THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Division

Minutes of The East York Community Council

Meeting No. 12

Tuesday, October 12, 1999

The East York Community Council met on Tuesday, October 12, 1999, in the True Davidson Council Chamber, East York Civic Centre, East York, commencing at 9:31 a.m.

Members Present:

Councillor Jane Pitfield, Chair Councillor Case Ootes Councillor Michael Prue

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Minutes of the meetings of the East York Community Council held on September 14 and September 27, 1999, were confirmed.

12.1 Request for Exemption to By-law No. 81-89 Regulating the Height of Fences at 5 Crandall Road.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (September 21, 1999) from the East District Manager, Municipal Standards, reporting on a request for an exemption to East York By-law No. 81-89, being a by-law to regulate the height and description of lawful fences, at 5 Crandall Road, as submitted by Ms. P. Atkinson; advising that the applicant has submitted the required non-refundable fee of \$200.00; and requesting the East York Community Council to determine whether or not the reasons outlined by the applicant warrant approval of the requested variances.

The East York Community Council also viewed photographs, presented by the East District Manager, Municipal Standards, showing the subject fence as seen from the properties at Nos. 5 and 7 Crandall Road respectively.

The following persons appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Pamela Atkinson, East York;
- Mr. Brad Holland, East York, and submitted photographs of the subject fence; and
- Mr. Don Laskin, East York.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council unanimously recommended to Council that:

- (1) the request for exemption to East York Bylaw No. 81-89, which regulates the height of fences, at 5 Crandall Road be approved;
- (2) Section 3.2.2 of By-law No. 81-89, respecting the requirement for a non-refundable fee in the amount of \$200.00, be waived, and such amount refunded to Ms. Atkinson; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 11)

12.2 Toronto Pedestrian Committee.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (September 23, 1999) from Ms. J. Doiron and Ms. R. Swarbrick, Co-Chairs, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, requesting an opportunity to address the East York Community Council with respect to pedestrian issues and local concerns; and to encourage local participation in the Toronto Pedestrian Committee.

Ms. Helen Riley, East York, on behalf of the Toronto Pedestrian Committee, appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council received the foregoing communication and deputation.

(Clause No. 6(a), Report No. 11)

12.3 Fire and Ambulance Services KPMG Fire Station Locations and Fire/Ambulance Facilities Study.

The East York Community Council had before it the following joint reports:

- (i) (September 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, Fire Chief, and General Manager, Ambulance, providing a response to the recommendations contained in the KPMG Fire and Ambulance Services Station Location and Facilities Study; also providing estimated construction and fire station repair costs; and recommending that City Council adopt the recommendations of the KPMG Study, as amended by this report, and that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to implement those recommendations in accordance with the implementation schedule included as 'Attachment B';
- (ii) (September 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Fire Chief, responding to a Member of Council's request to consider alternative options for the closing of fire stations T26 and T31 as recommended in the KPMG Fire Station Location and Facilities Study report; advising that the recommendation to close four fire stations and construct two new fire stations has capital budget implications which are contained in the foregoing main report;
- (iii) (September 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Fire Chief, responding to a Member of Council's request for additional information respecting recommendations contained within the KPMG Fire Station Location and Facilities Study report regarding risk and insurance; and advising that there are no funding implications associated with the presentation of this report; and
- (iv) (September 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Fire Chief, responding to a Member of Council's request for additional information respecting recommendations contained within the KPMG Fire Station Location and Facilities Study report, viz: Rationalization of Facilities; Re-allocation of Apparatus; Fleet Maintenance; and Human Resource Implications.

The following City officials gave verbal presentations with respect to the KPMG Fire Station Location and Fire/Ambulance Facilities Study:

- Deputy Fire Chief Richard A. Simpson, Fire Services, and submitted a map indicating Toronto Fire Station locations; and
- Mr. Norm Lambert, Director, Emergency Services Operational Support, Ambulance Services, and submitted a written brief with respect thereto.

The following persons appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Jim Lee, President, Toronto Professional Fire Fighters' Association, and submitted a written brief with respect thereto;
- Mr. Ron Smith, East York;
- Mr. Grant Litherland, East York;
- Mr. John Coles, Don Mills; and
- Mr. Archie Mott, District Chief, formerly of East York.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council recommended to the Community Services Committee and Council that, prior to implementing changes that will significantly reduce the number of fire apparatus within the boundaries of the former East York, the following issues must be addressed: (i) the reallocation of run volume from decommissioned apparatus; and (ii) response capability must be analysed; and reports having requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Fire Chief, to submit a report thereon to the East York Community Council and the Community Services Committee.

Councillor Pitfield appointed Councillor Ootes Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Pitfield, the East York Community Council recommended to the Community Services Committee and Council that:

- (1) a representative of the Toronto Professional Fire Fighters' Association be included in any further discussions of the working group established by the Fire Chief to review KPMG's recommendations; and
- (2) that the communications system, breathing apparatus, and map system be standardized.

Councillor Pitfield resumed the Chair.

(Community Services Committee; c. Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Fire Chief; General Manager, Ambulance Services; Interested Persons - October 14, 1999)

(Clause No. 6(b), Report No. 11)

12.4 Decision Making Protocol for Parks and Recreation Matters - All Wards.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (September 16, 1999) from the City Clerk, advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee, at its meeting on September 13, 1999, referred the attached report (August 23, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting a protocol for processing parks and recreation matters through Committees of Council; as amended by:

- (1) amending Recommendation No. (2) to read:
 - "(2) upon consideration of this report and any comments from the Community Councils, the Economic Development and Parks Committee endorse a protocol for dealing with parks and recreation matters and authorize its use by the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (or designate) in determining the appropriate Committee routing process for parks and recreation matters;";
- (2) amending Recommendation No. (3) to read:
 - "(3) authority be granted, at the appropriate time, to introduce any necessary Bills in Council."; and
- (3) deleting the word "citizen" in the following report and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "nomination to City-wide special committees and task forces of residents and/or municipal property taxpayers in the City of Toronto who are at least 18 years of age, except on bodies dealing with children and youth issues.";

to all Community Councils for consideration with a request that any comments be forwarded to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on November 8, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council advised the Economic Development and Parks Committee that it concurs with the recommendations contained in the report (August 23, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, as amended by the Economic Development and Parks Committee.

(Economic Development and Parks Committee; c. Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism - October 14, 1999)

(Clause 6(c), Report No. 11)

12.5 Organizational Structure for the New Committee of Adjustment.

The East York Community Council had before it the following communications:

(i) (September 14, 1999) from the City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee, advising that at its meeting on September 13, 1999, the Planning and Transportation Committee referred Recommendations Nos. (2) to (6) contained in the attached report (August 26, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, with respect to the organizational structure for the Committee of Adjustment, together with the following motions, to the Community Councils for review and comment to the Planning and Transportation Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held on November 1, 1999:

By Councillor McConnell:

"That Recommendation 2(iii) of the report (August 26, 1999) be amended to clarify that it is the Committee of Adjustment that appoints a City-wide Chair, so as to read:

"2(iii) the Committee of Adjustment appoint a City-wide Chair to provide leadership for the Committee and each Panel appoint a District Chair to guide the process at the local level"; and

By Councillor Berger:

"That the membership of the Committee of Adjustment consist of 5 members, chaired by the Secretary-Treasurer.";

- (ii) (September 16, 1999) from Ms. Lorna Krawchuk, East York, providing comments with respect to the proposed organizational structure of the new Committee of Adjustment;
- (iii) (October 3, 1999) from Ms. May Weir, East York, providing comments with respect to the proposed organizational structure of the new Committee of Adjustment;
- (iv) (October 12, 1999) from Mr. Justin Van Dette, Vice-President, Parkview Hills Community Association, advising that at its meeting on September 27, 1999, the Parkview Hills Community Association unanimously passed a motion in favour of the preservation and existence of the East York Committee of Adjustment and in opposition to the proposal to merge the East York Committee of Adjustment with Scarborough's Committee of Adjustment; and

(v) (October 12, 1999) from Mr. John L. Parker, Toronto, providing comments with respect to the proposed organizational structure of the new Committee of Adjustment.

The following persons appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Justin Van Dette, Vice-President, Parkview Hills Community Association;
- Mr. Brian Athey, Chair, East York Committee of Adjustment;
- Mr. George Vasilopoulos, East York;
- Ms. Donna-Lynn McCallum, East York, and submitted a written brief with respect thereto:
- Mr. Terry Kuzak, on behalf of the Thorncliffe Park Tenants' Association,
- Mr. Norm Smith, Ward 2 Property Owners' Association, East York;
- Mr. Alan Gaw, President, Topham Park Homeowners' Association;
- Ms. Agnes Vermes, President, Leaside Property Owners' Association; and
- Ms. Carol Burtin-Fripp, East York.
- A. Councillor Ootes moved that:
 - (i) every second meeting of the East District Committee of Adjustment Panel be held at the East York Civic Centre;
 - (ii) those meetings held in the East York Civic Centre be held in the evening.
- B. Councillor Prue moved that the report (August 26, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be amended by:
 - (1) amending Recommendation (2)(i) to read as follows:
 - "(2)(i) the Committee operate as six District Panels corresponding with the six geographic districts of the Community Councils;"
 - (2) amending Recommendation (2)(ii) to read as follows:
 - "(2)(ii) the Committee comprise a total of 34 members assigned and nominated as follows:

North York District: 5 members all nominated by North York Community Council;

Toronto District: 9 members all nominated

by Toronto Community Council;

East York District: 5 members all nominated

by East York Community Council;

Scarborough District: 5 members all nominated

b y
Scarborough
Community
Council;

York District: 5 members all nominated

by York Community Council; and

Etobicoke District 5 members all nominated

by Etobicoke Community Council;";

(3) amending Recommendation No. (2)(v) to read as follows:

"(2)(v) each Committee Hearing be held in the evening; and";

(4) amending Recommendation No. (2)(vi) to read as follows:

"(2)(vi) the Committee's six District Panels conduct Hearings in the following six locations: Toronto City Hall and the East York, North York, York, Etobicoke, and Scarborough Civic Centres.":

01/10 00mu0s. ,

(5) amending Recommendation No. (6) by adding at the end thereof "in line with the Community Councils", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

"(6) The Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services submit a report to City Council, at the

beginning of its next term, evaluating the new Committee of Adjustment's organizational structure in line with the Community Councils."

The foregoing motion A(i) by Councillor Ootes, was lost on the following division of votes:

FOR: Councillor Ootes.

AGAINST: Councillor Pitfield and Councillor Prue.

The foregoing motion A(ii) by Councillor Ootes, was carried unanimously.

The foregoing motion B by Councillor Prue, was carried unanimously.

In summary, the action of the East York Community Council is as follows:

The East York Community Council unanimously recommended to the Planning and Transportation Committee, and Council, that the report (August 26, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be adopted, subject to the following amendments:

- (1) amending Recommendation (2)(i) to read as follows:
- "(2)(i) the Committee operate as six District Panels corresponding with the six geographic districts of the Community Councils;"
 - (2) amending Recommendation (2)(ii) to read as follows:
 - "(2)(ii) the Committee comprise a total of 34 members assigned and nominated as follows:

North York District: 5 members all nominated by North York

Community Council;

Toronto District: 9 members all nominated by Toronto Community

Council;

East York District: 5 members all nominated by East York

Community Council;

Scarborough District: 5 members all nominated by Scarborough

Community Council;

York District: 5 members all nominated by York Community

Council; and

Etobicoke District 5 members all nominated by Etobicoke Community Council;";

- (3) amending Recommendation No. (2)(v) to read as follows:
 - "(2)(v) each Committee Hearing be held in the evening; and";
- (4) amending Recommendation No. (2)(vi) to read as follows:
 - "(2)(vi) the Committee's six District Panels conduct Hearings in the following six locations: Toronto City Hall and the East York, North York, York, Etobicoke, and Scarborough Civic Centres.";
- (5) amending Recommendation No. (6) by adding at the end thereof "in line with the Community Councils", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:
 - "(6) The Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services submit a report to City Council, at the beginning of its next term, evaluating the new Committee of Adjustment's organizational tructure in line with the Community Councils."; and
- (6) notwithstanding Recommendation No. (3) above, any Committee of Adjustment Hearings which are held at the East York Civic Centre be held in the evening.

(Planning and Transportation Committee: c. Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Interested Persons - October 14, 1999)

(Clause No. 6(d), Report No. 11)

12.6 Esandar Drive - Prohibition of Parking on the East Side from Industrial Street to a Point 36.6 Metres South.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (September 27, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting, as requested by Economic Development Services, on behalf of Eka Chemicals, Premises No. 39 Esandar Drive, on an investigation into prohibiting parking on the east side of Esandar Drive, south of Industrial Street, to improve the turn radii for large trucks entering and leaving the driveway leading to Premises No. 39; advising that funds to undertake the necessary signage adjustments in the estimated amount of \$500.00 are contained in the Transportation Services Division 1999 Current Budget; and recommending that:

(1) parking be prohibited at anytime on the east side of Esandar Drive from Industrial Street to a point 36.6 metres south; and

(2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 11)

12.7 Traffic Concerns on McRae Drive between Millwood Road and Laird Drive and Proposed Road Classification System.

The East York Community Council, had before it the following communications and report:

- (i) (October 4, 1999) from Ms. J. Lauzon, Toronto, requesting an opportunity to address the Community Council with respect to traffic concerns on McRae Drive between Millwood Road and Laird Drive;
- (ii) (October 5, 1999) from Ms. Carol Burtin-Fripp, Leaside Property Owners' Association Inc., requesting the East York Community Council to re-open the issue with respect to the proposed road classification system;
- (iii) (October 8, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Programming and Policy, reporting, as requested, on the issue of McRae Drive as it evolves from a minor road to a collector road and concerns raised by Ms. Swarbrick in her deputation to the East York Community Council on September 14, 1999; and
- (iv) (October 11, 1999) from the Co-Chairs, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, forwarding recommendations from the Toronto Pedestrian Committee with respect to the proposed road classification system.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council re-opened for further consideration, minute No. 10.18 with respect to the report (June 29, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services regarding the proposed road classification system which the Community Council had previously considered at its meeting on September 14, 1999.

The following persons appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mrs. J. Lauzon, East York;
- Mr. Eric Olson, East York; and
- Ms. Carol Burtin-Fripp, Leaside Property Owners' Association, and submitted a written brief with respect thereto.

The East York Community Council referred the foregoing communications and report to the Works Committee and Council advising that it:

On motion by Councillor Prue:

- (1) endorses the recommendation of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services that the classification for McRae Road from Laird Drive to Millwood Road be changed from minor arterial road to collector road;
- (2) recommends that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a further report to the East York Community Council and the Works Committee with respect to Millwood Road and Southvale Drive:
- (3) recommends that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to conduct a study of McRae Road such study to consider stop signs at Southvale Drive and McRae Road and other traffic calming measures acceptable to the community and paying particular attention to the needs of the Fire Services and the TTC; and

on motion by Councillor Ootes:

(4) recommends that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to bring forward a harmonized City-wide policy with respect to speed bumps and speed humps and that until such a policy is approved by City Council, all further requests for such traffic

calming measures throughout the City be held in abeyance.

(Works Committee; c. Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Interested Persons - October 14, 1999)

(Clause No. 6(e), Report No. 11)

12.8 Florida Restaurant - 940 Pape Avenue Amendment to Encroachment Agreement.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (September 29, 1999) from Councillor Michael Prue, respecting an encroachment agreement between the former Borough of East York and the former owners of the Florida Restaurant regarding an outdoor patio; advising that the new owner of the Florida Restaurant has applied for and received a liquor license from the Liquor License Board to serve alcohol on the patio for the same hours as his restaurant (i.e., from opening to 2:00 a.m. or closing) until December 31, 2000; further advising that the present owner is requesting that Section 8 of the aforementioned encroachment agreement be amended to provide that alcoholic beverages may be served until at least midnight or, preferably, until the close of business; and requesting that the Community Council be advised of the process to be followed in considering this request.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council:

- (1) referred the foregoing matter to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services with a request that he submit a report thereon to the East York Community Council, such report to include comment on:
 - (a) time frames presently in practice in East York with respect to the use of outdoor patios;
 - (b) whether or not any complaints, including complaints with respect to noise or rowdyism, have been received regarding this location; and
- (2) requested the City Clerk to forward the above-requested report, when it is available,

to all residents within a 60 metre radius of the subject property at 940 Pape Avenue, in order to allow such residents to address this Community Council should they have any concerns with respect to this matter.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c. City Clerk; Councillor Prue; Mr. Costa Baklais, Florida Restaurant, East York - October 15, 1999)

(Clause No. 6(f), Report No. 11)

12.9 Request for Exemption to East York By-law No. 17-94 - To Prohibit the Discharge of Guns or Firearms.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (September 29, 1999) from Councillor Michael Prue, advising that the Toronto Estonian Rifle and Pistol Club was inadvertently omitted from the list of those areas of the former Borough of East York exempt from Section 1 of By-law No. 17-94, being a By-law to prohibit the discharge of guns or firearms; and requesting that the By-law be amended as appropriate.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council recommended to Council that East York By-law No. 17-94, being a By-law to prohibit the discharge of guns or firearms, be amended to provide that the Estonian Rifle Club be included in the list of those areas of the former Borough of East York Exempt from Section 1 of such By-law; and that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 11)

12.10 Request for Variance from East York Sign By-law Requirements for Labels Retail Store At 3003 Danforth Avenue.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (September 27, 1999) from the Manager, East York Field Office, Building Division, Urban Planning and Development

Services, reporting on an application for a variance from East York By-law No. 64-87, "For Prohibiting and Regulating the Erection of Signs and Other Advertising Devices"; advising that the applicant proposes to install a facial sign with an overall height exceeding the height permitted by the East York Sign By-law; that the proposed sign would be installed on the north wall of the south wing of the building, facing the parking area; and recommending that:

- (1) Council deny the variance from the Sign By-law requirements to permit the installation of a facial sign for Labels with an overall height of 4.26 metres (14 feet) at 3003 Danforth Avenue, on the north wall of the south wing of the building, facing the parking area;
- (2) if Council wishes to permit the facial sign, to do so by way of a site specific Sign By-law amendment, attached herewith, for 3003 Danforth Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The following persons appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Bill Houston, on behalf of Twilight Signs, Mississauga, and submitted a written brief and drawings with respect thereto; and
- Mr. Steven Jolly, on behalf of Labels Retail Store, Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council recommended that Council:

- (1) approve the request for a variance from the Sign By-law requirements to permit the installation of a facial sign for Labels with an overall height of 4.26 metres (14 feet) at 3003 Danforth Avenue, on the north wall of the south wing of the building, facing the parking area;
- (2) enact a site specific Sign By-law amendment, in the form attached to the report (September 27, 1999) from the Manager, East York Field Office, Works and Emergency Services, for 3003 Danforth Avenue; and
- (3) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give

effect to the foregoing, including the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 11)

12.11 Request for Variance from East York Sign By-law Requirements for BiWay Retail Store at 3003 Danforth Avenue.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (September 27, 1999) from the Manager, East York Field Office, Building Division, Urban Planning and Development Services, Reporting on an application for a variance from East York By-law No. 64-87, "For Prohibiting and Regulating the Erection of Signs and Other Advertising Devices"; advising that the applicant proposes to install a facial sign not in compliance with the East York Sign By-law; that the sign would be located on the north wall of the building, facing Danforth Avenue; and recommending that:

- (1) Council deny the variance from the Sign By-law requirements to permit the installation of a facial sign for BiWay with an overall height of 4.26 metres (14 feet) at 3003 Danforth Avenue on the north wall of the building facing Danforth Avenue;
- (2) if Council wishes to permit the facial sign, to do so by way of a site specific Sign By-law amendment, attached herewith, for 3003 Danforth Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Mr. William Smith, on behalf of BiWay Retail Store, Toronto, appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended that Council:

- (1) approve the request for a variance from the Sign By-law requirements to permit the installation of a facial sign for BiWay with an overall height of 4.26 metres (14 feet) at 3003 Danforth Avenue, on the north wall of the building facing Danforth Avenue;
- (2) enact a site specific Sign By-law amendment, in the form attached to the report (September 27, 1999) from the Manager, East York Field

Office, Works and Emergency Services, for 3003 Danforth Avenue; and

(3) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 11)

12.12 Request for Variance from East York Sign By-law Requirements for Moores Retail Store at 3003 Danforth Avenue.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (September 27, 1999) from the Manager, East York Field Office, Building Division, Urban Planning and Development Services, reporting on an application for a variance from East York By-law No. 64-87, "For Prohibiting and Regulating the Erection of Signs and Other Advertising Devices"; advising that the applicant proposes to install a facial sign not in compliance with the East York Sign By-law; that the proposed sign is for the Moores retail store at Shoppers World Plaza, 3003 Danforth Avenue at Victoria Park Avenue; and recommending that Council deny the variance from the Sign By-law requirements to permit the installation of more than one facial sign on the same wall elevation, for Moores store, at 3003 Danforth Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council deferred consideration of this matter until its meeting scheduled to be held on November 9, 1999.

(Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; c. Manager, East York Field Office, Building Division, Urban Planning and Development Services; c. Interested Parties - October 15, 1999)

(Clause No. 6(g), Report No. 11)

12.13 Harmonization of Sign By-law.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (October 12, 1999) from the City Clerk, North York Community Council, forwarding Item (h), entitled "Harmonization of Sign By-law", embodied in Clause No. 24, of Report No. 8 of the

North York Community Council, headed "Other Items Considered by the Community Council", which City Council, at its meeting held on September 28 and 29, 1999, struck out and referred to the Community Councils for further consideration and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee for its meeting to be held on November 1, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council:

- (1) referred the foregoing matter to the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services with a request that he submit a report thereon to the next meeting of the Community Council to be held on November 9, 1999, such report to provide background information; and
- (2) recommended that the Planning and Transportation Committee defer consideration of this matter until the East York Community Council has had sufficient time to consider the requested information.

(Planning and Transportation Committee; c. Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning; Interested Persons - October 14, 1999)

(Clause No. 6(h), Report No. 11)

The East York Community Council recessed at the following times:

recessed: 12:23 p.m. resumed: 7:00 p.m.

The East York Community Council adjourned its meeting at 9:20 p.m.

Chair