 55 Division; Mr. Michael Hrecico, Toronto; Dr. Paul Links, Psychiatrist, Wellesley Central Hospital Crisis Unit, Professor, University of Toronto; Mr. Hugh Tapping, Toronto; Ms. Karen Letofsky, Executive Director of Suicide Survivor Support Program, Toronto Distress Centre; Ms. Alison Licht, Chairperson, Council on Suicide Prevention; Dr. Robin R. Richards, Head of Orthopaedics, St. Michael's Hospital; Mr. Al Birney, President, East York Chapter and Chairman, Bridge Committee Schizophrenia Society of Ontario; Ms. Ruth Malloy, Toronto; Ms. Madeline Brown, Interim Contact, Council - March 26, 1998)

**(Clause No. 3 - Report No. 4)**

**68. Proposed Merger of the Royal Bank of Canada and the Bank of Montreal - Impact on Toronto.**

The Committee had before it the following communication and reports:

- (i) (February 13, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on February 4, 5 and 6, 1998, referred the following Motion to the Urban Environment and Development Committee:

**Moved by: Councillor Moscoe**

**Seconded by: Councillor Miller**

**“WHEREAS** the Royal Bank and the Bank of Montreal have announced that they intend to merge; and

**WHEREAS** similar mergers in the past have resulted in staff reduction levels of one-third; and

**WHEREAS** the merger could result in a loss of from 9,000 to 28,000 jobs; and

**WHEREAS** the merged bank would have more than 300 branches within Toronto, many of which could be closed; and

**WHEREAS** such a merger could have significant implications in Toronto;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Economic Development Department, the Planning Department and the City Treasurer report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee on the full implications for Toronto of such a merger;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** in the interim, the City of Toronto advise the Federal Government that the proposed merger of the Royal Bank and the Bank of Montreal is not in the interests of the people of Toronto;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Treasurer report on the implications of the City transferring its banking business from the merged bank to other chartered Canadian banks."

- (ii) (March 9, 1998) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer reporting on the implications of the City of Toronto transferring its banking business from the proposed merged Royal Bank of Canada and the Bank of Montreal to other chartered Canadian banks; advising that such a decision by City Council will have negative financial implications on the City in the form of increased costs in debt issuance, custody and general banking services, and reduced investment income earning potential; stating that, in dealing with the proposed merger, Council should consider the precedent-setting implications of its decision on future mergers in the financial services and other sectors of the economy; and recommending that Council receive this report for information.
- (iii) (March 6, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Economic Development, recommending that:
  - (1) the Urban Environment and Development Committee (Committee) receive this report for information only;

- (2) the Committee view this report as an initial report and request further reports as information becomes available; and
- (3) the Committee consider accepting the offer of the Bank of Montreal for a briefing session to answer any further questions or address any remaining concerns;

advising that the proposed merger of the Royal Bank of Canada and the Bank of Montreal would initially appear to result in a loss of employment for the City of Toronto of approximately 2,500 full and part-time positions currently filled between the two banks; that, however, the banks claim that this loss would be temporary and could actually result in an increase in employment in Toronto due to the merger; that tax revenue lost by the closing of bank branches should be limited and, again, temporary; pointing out that most of the long-term losses in both revenue and employment will be seen in outlying, rural areas where the banks have reduced branch presence; stating that lower levels of competition may indeed cause higher lending rates and lowered service levels, the degree of which is unknown at present; that increases in foreign institutions operating in Canada may assist competition, although not yet at the personal level; and that this is likely to be the primary concern for Toronto and may deserve further attention as more information becomes available.

The following persons appeared before the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. George Bothwell, Senior Vice-President, Public Affairs, Bank of Montreal; and
- Councillor Frances Nunziata, York-Humber.

On motion by, the Committee reports having directed that the matter of the impact on Toronto of the proposed merger of the Royal Bank of Canada and the Bank of Montreal be referred to the first meeting of the Economic Development Committee, once it is established.

(Economic Development Committee; Copy to: Councillor Frances Nunziata, York-Humber; Commissioner of Finance and Treasurer; Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Ms. Brenda Librecz, Interim Functional Lead, Economic Development; Mr. Martin Willschick, Finance Department, Metro Hall; Mr. Joe Farag, Finance Department, North York Civic Centre; Mr. George Bothwell, Senior Vice-President, Public Affairs, Bank of Montreal; Ms. Ann Lamont, Vice-President, External and Government Affairs, Royal Bank of Canada - March 30, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(i) - Report No. 4)**

**69. Proposed 1998 Fee Schedule for Planning Applications.**

The Committee had before it a joint report (March 10, 1998) from the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services and the Interim Functional Lead, Planning, recommending that:

- (1) Council adopt the 1998 fee schedule for planning applications, as shown on Table 1. The fee schedule, if adopted, can be implemented effective May 1, 1998; and
- (2) the Urban Environment and Development Committee forward a copy of this report to the Budget Committee for its information;

advising that the adoption of a single planning application fee schedule represents a harmonization of the existing fee structures of the former municipalities and is an important step in the process of creating one planning organization; that this step will also enable the harmonization of other matters including the development of one common application form across the City; and stating that the harmonized fee schedule will reduce the Department's administrative costs and improve the accuracy of its budget forecasts, thereby achieving a greater cost-saving for the City of Toronto.

The Committee also had before it a report (March 20, 1998) from the City Solicitor recommending that the Urban Environment and Development Committee direct the City Solicitor to consult with the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services and to report directly to Council with a recommendation of how to address the anticipated impact upon the City Solicitor's budget of the new common fees proposed; advising that it appears that an unintended consequence of adopting the joint report (March 10, 1998) from the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services and the Interim Functional Lead for Planning will be to eliminate a revenue source that has been used in the past to partially offset the costs of legal services for planning applications; explaining that, prior to amalgamation, planning application fees in several of the former Area Municipalities included legal services as a portion of the cost of processing planning applications; that the aforementioned joint report indicates that the new common fee schedule excludes legal costs associated with the processing of planning applications; and, as a result, should the aforementioned joint report be adopted, protection of the City Solicitor's budget is required.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of Recommendation No. (1), embodied in the aforementioned joint report (March 10, 1998) from the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services and the Interim Functional Lead, Planning, viz:



- "(1) That Council adopt the 1998 fee schedule for planning applications, as shown on Table 1. The fee schedule, if adopted, can be implemented effective May 1, 1998; and";
- (2) concurred with Recommendation No. (2), embodied in the aforementioned joint report (March 10, 1998) from the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services and the Interim Functional Lead, Planning, viz:
- "(2) that the Urban Environment and Development Committee forward a copy of this report to the Budget Committee for its information."; and
- (3) concurred with the Recommendation embodied in the aforementioned report (March 20, 1998) from the City Solicitor, viz:
- "That the Urban Environment and Development Committee direct the City Solicitor to consult with the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services and to report directly to Council on April 16, 1998, with a recommendation of how to address the anticipated impact upon the City Solicitor's budget of the new common fees proposed."

(Sent to: Budget Committee; City Solicitor - March 26, 1998)

**(Clause No. 4 - Report No. 4)**

**70. Uniform Permit Parking By-law and Area-Wide Permit Parking Policy.**

The Committee had before it a Committee Transmittal (March 5, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the York Community Council on February 18, 1998, recommended to the Urban Environment and Development Committee that:

- (1) the Interim Functional Lead for Transportation be requested to submit to the Committee:
- (a) a draft uniform permit parking by-law; and
- (b) at the earliest opportunity, an outline of a policy for the introduction of area-wide permit parking, to be considered in a City-wide context, including a graduated fee structure for single addresses requiring multiple vehicle permits; and

- (2) the aforementioned draft uniform permit parking by-law and policy be referred to the Community Councils for consideration and public consultation, and subsequent comment thereon back to the Urban Environment and Development Committee.

On motion by, the Committee referred the aforementioned Committee Transmittal to the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, with a request that he submit a report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee on all of the issues related to a uniform permit parking by-law prior to developing a uniform policy, in order to determine whether it is desirable to proceed in that manner.

(Sent to: Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; York Community Council; Copy to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Mr. Bill Dunford, Operations Services, York Civic Centre - March 30, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(j) - Report No. 4)**

**71. Replacement of Lighting on the F.G. Gardiner Expressway from the Humber River to the Don Valley Parkway.**

The Committee had before it a report (February 23, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, recommending that, subject to the approval of the 1998-2002 Capital Works Program, authority be granted to undertake the replacement of the lighting systems on the F. G. Gardiner Expressway, from the Humber Bridges to the Don Valley Parkway, at an estimated cost of \$9.0 million; advising that the aforementioned work must be undertaken, principally as a result of general deterioration and obsolescence; that the Department's proposed 1998-2002 Capital Works Program includes an amount of \$65.82 million under Project No. C-TR180, F. G. Gardiner Expressway, Parkway to Humber, and the replacement of the Gardiner Expressway lighting forms part of that program; that \$2.5 million of the estimated total project cost is anticipated to be spent in 1998; stating that funding is currently available in Capital Account No. C-TR180; that the Treasurer has previously certified that financing can be provided under the updated Debt and Financial Obligation Limit and that it falls within Corporate Debt Guidelines.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Committee recommended to the Budget Committee, the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, and Council:

- (1) the adoption of the following report; and

- (2) that this matter be forwarded to Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on April 16, 1998.

(Budget Committee; Copy to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Mr. Barry I. Craig, Manager of Roads, Construction Branch, Metro Hall; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Mr. Rob Hatton, Urban Environment and Development Committee Lead, Finance Department - March 24, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19 (k) - Report No. 4)**

**72. A New Official Plan for the City of Toronto.**

The Committee had before it a report (March 11, 1998) from the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) Council seize this opportunity to develop its new Official Plan for the City of Toronto and adopt the work plan and schedule outlined in this report; and
- (2) the Urban Environment and Development Committee endorse this report and refer it to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for consideration;

advising that the Toronto Transition Team in its final report recommended that "an early priority of the Urban Environment and Development Standing Committee should be the preparation of a new Official Plan for the City for adoption during the first term of Council"; that this is an opportunity for the Council of the new City of Toronto to give clear direction to guide city development and to set Council's priorities; stating that a common planning framework for making decisions concerning the built form of the City can and should be undertaken in 1998; that once completed as Council's vision for the future, the planning framework can be incorporated into the Official Plan early in 1999; that conflicting and redundant policies in the six local Official Plans and all of Metroplan can then be repealed at that time; and further advising that funding in the amount of \$250,000.00 has been requested by the Planning Department as part of the 1998 Transition Costs.

On motion by, the Committee:

(A) recommended to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee:

(1) the adoption of Recommendation No. (1), embodied in the attached report (March 11, 1998) from the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services, subject to striking therefrom all the words following the words "City of Toronto"; so that such Recommendation shall read as follows:

"(1) It is recommended that Council seize this opportunity to develop its new Official Plan for the City of Toronto.";

(2) that, should the estimated funding of \$250,000.00 not be provided as part of the 1998 Transition Costs, the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee demonstrating how these funds will be accommodated within the budget process;

(3) that, in addition to "capital works, height, form and density of buildings, use of land and provision of transportation services", the new Official Plan for the City of Toronto should include social and environmental goals and criteria to create a comprehensive framework for planning;

(4) that the following Council-appointed Task Forces and Committee be requested to forward to the Urban Environment and Development Committee their recommendations regarding the proposed new Official Plan and the Official Plan work plan:

- Access and Equity Action Plan, Race Relations, Disability and Human Rights, and Ethno-Canadian Issues Task Force;
- Homeless Strategy Task Force;
- Task Force to Develop a Strategy for Issues of Concern to the Elderly;
- Environment Task Force;
- Children's Action Committee; and
- Task Force on Community Safety;

(5) that the consultation process be modified:

- (a) to reflect the need to address social and environmental issues in the new Official Plan;
  - (b) and expanded to allow sufficient access to the public; and
  - (c) to give the Council-appointed Task Forces and Committee, referred to in Recommendation No. (3), above a formal role in the consultation process to focus the public input on critical social and environmental issues;
- (6) that the "ambitious" one-year work plan and schedule be extended to a two-year timeframe in order to allow for more thorough analysis and public consultation;
  - (7) that a Task Force, comprised of Members of Council, be established to oversee Phase One of the new Official Plan development and the consultation process; and
  - (8) that this matter be forwarded to Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on April 16, 1998; and
- (B) requested the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services to submit a report directly to Council on April 16, 1998, should there be any further considerations with respect to the new Official Plan for the City of Toronto.

(Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee; Commissioner of Urban and Planning Development Services; Copy to: Mr. Lorne Ross, Interim Functional Lead, Planning; Mr. David Gurin, Planning Department, Metro Hall; Mr. Rick Tomaszewicz, Planning Department, East York Civic Centre; Ms. Karen Bricker, Planning Department, Etobicoke Civic Centre; Mr. Bryan Tuckey, Planning Department, North York Civic Centre; Mr. Paul Bedford, Planning Department, Toronto City Hall; Mr. Ken Whitwell, Planning Department, York Civic Centre; Ms. Wendy Walberg, Legal Department; Ms. Madeline Brown, Interim Contact, Council - March 27, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(1) - Report No. 4)**

**73. 1998 City Planning Work Program.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee on March 23 and 24, 1998, had before it the following report and Committee Transmittal:

- (i) (March 6, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that:
  - (1) the Urban Environment and Development Committee endorse the planning research and policy work program of Urban Planning and Development Services detailed in this report; and
  - (2) the City Clerk be requested to place a copy of this report on the April 1, 1998 agenda for all Community Council meetings, with a request that any comments from the Community Councils be forwarded to the April 20, 1998 meeting of the Urban Environment and Development Committee;

and advising that the work program seizes the opportunity produced by the creation of the new City to amalgamate the diverse planning activities of the seven former departments, and addresses both city-wide issues and local community concerns.

- (ii) (March 4, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Etobicoke Community Council on February 18, 1998, recommended to the Urban Environment and Development Committee that the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services be requested to examine existing by-laws that give "as-of-right" church uses in Industrial zones because of the impact on the tax base.

On motion by, the Committee:

- (1) concurred with Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report (March 6, 1998) from the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services, viz:
  - "(2) that the City Clerk be requested to place a copy of this report on the April 1, 1998 agenda for all Community Council meetings, with a request that any comments from the Community Councils be forwarded to the April 20, 1998 meeting of the Urban Environment and Development Committee.";
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services:
  - (a) to modify section (3)(a), entitled "Community Projects--Local Area Studies and Implementation", of the 1998 Research and Policy Program as follows:

(i) review the wording of Project No. (7), Humber Bay Shores, with the appropriate Planning staff in the Etobicoke Civic Centre;

(ii) amend Project No. (9), Downsview Secondary Plan, by striking out the description embodied therein and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"Responds to the closure of CFB Downsview and several specific applications, resulting in a Secondary Plan for the Downsview lands and vicinity."; and

(iii) amend Project No. (11), Allen Sheppard Study, by striking out the description embodied therein and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"Primarily an urban design study addressing three corners on the north and south-east sides of Sheppard Avenue at the W. R. Allen Road. Design guidelines and possible changes to the permitted mix of uses at the corners are anticipated. An open process for the design of the City-owned land will be developed in consultation with the community and local Councillors."; and

(b) to identify whether the Standards for Row Housing study is underway and should be included in this Work Program; and

(3) referred the Committee Transmittal (March 4, 1998) from the City Clerk to the Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services for consideration as part of the 1998 City Planning Work Program.

(Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services; East York Community Council; Etobicoke Community Council; North York Community Council; Scarborough Community Council; Toronto Community Council; York Community Council; Urban Environment and Development Committee; Copy to: Mr. Lorne Ross, Interim Functional Lead, Planning; Mr. David Gurin, Planning Department, Metro Hall; Mr. Rick Tomaszewicz, Planning Department, East York Civic Centre; Ms. Karen Bricker, Planning Department, Etobicoke Civic Centre; Mr. Bryan Tuckey, Planning Department, North York Civic Centre; Mr. Paul Bedford, Planning Department, Toronto City Hall; Mr. Ken Whitwell, Planning Department,

York Civic Centre; Ms. Wendy Walberg, Legal Department - March 24, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(m) - Report No. 4)**

**74. Foot and Bicycle Couriers - Revenue Canada Taxation.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee had before it a communication (February 24, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Metropolitan Cycling and Pedestrian Committee on February 23, 1998, recommended to the Urban Environment and Development Committee, and Council, the endorsement of the concept outlined in Mr. A.W. Scott's communication, dated January 21, 1998, that foot and bicycle couriers should be permitted to apply for business expense deductions for tax purposes for the extra nutrition over and above the norm required as a condition of their employment because this nutritional increment is the equivalent of fuel used by transport vehicles; and, further, that the Toronto Hoof and Cycle Courier Coalition be invited to make a deputation to the Urban Environment and Development Committee at the appropriate time.

The following persons appeared before the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Alan Wayne Scott, Toronto; and
- Councillor Jack Layton, Don River.

On motion by Councillor Li Preti, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

**(Clause No. 11 - Report No. 4)**

**75. Proposal to Establish A Working Committee  
on the Conversion to Public Transportation Systems  
of All Abandoned Railway Rights-of-Way.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee had before it the following communications and report:

- (i) (January 26, 1998) addressed to Councillor Kyle Rae, Downtown, from Mr. Sam Bornstein, Toronto, suggesting that the City of Toronto, together with the Community Councils, officials of the federal and provincial governments, the railway companies and technical advisors establish a working committee on the abandonment of all railway rights-of-way for freight purposes in order to convert



these rights-of-way into public transportation systems that provide frequent, reliable and convenient service; and that the foregoing be combined with the opportunity to use the vacant, abandoned and underutilized industrial land along the railway rights-of-way to create live/work/play space.

- (ii) (March 18, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services responding to the communication dated January 26, 1998, from Mr. Sam Bornstein; advising that the protection and future use of rail corridors within the City are important issues which are being addressed by Planning staff; that rationalization of the use of the rail corridors for freight rail traffic is the key to achieving significant City benefits; stating that since the support of the Federal Government is essential to successfully pursue this initiative, consideration of an inter-governmental working committee should be deferred until Planning staff have reported on the results of the discussions with federal officials; and recommending that this report be received for information.
- (iii) (March 19, 1998) from Mr. Ross Snetsinger, Rail Ways to the Future Committee, Transport 2000 Ontario Inc., in support of the suggestion made by Mr. Bornstein for the establishment of a working committee on abandoned rail lines; suggesting that this excellent idea should be expanded to include the Greater Toronto Area and beyond; submitting a proposal, entitled "Route Banking: Using the Wealth of the Past to Create Wealth for the Future", which will have excellent economic and environmental benefits.

Mr. Sam Bornstein, Toronto, appeared before the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, The Committee:

- (1) referred the aforementioned communications from Mr. Bornstein and Mr. Snetsinger to the Interim Functional Lead, Planning, for consideration as part of the 1998 City Planning Work Program; and
- (2) received the aforementioned report from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

(Interim Functional Lead, Planning; Copy to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Mr. Sam Bornstein, Toronto; Mr. Ross Snetsinger, Rail Ways to the Future Committee, Transport 2000 Ontario Inc.; Mr. Dick Gordon, Director, Development Services, Planning Department, Metro Hall - March 30, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(n) - Report No. 4)****76. Contract No. T-9-98:  
Dundas Street West Over Etobicoke Creek  
West of Highway No. 427-- Structure Rehabilitation.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee had before it a report (February 24, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation recommending that:

- (1) Contract No. T-9-98, for the rehabilitation of the bridge on Dundas Street West over Etobicoke Creek, be awarded to Belor Construction Ltd. who submitted the lowest price bid in the amount of \$1,405,493.15; and
- (2) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto;

advising that the Transportation Department's proposed 1998-2002 Capital Works Program includes an amount of \$12,500,000.00 under Project No. C-TRO55, Bridge Reconstruction Program, and that Contract No. T-9-98 forms part of that program; that the estimated total project cost including design, supervision and other costs, is \$1,789,253.15, of which approximately \$895,000.00 is recoverable from the City of Mississauga; and stating that the Treasurer has previously certified that financing can be provided under the updated Debt and Financial Obligation Limit and that it falls within Corporate Debt Guidelines.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

**(Clause No. 12 - Report No. 4)****77. Contract No. T-20-98:  
Don Valley Parkway Bridge Over Bloor Street Ramp,  
Structure Rehabilitation.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee had before it a report (March 3, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, recommending that:

- (1) Contract No. T-20-98, for the rehabilitation of the bridge on the Don Valley Parkway over the Bloor Street Ramp, be awarded to Grascan Construction Ltd. and Torbridge Construction Ltd. who submitted the lowest price bid in the amount of \$2,051,752.82;

- (2) commencing the first day of construction on the Don Valley Parkway (expected to be May 1, 1998) and terminating on the last day of construction (expected to be September 29, 1998), the speed limit be lowered to 60 kilometres per hour at the following locations:
  - (a) northbound Don Valley Parkway from a point 400 metres south of the bridge over the Bloor Street ramp to a point 550 metres north of the bridge; and
  - (b) southbound Don Valley Parkway from a point 1 600 metres north of the bridge over the Bloor Street ramp to a point 450 metres south of the bridge;
- (3) the appropriate by-law(s) be amended accordingly;
- (4) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (5) in the event that the 1998-2002 Capital Works Program is not approved by Council at its April 15, 1998 meeting, pre-budget approval in the amount of \$2,360,732.82 be granted for this project in order that the project may commence in May, 1998;

advising that Contract No. T-20-98 forms part of Project No. C-TRO29, Don Valley Parkway Rehabilitation, within the Transportation Department's proposed 1998-2002 Capital Works Program; and stating that the Treasurer has previously certified that financing can be provided under the updated Debt and Financial Obligation Limit and that it falls within Corporate Debt Guidelines.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Committee recommended to the Budget Committee, the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, and Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) that this matter be forwarded to Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on April 16, 1998.

(Budget Committee; Copy to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Mr. Len Rach, Transportation Department, Metro Hall; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Mr. Rob Hatton, Urban Environment and Development Committee Lead, Finance Department - March 24, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(o) - Report No. 4)**

**78. Monitoring of Red-Light Violations at Traffic Control Signals.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (March 18, 1998) from Councillor Ila Bossons, Midtown, recommending that the Urban Environment and Development Committee recommend that City Council repeat its request to the Province of Ontario to make the necessary changes to provincial legislation which would:
  - (1) allow the issuance of a Notice of Violation based on data provided by automatic devices which record the running of a red light; and
  - (2) allow the City (municipalities) to keep the revenue from fines for any red-light running offence.
- (ii) (March 19, 1998) from Councillor Frances Nunziata, York Humber, submitting a Motion regarding the installation of red-light cameras at major intersections in the City of Toronto, the operative paragraphs of which are as follows:

**"THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City of Toronto endorses the use of red-light cameras at major intersections throughout the City on a rotating or permanent basis as deemed appropriate by staff;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council request the Province of Ontario to amend the Highway Traffic Act to provide the legislative authority for the City to implement this traffic safety control measure."

- (iii) (March 20, 1998) from Councillor David Miller, High Park, enclosing a letter (March 19, 1998) addressed to Mayor Mel Lastman from Ms. Laura Lyne McMurchie, and stating that it would be appropriate to consider requesting a report on this issue at the same time that the red-light camera issue is dealt with at the Urban Environment and Development Committee; such letter pointing out that the current technology being discussed for red-light violators would ticket such violators but would not necessarily have prevented the recent fatal and near-fatal accidents; advising that her brother, Mr. Neil McMurchie, has designed an "intelligent" digital camera system which could:
  - (a) monitor the speed of traffic and detect if a car is going too fast to stop before the light turns red. The system would then delay the green light for

cross-traffic for up to five seconds. This means that all four lights would be red when a car drives through an intersection against traffic signals;

- (b) dial a central number, using cellular phone technology, and alert police if a car had gone through several red lights;
- (c) continuously download images to a central computer, enabling police to monitor intersections for accidents and to track dangerous drivers;
- (d) also photograph cars which run red lights, permitting the Police to ticket violators;

and stating that this system's software could be adapted to the technology currently in use at police stations.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Committee:

- (A) recommends:
  - (1) the adoption of the recommendations embodied in the report (March 18, 1998) from Councillor Ila Bossons, Midtown, viz.:

"That City Council repeat its request to the Province to make the necessary changes to provincial legislation which would:

    - (a) allow the issuance of a Notice of Violation based on data provided by automatic devices which record the running of a red light; and
    - (b) allow the City (municipalities) to keep the revenue from fines for any red-light running offence."; and

- (2) the adoption of the Motion attached to the communication (March 19, 1998) from Councillor Frances Nunziata, York-Humber, viz.:

Moved by: COUNCILLOR NUNZIATA

Seconded by: COUNCILLOR MIHEVC

"WHEREAS in recent weeks accidents at Toronto intersections, which have resulted in one death and serious injuries in the others, have been as a result of drivers running red lights; and

WHEREAS traffic signal running is becoming increasingly prevalent in the City, increasing the chances of future fatalities or injuries to Toronto pedestrians; and

WHEREAS the use of red-light cameras at rotating intersections in other jurisdictions has resulted in a drop in the number of vehicles running red lights;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto endorse the use of red-light cameras at major intersections throughout the City on a rotating or permanent basis as deemed appropriate by staff;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council request the Province of Ontario to amend the Highway Traffic Act to provide the legislative authority for the City to implement this traffic safety control measure."; and

- (B) submits, for information, the communication (March 20, 1998) from Councillor David Miller, High Park.

(Clause No. 2 - Report No. 4)  
 (Clause No. 2 - Report No. 4A)

- 79. Prohibition of Advertising Signs Abutting the F.G. Gardiner Expressway (Formerly Queen Elizabeth Way), Eglinton Avenue West, Highway No. 2, Highway No. 2A, and Highway No. 27.**

**(HELD DOWN)**

**(Also refer to Minute No. 82)**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee had before it a report (March 5, 1998) from the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, recommending that the former Metropolitan Toronto By-law No. 211-79 be amended to include the road sections shown in Table No. 1, entitled "Third Party Advertising (45m Prohibition)" attached to this report, and to authorize appropriate staff to take the necessary steps to give effect thereto; advising that the foregoing will prohibit the installation of third party advertising signs abutting those sections of former provincial highways transferred to the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto on April 1, 1997.

**(Matter held down for further discussion - also refer to Minute No. 82)****80. Duplicate Street Names and Emergency Response.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee had before it a report (March 4, 1998) from you responding to a request made by the Urban Environment and Development Committee on February 9, 1998, for a report on the issue of duplicate street names which now exist in the City of Toronto as a result of amalgamation; advising that a preliminary investigation revealed that 103 pairs/triplets of identical street names were created in the new City of Toronto (i.e., 98 pairs, 5 triplets); that the issue is further complicated by the occurrence of some 600 duplicate street names with different type extensions (e.g., Centre Avenue and Centre Road); pointing out that Bell 9-1-1 Emergency Services has documented concerns over changes required to the address databases, which are used extensively by emergency response personnel in municipalities throughout Ontario, as a result of the various municipal amalgamations across the Province; that Bell 9-1-1 staff anticipate that the number of address changes will be significant and the scheduling of these changes will be a major undertaking, but have suggested a process at their end to receive, quantify and schedule changes in order that updates are carried out expeditiously and accurately; stating that correspondence received from Canada Post indicates that it is also prepared to assist in resolving the issue of duplicate street names; that, in addition, the City will need to implement a system to avoid confusion in the delivery of municipal services on streets where duplicate names exist; explaining that further investigation by transportation staff, in co-operation with staff of other departments, organizations and cities, is required before a resolution for dealing with duplicate/triplicate street names can be recommended to Committee and Council; that a report will be prepared for consideration by the Urban Environment and Development Committee at an upcoming meeting; that, in the interim, emergency response dispatch will continue to utilize the former municipal identifier through the current Bell 9-1-1 system; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council that the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, be requested not to approve any additional names for new streets, which may be a duplication of existing street names, until such time as a policy has been established by Council;
- (2) requested the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, to submit a report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee with a list of the existing identical street names and the duplicate street names with different type extensions that were created in the new City of Toronto.

(Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Copy to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Mr. Garry H. Welsh, Works and Environment Department, Scarborough Civic Centre - March 30, 1998)

**(Clause No. 18 - Report No. 4)**

**81. Toronto Transit Commission: Sheppard Subway Bayview Station Bus Loop and Commuter Parking.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (February 26, 1998) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, advising that the Toronto Transit Commission (Commission) on February 25, 1998, approved the following Recommendations contained in report No. (25a), entitled "Staff Response to Commission Inquiry - Sheppard Subway Bayview Station Bus Loop and Commuter Parking":

"It is recommended that the Commission:

- (1) approve elimination of the construction of a commuter parking lot from the Bayview Station concept;
- (2) confirm the requirement for an exclusive off-street bus loop for Bayview Station;
- (3) authorize staff to negotiate a permanent exclusive easement for an off-street bus loop (at the north-end of the Dangreen property) now as part of the property settlement with Dangreen, potentially at no cost to the project;



- (4) authorize staff to work with the Developer and City staff to investigate alternative off-street bus loop locations that can be integrated with the proposed Dangreen development provided that the new off-street bus loop configuration is acceptable to the TTC with respect to operations and customer convenience, particularly with regard to an exclusive access to/from Bayview Avenue;
  - (5) confirm that the provision of an off-street bus loop, satisfactory to the TTC, be made a condition of the planning approvals for any development on the Dangreen site; and
  - (6) forward this report to the City of Toronto."
- (ii) (March 20, 1998) from Councillor David Shiner, Seneca Heights, advising that a comprehensive plan for the entire block which would contain the Sheppard Subway Bayview Station Bus Loop and Commuter Parking is being considered by North York Planning Department (NYPD) staff in conjunction with the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC), Dangreen, and the Orlando Corporation; that Orlando has been meeting with Dangreen and has advised the NYPD that it will be submitting a proposal within the next two weeks, and that these plans include commuter/community parking; requesting, as the TTC's proposal is only dealing with the narrow strip of land along Bayview Avenue, that the Urban Environment and Development Committee recommend that the TTC defer eliminating the commuter parking lot from the construction plans at this time, and that an updated report be requested from the NYPD on the entire block bounded by Sheppard Avenue East, Bayview Avenue, Bayview Mews Lane and Hawksbury Drive.
- (iii) (March 20, 1998) from Councillor Joanne Flint, North York Centre South, urging, in the strongest possible terms, that Members of the Urban Environment and Development Committee recommend against the elimination of proposed parking at the Bayview Subway Station; and stating that the residents of Ward 9 would be the first to suffer the negative and irritating effects of "spill-over" parking on their streets, should the long-promised parking at the Bayview Station not be provided.

On motion by, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council that the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to defer elimination of the construction of a commuter parking lot from the Bayview Station concept at this time;
- (2) directed that a copy of the aforementioned communications be forwarded to the North York Community Council for consideration; and

- (3) requested the Interim Functional Lead, Planning, in consultation with the appropriate officials of the Planning Department in the North York Civic Centre, to submit an updated report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee, through the North York Community Council, on the entire block bounded by Sheppard Avenue East, Bayview Avenue, Bayview Mews Lane and Hawksbury Drive.

(Interim Functional Lead, Planning; North York Community Council; Copy to: Councillor David Shiner, Seneca Heights; Councillor Joanne Flint, North York - Centre South; Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission; Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission; Mr. Bryan Tuckey, Planning Department, North York Civic Centre; Ms. Wendy Walberg, Legal Department - March 30, 1998)

**(Clause No. 9 - Report No. 4)**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee recessed at 5:55 p.m.

The Urban Environment and Development Committee reconvened at 9:38 a.m. on Tuesday, March 24, 1998.

**82. Prohibition of Advertising Signs Abutting the F.G. Gardiner Expressway (Formerly Queen Elizabeth Way), Eglinton Avenue West, Highway No. 2, Highway No. 2A, and Highway No. 27.**

**(Also refer to Minute No. 79)**

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned matter until its next meeting, scheduled to be held on April 20, 1998.

(Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Copy to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Mr. Keeva Lane, Supervisor, Road Allowance Control, Transportation Department, Metro Hall - March 24, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(p) - Report No. 4)****83. 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets.**

The Urban Environment and Development Committee had before it the following Budgets and communications:

- (i) 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for the Toronto Transit Commission;
- (ii) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Operating Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission, noting that:
  - (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;
- (iii) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Capital Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission, noting that:
  - (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to the inclusion of Project No. 378 - Queen's Quay Streetcar connection; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998; and
- (iv) (February 12, 1998) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, advising that the Commission on February 11, 1998, reaffirmed both the 1998 Operating Budget for the TTC and the 1998-2002 Capital Program Status;
- (v) 1998 Operating Budget for Transportation; and
- (vi) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Operating Budget for the Transportation Department, noting that:

- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to the amendments proposed by the Chief Administrative Officer as embodied in Section 'C'; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;
- (vii) 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for Urban Planning and Building;
- (viii) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Operating Budget for Urban Planning and Building, noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to the amendments proposed by the Chief Administrative Officer as embodied in Section 'C'; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;
- (ix) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Capital Budget for Urban Planning and Building, noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;
- (x) 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for the Toronto Zoo;
- (xi) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Operating Budget for the Toronto Zoo, noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to:

- (a) the net 1998 Operating Budget for the Toronto Zoo being established at an amount of \$6,888,000.00; and
    - (b) there being no increase in the admission fee to the Toronto Zoo in 1998; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998; and
- (xii) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Capital Budget for the Toronto Zoo, noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to any interest accruing due to the insurance settlement, which amounted to \$948,000.00, for the Zoo Monorail Ride, being allocated to the 1998 Capital Budget for the Toronto Zoo; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;
- (xiii) 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for Exhibition Place;
- (xiv) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Operating Budget for Exhibition Place, noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to the amendments proposed by the Chief Administrative Officer as embodied in Section 'C'; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998; and
- (xv) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Capital Budget for Exhibition Place, noting that:

- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to:
    - (a) the deletion of \$310,000.00 for Project 360 - Other Buildings - Relocation of the Ball Park Substation;
    - (b) the deletion of \$175,000.00 for Project 350 - Equipment - PCB Transformer Replacement - Arts, Crafts, Hobbies Substation (2);
    - (c) the deletion of \$30,000.00 for Project 001 - Pre-Engineering Studies;
    - (d) the deletion of \$30,000.00 for the replacement of carpet - Project 290 - Queen Elizabeth Building; and
    - (e) the expenditure for the water meters, in the amount of \$120,000.00, being allocated from the City of Toronto's Sewer and Water Budget; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;
- (xvi) 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority;
- (xvii) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Operating Budget for the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to the amendments proposed by the Chief Administrative Officer as embodied in Section 'C'; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998; and
- (xviii) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Capital Budget for the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, noting that:

- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;
- (xix) 1998 Capital Budget for the Toronto Harbour Commission;
- (xx) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Operating Budget for the Toronto Harbour Commission, noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to the reduction of the subsidy request to the 1997 level in the amount of \$2,775,00.00; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998; and
- (xxi) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Capital Budget for the Toronto Harbour Commission, noting that the Budget Committee on March 10, 1998, deferred consideration of this budget to its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;
- (xxii) 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for the Toronto Parking Authority; and
- (xxiii) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the communication dated March 11, 1998, from the Chairman, Toronto Parking Authority, noting that the Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, that the \$20.0 million from the Parking Authority reserve be accepted; and stating that the Budget Committee requested the Chief Administrative Officer, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, to submit a report to the Budget Committee for its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998, on the recommended use of these funds;
- (xxiv) 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for Economic Development and Tourism;

- (xxv) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Operating Budget for Economic Development and Tourism, noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to:
    - (a) the amendments proposed by the Chief Administrative Officer as embodied in Section 'C';
    - (b) the expenditures for conferences and travel being approved by the Economic Development Committee when it is established, prior to the funds being spent; and
    - (c) the allocation of funds in the amount of \$4.3 million for Tourism Toronto being considered by the Municipal Grants Review Committee; and that this matter be referred to the Office of the Mayor, with a request that the Mayor meet with the appropriate staff of Tourism Toronto and the Economic Development Department to ensure a co-ordinated effort and no duplication of services; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998; and
- (xxvi) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Capital Budget for Economic Development and Tourism, noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;
- (xxvii) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Operating Budget for the Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO), noting that:
- (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget; and



Urban Environment and Development Committee Minutes  
Monday, March 23, 1998, and Tuesday March 24, 1998

---

- (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998; and
- (xxviii) (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee submits, for review and comment, the 1998 Capital Budget for the Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO), noting that:
  - (1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget; and
  - (2) such budget will again be considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting to be held on March 31, 1998;

—————

The following officials appeared before the Urban Environment and Development Committee in connection with the respective 1998 Operating and/or Capital Budgets under their purview:

- Mr. David Gunn, Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission;
- Mr. Barry Gutteridge, Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and filed the following documents in connection with the 1998 Capital Budget for Transportation:
  - (i) Table 2 dated March 16, 1998, entitled "Proposed 1998 Capital Works Program: Major Roads and Expressways"; and

- (ii) Table 3 dated March 23, 1998, entitled "Proposed 1998 Capital Works Program: Additional Deferrals--\$18.4 million Reduction from Submitted Program";
- Mr. Doug Floyd, Interim Functional Lead, Transportation;
- Ms. Virginia West, Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; and filed a summary document dated March 9, 1998, entitled "1998 Budget--Urban Planning and Development Services";
- Mr. Yaman Uzumeri, Interim Functional Lead, Building;
- Mr. Lorne Ross, Interim Functional Lead, Planning;
- Mr. Rick Tomaszewicz, Planning Department, East York Civic Centre;
- Mr. Cal White, Interim Functional Lead, Toronto Zoo;
- Councillor Raymond Cho, Chair, Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo.
- Ms. Dianne Young, Interim General Manager, Exhibition Place;
- Mr. Craig Mather, Chief Administrative Officer, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority;
- Ms. Brenda Librecz, Interim Functional Lead, Economic Development; and filed the following:
  - (i) a summary document, entitled "Economic Development 1998 Budget"; and
  - (ii) a document, entitled "Appendix 'D'--Projects Helped by the Economic Development Partnership Program Since 1995";

On motion by, the Committee had before it following action with respect to the 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets under its purview:

Toronto Transit Commission:

- (a) concurred with the recommendations proposed to date by the Budget Committee with respect to the 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for the Toronto Transit Commission;

Transportation:

## (b) (i) Operating Budget:

concurred with the recommendations proposed to date by the Budget Committee with respect to the 1998 Operating Budget for Transportation;

## (ii) Capital Budget:

recommended to the Budget Committee that the 1998 Capital Budget for Transportation not be subject to the \$18.4 million reduction suggested by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Chief Administrative Officer, having regard for the importance of the transportation infrastructure within the new City of Toronto;

Urban Planning and Building:

(c) (1) concurred with the recommendations proposed to date by the Budget Committee with respect to the 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for Urban Planning and Building; and

(2) advised the Budget Committee that this service area cannot withstand any further budgetary reductions;

Toronto Zoo:

## (d) (i) Operating Budget:

recommended to the Budget Committee, with respect to the 1998 Operating Budget for the Toronto Zoo, that:

(a) Recommendation No. (1)(b) of the Budget Committee, embodied in the communication (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk, viz:

"(1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to:

- (b) there being no increase in the admission fee to the Toronto Zoo in 1998;"

be struck out and referred to the Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo, with a request that the Board submit comments thereon to the Budget Committee; and

- (b) concurred with Recommendation No. (1)(a) of the Budget Committee, embodied in the communication (March 18, 1998) from the City Clerk, viz:

"(1) the Budget Committee proposes to recommend to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for its meeting to be held on April 14, 1998, the adoption of such budget, subject to:

- (a) the net 1998 Operating Budget for the Toronto Zoo being established at an amount of \$6,888,000.00;" and

- (ii) Capital Budget:

concurred with the recommendations proposed to date by the Budget Committee with respect to the 1998 Capital Budget for the Toronto Zoo;

Exhibition Place:

- (e) concurred with the recommendations proposed to date by the Budget Committee with respect to the 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for Exhibition Place;

Toronto and Region Conservation Authority:

- (f) concurred with the recommendations proposed to date by the Budget Committee with respect to the 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority;

Toronto Harbour Commission:

- (g) advised the Budget Committee that no officials of the Toronto Harbour Commission (Commission) attended the March 23 and 24, 1998, Urban Environment and Development Committee meeting to discuss the Commission's 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets; therefore, the Urban Environment and Development Committee has no comments to make on the aforementioned Budgets;

Toronto Parking Authority:

- (h) recommended to the Budget Committee the adoption of the 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for the Toronto Parking Authority;

Economic Development and Tourism:

- (i) (1) recommended to the Budget Committee that \$342,000.00 for the Economic Development Partnership Grants Program be reinstated in the 1998 Operating Budget for Economic Development and Tourism; and
- (2) concurred with the remainder of the recommendations proposed to date by the Budget Committee with respect to the 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for Economic Development and Tourism;

Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO):

- (j) advised the Budget Committee that it took no action with respect to the 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets for the Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO);
- (k) requested the Interim Functional Lead, Transportation, to submit a report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee on:
- (a) the inclusion in the Transportation Capital Budget of \$100,000.00 in 1998, and \$1 million in 1999, for Project No. 703, Scarborough--Port Union Road Pedestrian Underpass (8604-1); and
- (b) the possibility of an engineering study of Port Union Road;
- (l) requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, with respect to the 1998 Operating Budget for Urban Planning and Building;

- (a) to give consideration to the centralization of the policy studies area as part of the amalgamated Department; and
- (b) to submit a report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee on the contingency plans necessary to bridge the timing and funding gap resulting from the elimination of the "Urgent Hazards" Program, pending the new legislation under the Vital Services Act that would allow the utilities to continue with the program;
- (m) requested the Toronto Harbour Commission to direct the appropriate officials to attend the meeting of the Urban Environment and Development Committee scheduled to be held on April 20, 1998, in order to discuss the Commission's 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets;
- (n) congratulated the Toronto Parking Authority for returning \$20.0 million from the Parking Authority reserve to the City of Toronto; and
- (o) requested the Interim Functional Lead, Economic Development, to submit a report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee on the status of the funding relationships with respect to Business Improvement Areas (BIAs), and whether or not the Province of Ontario is still involved in funding BIAs:

(Budget Committee; Interim Functional Lead, Transportation; Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services; Interim Functional Lead, Economic Development; Urban Environment and Development Committee; Mr. Gary Reid, Interim Functional Lead, Toronto Harbour Commissioners; Mr. A. Milliken Heisey, Q.C., Chairman, Toronto Parking Authority; Copy to: General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission; Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Mr. Rob Hatton, Urban Environment and Development Committee Lead, Finance Department; Mr. Andres Hachard, Finance Department; Mrs. Keshwer Patel, Senior Budget and Operations Analyst, Finance Department; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Interim Functional Lead, Planning; Interim Functional Lead, Building; Mr. Rick Tomaszewicz, Planning Department, East York Civic Centre; Councillor Raymond Cho, Chair, Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo; Mr. Cal White, Interim Functional Lead, Toronto Zoo; Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services; Ms. Brenda Librecz, Interim Functional Lead, Economic Development; Ms. Dianne Young, Interim General Manager, Exhibition Place; Mr. Craig mather, Chief

Administrative Officer, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority; Mr. Jim Kinrade, Interim Functional Lead, Toronto Parking Authority, North York Civic Centre; Mr. Erkki Pukonen, President and Chief Executive Officer, TEDCO - March 25, 1998)

**(Clause No. 19(q) - Report No. 4)**

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 12:31p.m.

---

Chair.