

## CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENTS

Certified to be a true copy of amendments to:

Report No. 6 of The Administration Committee,  
Report No. 7 of The Administration Committee,  
Report No. 8 of The Administration Committee,  
Report No. 4 of The Community Services Committee,  
Report No. 4 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee,  
Report No. 5 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee,  
Report No. 4 of The Planning and Transportation Committee,  
Report No. 5 of The Planning and Transportation Committee,  
Report No. 7 of The Policy and Finance Committee,  
Report No. 8 of The Policy and Finance Committee,  
Report No. 6 of The Works Committee,  
Report No. 7 of The Works Committee,  
Report No. 4 of The Downtown Community Council,  
Report No. 5 of The East Community Council,  
Report No. 6 of The East Community Council,  
Report No. 4 of The Midtown Community Council,  
Report No. 4 of The North Community Council,  
Report No. 5 of The Southwest Community Council,  
Report No. 4 of The West Community Council,  
Report No. 4 of The Audit Committee,  
Report No. 3 of The Board of Health,  
Report No. 3 of The Nominating Committee, and  
Report No. 5 of The Striking Committee,

and Notices of Motions, Enquiries and Answers, as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its regular meeting held on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001.

### **REPORT NO. 6 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - "Establishment of Reference Group for Nathan Phillips Square Design Competition".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the recommendations of the Striking Committee embodied in the communication dated May 22, 2001, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

‘The Striking Committee on January 18, 2001, recommended to Council that:

- (1) the following Members of Council be appointed to the Nathan Phillips Square Design Reference Group for a term of office to expire when the design competition is complete and an implementation strategy is before Council, by the end of 2001:

A. Johnston  
C. Korwin-Kuczynski  
P. Milczyn  
H. Moscoe  
J. Pantalone  
K. Rae  
M. Walker; and

- (2) the appropriate officials be directed to take any necessary action to given effect thereto.”

**Clause No. 2 - “Status of the TEDCO Investigation”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001, and the Chief Administrative Officer was requested to submit a report to Council, for consideration therewith, on the conclusion of the investigation and what contact there was with the Ontario Provincial Police (OPP) and, in consultation with the Solicitor, to include in such report a response to the third paragraph of the Press Release dated May 2001, from the OPP, viz.:

“An initial request for an OPP investigation was received directly from Toronto City Council in July 2000, however, no action could be taken on it. According to the Police Services Act, the Toronto Police Service has jurisdiction in the City of Toronto and, therefore, the request for OPP involvement had to originate with that police service.”

**Clause No. 3 - “Permanent Names for Community Councils (All Wards)”.**

The Clause was amended by amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated March 14, 2001, from the City Clerk, by:

- (1) deleting from Recommendation No. (1)(b) the word “Downtown” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “Toronto East York”;
- (2) deleting from Recommendation No. (1)(d) the word “Uptown”; and
- (3) inserting in Recommendation No. (1)(e), after the word “Humber”, the word “York”;

so that the permanent names for the Community Councils shall now be as follows:

- (a) Scarborough Community Council (re-named from the East Community Council);
- (b) Toronto East York Community Council;
- (c) Midtown Community Council;
- (d) North York Community Council (re-named from the North Community Council);
- (e) Humber York Community Council (re-named from the Southwest Community Council); and
- (f) Etobicoke Community Council (re-named from the West Community Council).

**Clause No. 4 - “Remuneration and Expenses of Members of Council and of the Council Appointees to Local Boards and Other Special Purpose Bodies for the Period January 1, 2000, to December 31, 2000”.**

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by striking out and referring the following Recommendation No. (1) of the Administration Committee to the Chief Administrative Officer for a review of the existing policies respecting travel and expenses by Members of Council and report thereon to the Administration Committee before September, 2001; such report to be in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism on foreign economic promotions, and the City Auditor on allowable expenses and reporting procedures:

“The Administration Committee:

- (1) recommends that off-shore travel by Members of Council be restricted except as authorized by the appropriate Standing Committee and Council; and that Members of Council authorized by Council to travel be limited to two Councillors for any travel;”;
- (2) in accordance with the joint report dated April 11, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Clerk, embodying the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that Clause No. 18 of Report No. 5 of The Administration Committee (*now Clause No. 4 of Report No. 6 of the Administration Committee*), entitled ‘Remuneration and Expenses of Members of Council and of the Council Appointees to Local Boards and Other Special Purpose Bodies for the Period January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2000’, be amended to reflect the technical adjustments as highlighted on the attached revised pages.”; and

(3) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in future reports on the remuneration and expenses of Members of Council and of the Council appointees to Local Boards and other Special Purpose Bodies, be requested to list the Councillors in alphabetical order, the trip they took and the amount of money spent, rather than identifying the funding source.”

**Clause No. 5 - “Works and Emergency Services Yard Consolidation”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee and the Administration Committee, after Phase 1 of the Yard Consolidation Study has been completed, providing an assessment of the value of the Study and to request approval for the funding for Phase 2.”

#### **REPORT NO. 7 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 3 - “Access to Property Databases by City Councillors”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001.

**Clause No. 9 - “Application for Approval to Expropriate Interest in Land for the North York Centre Plan Service Road, 29 Byng Avenue - (Ward 23 - Willowdale)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the joint confidential report dated May 28, 2001, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the City Solicitor, be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to litigation or potential litigation, save and except the following recommendations embodied therein:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council proceed with the expropriation of 29 Byng Avenue, as recommended in the report dated March 9, 2001, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services;
- (2) staff be directed to clarify with Eminent Construction Limited that Eminent will fund the City’s expropriation or other acquisition of 29 Byng Avenue and all costs associated therewith;

- (3) in the event that an agreement is not reached with Eminent to fund the costs of the expropriation, the cost of the acquisition/expropriation be funded from the Yonge Centre Development Charge Reserve fund, as approved by City Council on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the execution of any required agreements.’ ”

**Clause No. 13** - **“Sale of Surplus City-Owned Vacant Land, Southeast Corner of Ellesmere Road and Neilson Road (Ward 43 - Scarborough East)”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001, in order to provide the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the City Solicitor, an opportunity to meet with the Rouge Valley Health System to discuss the future use of the property and to submit a report directly to Council, for consideration therewith, on the outcome of such discussions, having regard that the Rouge Valley Health System has advised staff that it did not receive any allocation of long-term care beds and remains interested in acquiring the property to secure a location for future health care and social services use.

**Clause No. 18** - **“Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (b), entitled “Retention Period for Records in the Custody and Control of the Toronto Police Services Board and Toronto Police Service”, embodied therein, back to the Administration Committee for further consideration and the hearing of deputations.

### **REPORT NO. 8 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1** - **“Moving Ahead With the Master Accommodation Plan (MAP) and the Disposition of Metro Hall”.**

The Clause, together with the following motions, was struck out and referred back to the Administration Committee for further consideration, with requests that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services:
  - (a) submit a report to the Administration Committee on the development of a City Hall complex around 100 Queen Street West;
  - (b) investigate the feasibility of buying a Class ‘B’ building, larger than 210,000 square feet, central to City Hall;
  - (c) further investigate both Option 2(a) over a 25-year period and retaining both City Hall and Metro Hall; selling outlying Civic Centres; and, to accommodate district staff, examine the concept of virtual office space and/or purchasing more modest

- office facilities in the service districts and report thereon to the Administration Committee; and
- (d) submit a schedule to the Administration Committee identifying what staff are proposed to be located in each building; and
- (2) the Administration Committee give consideration to the Mayor establishing a special Committee of Council to guide this process, including the Master Accommodation Plan, through to Council.

*Motions Referred to Committee:*

Moved by Councillor Berardinetti:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the previously approved funding of \$46.4 million, which included \$38.2 million for Phase II of the Master Accommodation Plan, \$7.7 million for the City Hall main floor renovations and \$0.5 million for the Nathan Phillips Square design competition, be reallocated as follows:
- (a) \$43.2 million for Phase II of the Master Accommodation Plan;
  - (b) \$2.7 million for the City Hall main floor renovation; and
  - (c) \$0.5 million for the Nathan Phillips Square design competition;
- (2) the City-owned property commonly known as Metro Hall at 55 John Street be declared surplus to municipal requirements and that the property be offered for sale without an asking price through the City appointed real estate consultant/brokers, Royal LePage Commercial Inc., on the open market, and that all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken, including regular reporting to the Administration Committee;
- (3) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to continue to monitor the Downtown office market for any class ‘B’ office buildings which may be offered for sale and could accommodate the South District office space needs and report thereon with recommendations for appropriate actions to the Administration Committee;
- (4) the Consulting/Listing Agreement between the City and Royal LePage Commercial Inc. for the marketing and sale of Metro Hall be extended for a period of six (6) months from the date that Council approves this report; and

- (5) the confidential report dated May 28, 2001, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be adopted.”

Moved by Councillor Milczyn:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to:

- (1) submit a report to the Administration Committee on options for the construction of a new Class ‘B’ office building on City-owned lands adjacent to City Hall;
- (2) submit a report to the West District Office Consolidation Group, prior to the end of June 2001, on options for municipal office space in the West District; and
- (3) submit a report to the Administration Committee on options to reduce the number of office buildings to be utilized in the East District.”

Moved by Councillor Pantalone:

“That the Clause be amended by striking out the recommendations of the Administration Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council list Metro Hall for sale (with a possible lease back scenario), subject to a favourable Council decision to purchase or build a 210,000 square foot building not too far from Toronto City Hall;
- (2) the actual sale and purchase/build figure be submitted to City Council at the same time, with actual financial figures;
- (3) any other purchase be deferred until the Council meeting referred to in Recommendation No. (2), above; and
- (4) the Mayor be requested to establish a special Committee of Council to guide this process, including the Master Accommodation Plan report, through to Council.”

#### **REPORT NO. 4 OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Immigration and Settlement Policy Framework”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the City of Toronto reiterate its opposition to any fees that have a discriminatory impact.”

**Clause No. 6 - “Elevator Repairs and Work Order Notifications”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to obtain information from the Provincial Technical Standards and Safety Authority on an anticipated safe life for elevators and means to require owners to plan for elevator replacement before safety concerns result in elevators being taken out of service, and report thereon to Council, through the Community Services Committee.”

**Clause No. 7 - “Provincial Legislation for ‘Rent Roll Back’ ”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001.

**REPORT NO. 4 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Toronto Financial Services Cluster Review (City Wide)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to:

- (1) include TABIA and consumer representation on the Financial Services Cluster Alliance; and
- (2) submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on the structure and composition of a political steering committee to work with the Financial Services Cluster Alliance.”

**REPORT NO. 5 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 6 - “International Alliances Program - Proposed Missions for 2001 (All Wards)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) Councillor Olivia Chow be an advisor to the proposed trade mission to Hong Kong;



- (2) future policy criteria be based on all factors set out in Table 2, as well as the history of the relationships between the City of Toronto and the relevant Cities;
- (3) in order to ensure consistency and to capture new investment opportunities, any Members of Council and senior staff who travel internationally and who wish to represent the City of Toronto, be requested to contact the Economic Development Division, prior to their departure, if they wish to offer assistance in meeting potential investors or to advance the interests of the City of Toronto;
- (4) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to Council, through the Policy and Finance Committee, on the development of an international policy framework to co-ordinate and focus the City of Toronto's international relationships;
- (5) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the TO-Bid Committee and the Mayor, be requested to determine the appropriate Members of the delegation to Moscow for the IOC meeting to select the host City for the 2008 Olympics;
- (6) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to:
  - (a) explore the feasibility of a relationship between the City of Toronto and the City of Kunming (in the province of Yunnan) in China, and report thereon to the Economic Development and Parks Committee;
  - (b) report further to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on Kiev, after consultation with Councillors in Wards that have a significant Ukrainian population;
  - (c) submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on all expenses incurred by Members of Council and staff travelling on Olympic-related matters in the past 24 months and through to the end of July 2001; and
  - (d) consult, in future, with the City of Toronto's representatives on IULA and Metropolis regarding City-to-City alliances, prior to establishing an annual program;
- (7) the following motion be referred to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for further consideration:

Moved by Councillor Cho:

‘It is further recommended that, in future, Members of Council be limited to participating in only two international trips annually, at the expense of the City of Toronto.’; and

- (8) the following motion be referred to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism for report thereon to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on the actions recommended therein:

Moved by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski:

‘It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to work with the Cities of Riga, Tallinn, Vilnius, Kiev and Warsaw on economic development initiatives.’ ”

**Clause No. 9 - “City of Toronto Third Party Outdoor Advertising Program - Proposed Approach to Third Party Outdoor Advertising on City Property and on Certain Public Rights-of-Way (All Wards)”.**

The Clause was amended:

- (1) to provide that:
- (a) the policy not apply to sponsorship signage in respect of the Tree Advocacy Program; and
  - (b) advertising, as a matter of general principle, not be allowed in parks, and the pilot project be treated as an exemption to this rule; and

- (2) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the highest quality of design be ensured through these pilot projects;
- (b) the interdepartmental staff team be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee, as soon as possible, on opportunities to maximize City revenues or other benefits from existing and future requests for sign locations;
- (c) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to:
  - (i) consult with local baseball organizations in the development and implementation of the program at baseball facilities; and
  - (ii) consult all amateur sports organizations on the proposal for signage on sports fields;
- (d) the Commissioners of Corporate Services, Works and Emergency Services and Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to include, in the final policy, a recommendation that local Councillors be consulted; and

- (e) the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services be requested to submit a further report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on a long-term comprehensive strategy for improving the quality of design of street furniture and signage used in the public road allowance.”

**REPORT NO. 4 OF THE PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Program Enhancements and Consolidation of By-laws Affecting Parking Enforcement on Private Property”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) striking out and referring Recommendation No. (6) embodied in the joint report dated February 21, 2001, from the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as amended by the Planning and Transportation Committee, to the Licensing Sub-Committee for further review and the hearing of deputations, viz.:

“(6) the charging of any fee or service charges for using visitor parking spaces in residential buildings be prohibited, and appropriate City of Toronto by-laws be amended to conform to this change.”;

- (2) deleting the following exceptions to the 30-minute grace period:

“- vehicles that are being relocated into a supervised, secured, fenced compound located on the same property; and

- unauthorized vehicles found parked on a licensed commercial parking lot.”; and

- (3) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the grace period exemption be extended to reserved employee parking, provided that it is posted as such; and
- (b) the report dated April 11, 2001, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the City Solicitor be directed to apply to the Regional Senior Justice of the Ontario Court of Justice for a set fine of \$60.00 for violations to the recommended new harmonized by-law prohibiting unauthorized parking on private or municipal property; and
- (2) the balance of this report be received as information.’ ”

**REPORT NO. 5 OF THE PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Issuance of Additional Ambassador Taxicab Licences in 2001”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to:
  - (a) submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee, by March 2002, or earlier, if possible, on the number of new plates that should be issued using the new formula; and
  - (b) submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on requiring Ambassador Class licence holders, as a condition of their licence, to provide the City with financial information from their meters; and
- (2) the following motion be referred to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for report thereon to the Licensing Sub-Committee in the fall of 2001:

Moved by Councillor Layton:

‘That any plates issued above and beyond the 100 plates already approved in the by-law, be for ultra low-emission vehicles.’ ”

**Clause No. 2 - “Issuance of Accessible Taxicab Owners’ Licences”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated May 16, 2001, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that, if Council directs that By-law No. 574-2000 be amended to cease the issuance of surplus Accessible taxicab licences to taxicab brokerages, then the amendment should apply to the year 2001 allotment of Accessible licences and to any future allotment of such licences.’ ”

**Clause No. 3 - “Harmonization of the Division Fence By-law”.**

The Clause was amended:

- (1) to provide that the current method of the former City of Toronto continue to apply within the boundaries of the former City of Toronto, and the Commissioner of Urban

Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee in December 2001 in this regard; and

(2) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

(a) the report dated May 16, 2001, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the necessary City departments, be requested to investigate the possibility of establishing a grants programme to allow the City, in unique circumstances, to assist with the cost of erecting fences along public highways and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee.’; and

(b) the joint report dated May 16, 2001, from the City Clerk and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Schedule of Fees set out in this report be adopted;
- (2) the Office of the City Clerk be allocated one additional staff person, in order to carry out the additional responsibilities, with funds required therefor drawn from the fees collected as a result of the adoption of the Line Fences Act arbitration process; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

**Clause No. 6 - “Graffiti Transformation Program: 2001 Recommendations”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee, prior to the 2002 Operating Budget process, on other areas across the City of Toronto where graffiti needs to be removed and vandalized surfaces transformed into murals.”

#### **REPORT NO. 7 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Governance Structure and Funding to Implement the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Initiative”.**

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Policy and Finance Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the report dated May 29, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer, be adopted, subject to:
  - (a) adding to Recommendation No. (1) the words ‘and for the permanent Corporation, the City of Toronto’s position be that the Chief Executive Officer not be a member of the Board, even on a temporary basis’;
  - (b) adding to Recommendation No. (3) the words ‘and that City staff on the Intergovernmental Steering Committee report to City Council through the Waterfront Reference Group’;
  - (c) deleting Recommendation No. (5), and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (5):

‘(5) the Mayor be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Toronto and in accordance with the direction of Council, the Contribution Agreement among the Government of Canada, the Province of Ontario, and the City of Toronto (contained in the report dated April 26, 2001, from the City Solicitor), which details the commitments, rights and obligations of the interim corporation and the three governments;’;
  - (d) adding to Recommendation No. (6) the words ‘and further that Council recommend the expansion of the representation on the Assembly of Members to include the Chair of the Waterfront Reference Group and the Chair of the Planning and Transportation Committee, along with the Mayor, to be the City of Toronto’s “Designated Representatives” and report to Council for authority as required’;
  - (e) adding to the lead-in phrase to Recommendation No. (7) the words ‘subject to a full reporting process to City Council and the provision that any Member of Council can require a report to Council in a timely manner on any aspect of these matters’;
  - (f) amending Recommendation No. (8):
    - (i) to provide that:
      - (1) a Member of Council who is a member of the Toronto Transit Commission, be appointed to the Waterfront Reference Group; and
      - (2) the membership of the Waterfront Reference Group also include a Councillor representative of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority;

- (ii) by inserting, after the words ‘Works Committees’, the words ‘as well as a Councillor sitting on the Sustainability Round Table, to be determined by the Round Table’; and
- (iii) by adding thereto the words ‘and further that:
  - (a) the Mayor and the Chair of the Planning and Transportation Committee be appointed as ex-officio voting members of the Waterfront Reference Group;
  - (b) the Waterfront Reference Group be appointed at the next meeting of City Council;
  - (c) the City staff serving on the Intergovernmental Steering Committee report to the Waterfront Reference Group on progress on a regular basis;
  - (d) the Waterfront Reference Group meet quarterly with residents and businesses neighbouring the waterfront; and
  - (e) the Waterfront Reference Group, being a Sub-Committee of the Policy and Finance Committee, report in September 2001 on a plan for public input with respect to various waterfront projects;’;
- (g) adding to Recommendation No. (9) the words ‘subject to annual review in the City’s budget process, and further that:
  - (a) City staff from Urban Development Services and the Intergovernmental Steering Committee and other appropriate staff be directed to report back to Council on the Part II Plan and the strategies and structures for the work of the permanent Corporation for approval by Council by November 2001; and
  - (b) the City of Toronto’s representative on the Interim Corporation be directed to meet the standards for the City of Toronto’s competitive bidding and public accountability and that of City of Toronto’s agencies, boards and commissions and other agencies, boards and commissions that form part of the implementation, and report to Council on any departure from those standards;’;

so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council approve in principle the long term governance structure for the corporation recommended in the Intergovernmental Steering Committee’s report “Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Initiative Governance Model” contained in the [April 2, 2001] report as Appendix A and request that the Chief Administrative Officer report further when the Provincial legislation has been

introduced which enables the City to become a member or shareholder of the proposed corporation and, for the permanent Corporation, the City of Toronto's position be that the Chief Executive Officer not be a member of the Board, even on a temporary basis;

- (2) pending Provincial legislation for the long-term structure, Council approve the interim governance structure described in the [April 2, 2001] report and diagrammed in Appendix B [to that report];
- (3) the City Chief Administrative Officer, Michael R. Garrett, and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, Paula M. Dill, be designated as the City of Toronto's representatives on the Intergovernmental Steering Committee to act on the City's behalf, in accordance with City Council directions as may be given from time to time, in administering the Contribution Agreement among the three governments, the City's representation constituting 1/3 of the members of the Steering Committee, and that City staff on the Intergovernmental Steering Committee report to City Council through the Waterfront Reference Group;
- (4) Council support the appointment of Michael R. Garrett as the Chair of the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation as sole director on an interim basis;
- (5) the Mayor be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Toronto and in accordance with the direction of Council, the Contribution Agreement among the Government of Canada, the Province of Ontario, and the City of Toronto (contained in the report dated April 26, 2001, from the City Solicitor), which details the commitments, rights and obligations of the interim corporation and the three governments;
- (6) the Mayor be appointed the City of Toronto's Designated Representative to make decisions on behalf of the City according to the terms of the Contribution Agreement, in co-operation with the Federal Minister of Transportation and Provincial Minister of Finance, on the condition that Council approval be obtained for:
  - (a) appointment of Directors to the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation;
  - (b) all fundamental changes to the initial priority projects authorized in the agreement (as described in Appendix C);
  - (c) any additions to the mandated activities of the interim corporation including any additional capital projects;



- (d) purchase of any land or real property by the interim corporation;
- (e) any additional funding requirements;
- (f) any loans to be secured by the interim corporation;
- (g) any change in ownership or corporate structure and mandate of the interim corporation; and
- (h) the brand identity of the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Initiative;

and further that Council recommend the expansion of the representation on the Assembly of Members to include the Chair of the Waterfront Reference Group and the Chair of the Planning and Transportation Committee, along with the Mayor, to be the City of Toronto's "Designated Representatives" and report to Council for authority as required;

- (7) the City Chief Administrative Officer, in co-operation with provincial and federal representatives, be authorized to approve, on behalf of the City of Toronto, the following, subject to a full reporting process to City Council, and any Member of Council can require a report to Council in a timely manner on any aspect of these matters:
  - (a) in consultation with the City Auditor, the initiation of any specific audits of the interim corporation's activities;
  - (b) in consultation with appropriate City officials, contracts between the interim corporation and the agencies managing the projects, with the understanding that the usual City approval processes will be required for contracts to which the City of Toronto or its agencies are a party;
  - (c) in consultation with the City Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the level of business insurance required by the interim corporation; and
  - (d) contracts extending beyond the first year, where the projects are included in the defined activities of the interim corporation;
- (8) Council establish a Waterfront Reference Group comprised of Members of Council to include representation from the Policy and Finance, Planning and Transportation, Economic Development and Parks, and Works Committees, as well as a Councillor sitting on the Sustainability Round Table, to be determined by the Round Table, a Member of Council who is a member of the Toronto

Transit Commission and a Councillor representative of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, and the Mayor and the Chair of the Planning and Transportation Committee as ex-officio voting members, and the Waterfront Reference Group report to Council through the Policy and Finance Committee and be supported by the City Clerk, and further that:

- (a) the Waterfront Reference Group be appointed at the next meeting of City Council;
  - (b) the City staff serving on the Intergovernmental Steering Committee report to the Waterfront Reference Group on progress, on a regular basis;
  - (c) the Waterfront Reference Group meet quarterly with residents and businesses neighbouring the waterfront; and
  - (d) the Waterfront Reference Group, being a Sub-Committee of the Policy and Finance Committee, report in September 2001 on a plan for public input with respect to various waterfront projects;
- (9) the Corporation's business strategy be developed in accordance with the principles and objectives of the City of Toronto's Part II Plan for the Central Waterfront, subject to annual review in the City of Toronto's budget process, and further that:
- (a) City staff from Urban Development Services and the Intergovernmental Steering Committee and other appropriate staff be directed to report back to City Council on the Part II Plan and the strategies and structures for the work of the permanent Corporation for approval by Council by November 2001; and
  - (b) the City of Toronto's representative on the Interim Corporation be directed to meet the standards for the City of Toronto's competitive bidding and public accountability and that of City of Toronto's agencies, boards and commissions and other agencies, boards and commissions that form part of the implementation, and report to Council on any departure from those standards;
- (10) unanimous approval of the business strategy by the City, Province and Federal Governments be required; and
- (11) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.';

- (2) the City of Toronto communicate its hope to the Federal and Provincial Governments that their financial contributions to the waterfront do not form their share of the infrastructure program;
- (3) the City of Toronto, Province of Ontario and the Federal Government each have veto power on the Business Plan(s);
- (4) the City of Toronto's representatives be required to seek input from City Council on all major policy considerations;
- (5) City of Toronto representatives be subject to:
  - (a) direct accountability to Council;
  - (b) recall at the discretion of Council; and
  - (c) a requirement to report to Council, as requested by Council, through the Waterfront Reference Group;
- (6) Council appointees be required, as a condition of appointment, to attend meetings of the City of Toronto's Waterfront Reference Group;
- (7) as confirmed by the Chief Administrative Officer, the reporting relationship between City Council, the Waterfront Reference Group and the Corporation be reciprocal and be noted as such in future documents;
- (8) City of Toronto appointees to the Board, the City's choice for Chair and for the C.E.O. of the Waterfront Corporation be appointed by Council on the recommendation of the Waterfront Reference Group;
- (9) the Corporation Board operate as an oversight body only, in order to ensure accountability, in general accordance with the following principles:
  - (a) the Board must be totally distinct and at arms length from the C.E.O. and management;
  - (b) the Corporation shall have clear public processes of accounting, declarations of interest and other aspects of probity, competitive contracting, quality attainment and public consultation; and
  - (c) staffing and spending decisions shall be made by the Board as a whole, not the Chair acting unilaterally;
- (10) meetings of the Board and committees shall be open and public in a manner consistent with the legislation governing the City of Toronto where such meetings discuss policy and related issues;

- (11) all Waterfront initiatives be clearly spelled out in the Business Plan of the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation;
- (12) the corporation's business plan include the nature and amount of private sector investment to build out the plan;
- (13) the Corporation's business strategy also include the funding for capital projects that address the revitalization needs located in both the east and west portions of the City of Toronto's waterfront;
- (14) upon approval of the City of Toronto's Waterfront Plan, a comprehensive business plan for all waterfront initiatives be developed and approved by City Council in time for the 2002 Capital Budget cycle, if possible;
- (15) the Front Street Extension be designed, and its structures constructed, as a six-lane road, as recommended, but otherwise its operating surface be operated and be a four lane road in appearance, until such time as the future of the Gardiner Expressway necessitates otherwise;
- (16) the Waterfront Reference Group be requested to review the possibility of financing the City contribution through the provision of land rather than capital funds and be further requested to determine how to ensure that all facilities to be provided be fully accessible to persons with disabilities;
- (17) the Council Reference Group and the Chief Administrative Officer, the City of Toronto's Sustainability Lead, integrate the City's commitment to sustainability into the implementation of the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Initiative;
- (18) a Project Manager be appointed to manage the process so that all City agency, board and commission (ABC) partners are marshalled to assist in promoting the implementation of the Waterfront Revitalization Initiative;
- (19) the Mayor and the Waterfront Reference Group be requested to report back to the meeting of City Council to be held on July 24, 2001, on a nominee or nominees who can be recommended as the citizen appointment(s) to assume the role of the Chair of the interim and permanent Waterfront Corporation and would be acceptable to the three levels of government;
- (20) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee advising who will be in control of the subject lands following the completion of the Waterfront development;
- (21) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the development charges which could be recovered to offset infrastructure costs to the City of Toronto;
- (22) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in preparing the Part II Plan for the Central Waterfront, be requested to place priority on:

- (a) maximizing opportunities for public participation;
  - (b) creating new public places and spaces in the waterfront;
  - (c) increasing and protecting the public access to the water's edge; and
  - (d) advancing and maximizing the public interest through the development process;
- (23) future staff reports to City Council on waterfront matters be prepared as joint interdepartmental reports, with input from impacted City of Toronto departments and appropriate agencies, boards and commissions;
- (24) the following motion be referred to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for report thereon to the Waterfront Reference Group and the Planning and Transportation Committee:

Moved by Councillor Johnston:

“It is recommended that the Central Waterfront Plan ensure that all facilities to be provided be fully accessible to persons with disabilities.”; and

- (25) the following motion be referred to the Chair of the Interim Corporation for consideration in reporting back on the Business Plan:

Moved by Councillor McConnell:

“It is recommended that the Waterfront Revitalization Corporation’s Business Plan include a specific public consultation protocol, a strategy for maximizing the quality of urban design in the Waterfront and rules for public tendering that meet or exceed the City of Toronto’s standards.” ’ ’ ’

**Clause No. 2 - “Request to Federal Government to Establish a Ministry of Urban Affairs”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred to the Mayor for further consideration, together with the following motions:

Moved by Councillor Cho:

“That the Clause be amended by striking out the recommendations of the Policy and Finance Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) subsequent to the announcement made by the Federal Government of Canada on May 9, 2001, wherein a Caucus Task Force on Urban Issues was initiated, the City of Toronto request the Federal Government to establish a Ministry of Urban Affairs as soon as possible to develop and implement a National Urban Agenda to deal with the important challenges facing large urban centres;

- (2) in the event that the Federal Government is unable or unwilling to support the establishment of a Ministry of Urban Affairs, the City of Toronto request the Federal Government to establish a Federal Cabinet Committee, as soon as possible, to deal with the urgent challenges facing Canada's large urban centres; and
- (3) a Mayor's Task Force on Urban Issues be established as a communication channel between the municipality and the Federal Government and the membership comprise the following:

Mayor Mel Lastman or his designate, Deputy Mayor, Case Ootes;  
Councillor Brian Ashton (Chair of Toronto Transit Commission);  
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti (Chair of Administration Committee);  
Councillor Raymond Cho;  
Councillor Olivia Chow (Chair of Children and Youth Action Committee);  
Councillor Betty Disero (Chair of Works Committee);  
Councillor Brad Duguid (Chair of Community Services Committee);  
Councillor Mike Feldman (Chair of Economic Development and Parks Committee);  
Councillor Anne Johnston;  
Councillor Jack Layton (President of Federation of Canadian Municipalities);  
Councillor David Miller; and  
Councillor Joe Pantalone (Chair of Planning and Transportation Committee);

and further that the Mayor's Task Force develop Terms of Reference to guide future communications with the Federal Government Caucus Task Force on Urban Issues."

Moved by Councillor Layton:

"That the foregoing motion by Councillor Cho be referred to the Mayor and the Mayor be requested to submit a report directly to Council, in July 2001, on a strategy for addressing the Urban Task Force and the issues contemplated in Councillor Cho's motion and in the Clause."

Further moved by Councillor Cho:

"That the Clause be amended by:

- (1) striking out and referring Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2) embodied in the report dated March 22, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Mayor; and

- (2) deleting Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the report dated March 22, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer.”

Moved by Councillor Chow, seconded by Councillor Layton:

“It is further recommended that the recommendations embodied in the communication dated May 30, 2001, from Councillors Augimeri, Balkissoon, Chow, Bussin, Hall, Moscoe, Mammoliti, McConnell, Johnston, Mihevc, Miller, Layton, Pantalone and Shaw, be adopted, viz.:

‘We are asking Council that normal procedures be followed and that the Chief Administrative Officer take appropriate actions especially with regard to the following recommendations:

- (1) that the City of Toronto support the appeal against the CRTC decision on limiting the rights of municipalities to charge telecommunication companies the full cost of using public road allowance;
- (2) that the Chief Administrative Officer and any appropriate staff initiate or continue applications to the following programs which have been created by the federal government through FCM’s efforts or in partnership with FCM:
  - (a) National Infrastructure Program, with Toronto entitled to approximately \$160 million (if calculated per capita);
  - (b) National Children Strategy through the Early Years Challenge Funds. City’s share of funds should be \$22 million (if calculated per capita);
  - (c) homelessness funds under the ‘Supporting Community Partnerships Initiatives’ - Toronto is entitled to \$56 million over the next three years - the first phase applications have already been processed;
  - (d) multi-year drug strategy with application date in June and projects to start in late fall;
  - (e) crime prevention strategy and pilot projects to develop community-based solution to reduce youth violence. This is a continuing programme in which FCM is a partner;
  - (f) Affordability and Choice Today Program funds up to \$120,000.00 per project. The program is to help cities to improve the living environment and develop innovative housing projects; and

- (g) Green Municipal Investment Fund and Green Municipal Enabling Fund (created by FCM last year in partnership with the Government of Canada, modelled on the Toronto Atmospheric Fund);
- (3) that the City of Toronto continue to work closely with the FCM to seek full 'Order of Government' and Charter status and to increase financial power and decision-making flexibility to enhance the competitiveness of Canadian municipalities; and
- (4) that the Mayor of Toronto and all Members of Council be encouraged to attend the FCM's upcoming National Symposium on 'Communities in an Urban Century' in Toronto on October 4-5, 2001. The symposium is focussing on enhancing municipal powers and pushing for new revenue sources for municipal governments and was initiated by FCM at the request of Toronto as part of the Mayor's Toronto Charter Initiative.' "

Further moved by Councillor Layton:

"That the Clause be amended by deleting Recommendations Nos. (1), (2) and (5) embodied in the report dated March 22, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer."

## **REPORT NO. 8 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - "Execution of the 2002-2006 Host Community Agreement with the International Council for Local Environment Initiatives".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the organizers of the International Council for Local Environment Initiatives (ICLEI) be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee, in January of every year, on its accomplishments for the previous year and its initiatives for the current year."

**Clause No. 2 - "December 31, 2000, Operating Budget Variance Report".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the feasibility of providing monthly variance reports to the Policy and Finance Committee, and of future reports being segmented by Standing Committee and reported to the Policy and Finance Committee, through the Standing Committees."

**Clause No. 6 - "186 Bartley Drive".**

Council adopted the following recommendation:



“It is recommended that the joint confidential report from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor dated May 29, 2001 be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in its entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of a property interest of the municipality.”

**Clause No. 7 - “Update on the 2001 Final Tax Bill and Authority to Introduce Education Levy By-law”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

**WHEREAS** Premier Mike Harris promised that his government would reduce the education share of the property tax; and

**WHEREAS** this Council adopted a report dated April 12, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled ‘Tax Impacts of Provincially Imposed Transition Ratios and Education Tax Rates for the 2001 Reassessment Year’, wherein Council requested the Province to set an education tax rate for the City of Toronto that would not increase the education portion of residential property taxes; and

**WHEREAS** on May 15, 2001, the Province, through government regulation, set the education portion of the residential property tax rate at .373000 percent; and

**WHEREAS** this rate extracts an additional \$40 million annually from the City of Toronto’s residential property taxpayers for provincial coffers; and

**WHEREAS** this rate effectively increases the education portion of the residential property tax in Toronto by 8 percent;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** this Council immediately demand that the provincial government rescind this 8 percent property tax increase (education portion) from the City of Toronto’s residential properties.”

**Clause No. 8 - “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (a), entitled “Building the New City of Toronto – Final Three-Year Status Report on Amalgamation, January 1998 – December 2000”, embodied therein, back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration.

### **REPORT NO. 6 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Litter from Fast-Food Restaurants”.**

The Clause, together with the following motion, was struck out and referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration at its special meeting to be held on June 21, 2001:

Moved by Councillor Miller:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to conduct a waste composition audit on a representative

sample of litter collected by the City forces across the City and determine which fast-food businesses and fast-food corporations are the main contributors to the litter problem in the City; and further, that identified businesses be required to adopt litter bins within a certain vicinity of their business promoting messages to keep the City clean by depositing litter and recycling from their business in the relevant bin; and that staff report on the mechanisms required to accomplish this goal.”

**Clause No. 2 - “Proposed Bill to Ban Bottles and Cans from State of Michigan Landfills”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001.

**Clause No. 3 - “Kraft Paper Leaf and Yard Waste Bag Promotion and Enforcement”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) Councillors Balkissoon and Duguid be appointed to the Working Group;
- (2) the Working Group be requested to:
  - (a) submit a report to the Works Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held on July 4, 2001;
  - (b) request manufacturers of the Kraft Paper Leaf and Yard Waste Bags to:
    - (i) ensure that the bags are made available at local hardware stores at a competitive cost; and
    - (ii) consider designing shorter, wider bags; and
- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee on the feasibility of selling Kraft Paper Leaf and Yard Waste Bags through community centres, civic centres, libraries, etc.”

**Clause No. 4 - “Classification of Road Salt”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001.

#### **REPORT NO. 7 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 3 - “Increase in Tipping Fees for Clean Fill and Rubble at Landfills”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the report dated May 7, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (3):

“(3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be given authority to adjust the tipping fees either up or down as required, upon two weeks’ notice to the City’s landfill customers, in order to ensure the supply of the clean fill and rubble materials consistent with the City’s landfill needs for daily and final cover and temporary road base material;”; and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, twice per year, on any actions taken with respect to adjustments in tipping fees for clean fill and rubble at landfills.”

**Clause No. 4 - “Contract Extension for Rental of Three Recycling Collection Vehicles with Operators in Districts 3 and 4 (North York and Scarborough) - Quotation Request No. FA63-99007”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the joint report dated April 26, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted.”

**Clause No. 5 - “Contract Extension for Rental of Six Refuse Packers with Operators in Districts 3 and 4 (North York and Scarborough) - Tender Call No. 98-T026”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the joint report dated April 26, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted.”

**Clause No. 13 - “Unilateral Access to Final and Binding Arbitration for Construction Contracts”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting from the recommendation embodied in the report dated May 4, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the words “one-year period”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “three-year period”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“It is recommended that the trial period for unilateral access to final and binding arbitration for Works construction contracts be extended by incorporating provision for such arbitration in all Works construction tenders issued within the further three-year period, commencing May 1, 2001.”; and

(2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit an annual report to the Works Committee on this issue, if necessary.”

**Clause No. 15 - “Status Update on Provincial Highways Transferred to the Former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto in 1997”.**

The Clause was received.

**Clause No. 18 - “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (e), entitled “Construction of Bus Loop at Avenue Road and Bombay Avenue”, embodied therein, back to the Works Committee for further consideration at its next meeting scheduled to be held on June 6, 2001, and the hearing of depositions.

#### **REPORT NO. 4 OF THE DOWNTOWN COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

**Clause No. 3 - “Draft By-laws - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning - 101 College Street – Toronto General Hospital (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated May 25, 2001, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) encourage the applicant to enter into discussions with Enwave with respect to servicing the proposed buildings; and
- (2) encourage the applicant to enter into discussions with Toronto Hydro Energy Services Inc. to maximize energy efficiency of the buildings and integration with the energy procurement program.’ ”

**Clause No. 5 - “Intention to Designate Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act - 279 Yonge Street (Child’s Restaurant and Offices) (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)”.**

Council, by its adoption, without amendment, of Motion J(7), re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 1 of The Downtown Community Council, headed “Amendment to Section 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, 279 Yonge Street (7 Dundas Square) (Toronto

Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)”, and subsequently amended this Clause by adopting the following recommendations embodied in the report dated April 18, 2001, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism:

- “(2) that the proposed roof top signs be approved subject to the following:
- (a) the owner not object to the designation of this property pursuant to Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
  - (b) the owner post letters of credit with the City, in the amount of \$100,000.00, prior to the issuance of any building permit, to provide for restoration work of the façade, in accordance with the approved Restoration Plan;
  - (c) the owner provides an Undertaking to the City, prior to the issuance of a building permit, that the restoration work will be completed within one year of the issuance of a building permit for the roof top signs; and
  - (d) the owner prepare a Restoration Plan for the property at 279 Yonge Street satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services, prior to starting any restoration work on the facade; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

**Clause No. 16 - “Maintenance of Brick Wall - 2 Drumsnab Road (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated May 28, 2001, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism review signage requirements in the vicinity of 2 Drumsnab Road and install any signage which is required to ensure the existence of the nearby parkette is made known to the public; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

**Clause No. 32 - “Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19; Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20; Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29; Toronto-Danforth,**

**Ward 30; Beaches-Woodbine, Ward 31; and Beaches-Woodbine, Ward 32)".**

The Clause was amended by amending Table "A" appended to the report dated May 3, 2001, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, by deleting from the first entry, under the heading "Establishment of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities", the word "north" and inserting in lieu thereof the word "east", so that such entry shall now read as follows:

"19 Shannon Street, north side, between a point 32.6 metres east of Dovercourt Road and a point 5.5 metres further east thereof."

**Clause No. 42 - "Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes".**

The Clause was amended by adding the following events to Recommendation No. (2) of the Downtown Community Council:

- "(q) the Eighth Annual Groove Season Fundraiser taking place on June 21, 2001, at The Eleventh Hour;
- (r) the International Drumming Committee event to be held at Queens Park on June 10, 2001; and
- (s) the liquor licence extension for a beer garden for the patio of Bellissimo's for June 16, 2001, from 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., for the St. Lawrence Neighbourhood Association Annual Festival."

**REPORT NO. 6 OF THE EAST COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

**Clause No. 22 - "Appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board, Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990021, Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z19990039, 1299089 Ontario Limited, 2 Dale Avenue, Scarborough Village Community (Scarborough Southwest - Ward 36)".**

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendations of the East Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"It is recommended that the confidential report dated May 30, 2001, from the City Solicitor, be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information which is subject to Solicitor/Client privilege, save and except the following recommendations embodied therein:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) the City Solicitor make representations to the Ontario Municipal Board in accordance with the planning opinion of Mr. David Butler as outlined in the report of the City Solicitor dated May 30, 2001, and
- (2) Toronto City Council not adopt the recommendations contained in Clause No. 22 of Report No. 6 of the Toronto East Community Council.’ ”

**REPORT NO. 4 OF THE MIDTOWN COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

**Clause No. 9 - “186 Castlewood Road – Removal of Two Privately-Owned Trees (Eglinton-Lawrence - Ward 16)”.**

The Clause was amended to provide that only the removal of the tree between the two properties be permitted and approval be subject to the landscape plan.

**Clause No. 11 - “Non-Objection Letter for Alcohol and Gaming Commission (Eglinton-Lawrence – Ward 16 and St. Paul's - Ward 22)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes, advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commissioner that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to such events taking place:

- (1) Canadian Institute for the Blind, Ontario Division, Fragrant Garden at 1929 Bayview Avenue, Toronto:
  - (a) Retirement Luncheon, June 13, 2001, between 12 noon and 2:00 p.m.; and
  - (b) Reception/Dinner, June 27, 2001, between 5:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m.; and
- (2) a private outdoor event to be held on June 8, 2001, at the Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College.”

**Clause No. 16 - “Manor Road East – South Side – Between Bayview Avenue and Gresham Road - Extension of the ‘No Standing Anytime’ Prohibition (St. Paul’s – Ward 22)”.**

The Clause was amended by inserting in Recommendations Nos. (3) and (4) embodied in the report dated April 9, 2001, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, after the words “implemented on”, the words “the south side of”, so that such recommendations shall now read as follows:

- “(3) a ‘No Parking from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday’ prohibition be implemented on the south side of Manor Road East, from a point 49 metres west of Bayview Avenue to Gresham Road;

- (4) a 'No Standing Anytime' prohibition be implemented on the south side of Manor Road East, from Bayview Avenue to a point 49 metres further west;"

**REPORT NO. 4 OF THE NORTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

**Clause No. 10 - "Planning Report – Amendment to Original Development Agreement - TB SPC 2001 0043 - Park Willow Developments – 55 and 65 Eglerslie Avenue - Ward 23 – Willowdale".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the owner grant an easement across their property, to provide any utilities deemed necessary by the City to serve a proposed City-operated day nursery centre on the abutting site."

**Clause No. 18 - "Final Report - Application for Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments - TB CMB 2000 0002 - Menkes 5000 Yonge Developments Inc. – 5000 Yonge Street - Ward 23 – Willowdale".**

The Clause was amended in accordance with the report dated May 28, 2001, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) the last paragraph of Clause 1 of draft Official Plan be amended to read as:

'The development of these lands will be based on:

- (i) a clear publicly accessible east-west vehicular link between Beecroft Road and Yonge Street, which protects for signalization at Beecroft Road; and
- (ii) an underground pedestrian connection between 5000 Yonge Street and 4950 Yonge Street, and 5000 Yonge Street and the North York Civic Centre.'; and

- (2) the draft Zoning By-law be amended to add a new section to the Section 37 Agreement, (j)(vi) to read as:

'(vi) Connections

- (A) Provision of an underground pedestrian connection linking 4950 Yonge Street to the satisfaction of the Director, Community Planning, North District.' "



**REPORT NO. 5 OF THE SOUTHWEST COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

- Clause No. 1** - **“Request for an Exemption From Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 210 Perth Avenue (Davenport, Ward 18)”**.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to re-poll area residents and that the application for front-yard parking be subject to the poll results.”

- Clause No. 2** - **“Request for Speed Bumps in the Public Lane behind Premises No. 22 Corby Avenue (Davenport, Ward 17)”**.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated April 23, 2001, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted.”

- Clause No. 33** - **“1277 St. Clair Avenue West, St. Nicola Church By-law Pertaining to Nuisance False Alarms (Davenport, Ward 17)”**.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Southwest Community Council for further consideration.

**REPORT NO. 4 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE**

- Clause No. 1** - **“Toronto Harbour Commissioners - Financial Review - Further Information”**.

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001.

**REPORT NO. 5 OF THE STRIKING COMMITTEE**

- Clause No. 1** - **“Appointments of Members of Council to the Culture Plan Steering Committee; Downtown Yonge Street Business Improvement Area; Rosedale-Summerhill Business Improvement Area; and Yonge-Lawrence Village Business Improvement Area”**.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) adding the name “A. Johnston” to the membership of the Culture Plan Steering Committee; and

- (2) deleting from the membership of the Downtown Yonge Street Business Improvement Area Board of Management, the name "H. Moscoe", and inserting in lieu thereof the name "Mayor Mel Lastman", as requested by the Downtown Yonge Street Business Improvement Area.

### **ENQUIRIES AND ANSWERS**

- (1) **From Councillor Walker (February 5, 2001) regarding the status of the TEDCO investigation requested by City Council.**

The Enquiry dated February 5, 2001, from Councillor Walker, regarding the status of the TEDCO investigation requested by City Council, and the Answer thereto, dated February 27, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer, was considered in conjunction with Clause No. 2 of Report No. 6 of The Administration Committee. Council deferred further consideration of this Clause to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001.

- (2) **From Councillor Walker (March 15, 2001) regarding a proposed recommendation for the reduction of office budgets for the Mayor and Members of Council.**

The Enquiry dated March 15, 2001, from Councillor Walker, regarding a proposed recommendation for the reduction of office budgets for the Mayor and Members of Council, and the Answer thereto dated April 1, 2001, from Mayor Mel Lastman, were received.

- (3) **From Councillor Walker (April 11, 2001) regarding the 2008 Olympic Bid expenses incurred by the City of Toronto.**

The Enquiry dated April 11, 2001, from Councillor Walker, regarding the 2008 Olympic Bid expenses incurred by the City of Toronto, and the Answer thereto dated April 20, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer, were received.

### **NOTICE OF MOTION APPEARING UNDER ITEM I**

#### **Reconsideration of Leaf and Yard Waste Program**

**Moved by: Councillor Milczyn**

**Seconded by: Councillor Li Preti**

**"WHEREAS** Council in June 2000, by its adoption of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 12 of The Works Committee, headed 'Kraft Bag Leaf and Yard Waste Collection', called for a City-wide policy for the collection of residential yard waste in kraft paper bags, allowing only rigid open-top containers as alternative containers; and

**WHEREAS** Council in March 2001, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 14 of Report No. 2 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed 'Kraft Paper Leaf and Yard Waste Bag Promotion and Enforcement'; and

**WHEREAS** residents and industry are asking important fundamental questions surrounding the City of Toronto's decision to introduce the mandatory use of paper bags for yard waste collection; and

**WHEREAS** Council in June 2000, had incomplete and inaccurate information while debating the kraft bag leaf and yard waste collection matter contained in Clause No. 1 of Report No. 12 of The Works Committee and subsequent debates thereto; and

**WHEREAS** approximately 46,000 trees per year are required to produce the 9 million Kraft paper bags required annually by City residents, and whereas minimal amounts (less than 15 percent) of recycled paper is used in the production of said bags; and

**WHEREAS** the use of kraft paper bags will increase costs to the City, and result in a loss of potentially 20,000 tonnes of yard material going directly to landfill in black garbage bags; and

**WHEREAS** technologies do exist to assist with the automated separation of yard waste/plastics bags and finished compost/polyethylene film fragments; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto is presently a partner with the Region of York, The City of Guelph and Miller Waste Systems testing a film plastic separation system at the Bloomington Compost Facility in Richmond Hill, and the Waste Diversion Organization has also committed \$75,000.00 towards this initiative, that has resulted in technology capable of separating film plastic from compost, in a cost-effective and operationally sound manner; and

**WHEREAS** paper bags are far more expensive than plastic bags, on average at least twice as expensive; and

**WHEREAS** experiences in other major cities, such as Chicago and Vancouver, have illustrated that residents have found it to be a major inconvenience to use paper bags, based on price and performance issues, and actually switched back to plastic bags; and

**WHEREAS** the value of compost produced by cities utilizing paper bags for collection is equivalent to revenues presently received by the City under a plastic bag collection program; and

**WHEREAS** clear plastic bags remain the most common method utilized by Canadian municipalities to place yard organics at the curbside – 76 percent;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with §27-49 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Clause No. 14 of Report No. 2 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed 'Kraft Paper Leaf and Yard Waste Bag Promotion and Enforcement', be re-opened for further consideration, insofar as it pertains

to the requirement that leaf and yard waste be placed in kraft paper bags and rigid open-top containers;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to continue collection of leaf and yard waste in clear plastic bags, until such time as the working group established by the Works Committee has an opportunity to review the yard leaf and yard waste program and reports its findings to Council; and

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the mandate of the working group established by the Works Committee, comprised of Councillors Li Preti, Milczyn, and Pitfield to review the promotion of the leaf and yard waste program, be amended to include the comprehensive review of the yard leaf and yard waste program referred to above, for report back to the July 24, 2001 meeting of Council, through the Works Committee, and that Clause No. 3 of Report No. 6 of The Works Committee be amended accordingly.”

*Disposition: Having regard that the motion to re-open Clause No. 14 of Report No. 2 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed “Kraft Paper Leaf and Yard Waste Bag Promotion and Enforcement”, did not carry, the Motion was not adopted.*

#### **NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM J**

**(1) Auditor’s Report and Financial Statements – Toronto Housing Company Inc.**

**Moved by: Councillor Feldman**

**Seconded by: Councillor Kelly**

“**WHEREAS** the Annual Meeting of the Toronto Housing Company Inc. is being held on June 7, 2001, and the Auditor’s Report and Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 2000, are required for the Annual Report; and

**WHEREAS** the Auditor’s Report and Financial Statements for the Toronto Housing Company Inc. would normally be submitted to City Council, through the Audit Committee; and

**WHEREAS** the Board of Directors of the Toronto Housing Company Inc., on May 14, 2001, approved a report dated May 8, 2001, from the Chief Executive Officer respecting the Auditor’s Report and Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 2000, and directed that such report be forwarded to City Council for approval;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the attached communication dated May 15, 2001, from the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company Inc., forwarding the Auditor's Report and Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 2000, for the Toronto Housing Company Inc., be considered by City Council at its meeting to be held on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001, and that the Auditor's Report and Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 2000, for the Toronto Housing Company Inc. be received by City Council as Shareholder of the Toronto Housing Company Inc."

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council, as shareholder of the Toronto Housing Company Inc., received the Auditor's Report and Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 2000, for the Toronto Housing Company Inc.*

**(2)    Bike Share Program**

**Moved by:                    Councillor Pantalone**

**Seconded by:                Councillor Chow**

**“WHEREAS** the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting of September 11, 2000, gave consideration to a report (August 28, 2000) from the City Clerk, Toronto Licensing Committee, advising that the Toronto Cycling Committee at its meeting held on July 17, 2000, recommended that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the space allocation for long-term needs of a Bike Share Program, designation of space at Metro Hall, City Hall and Union Station, and financial and staff implications of the Bike Share Program; and

**WHEREAS** due to Bike Share Program staff changes throughout the end of 2000 and the first quarter of 2001, discussions of launching the program were discontinued. Mr. Derek Chadburn, the new Bike Share Program Co-ordinator has re-initiated discussions with facilities staff surrounding the content of the proposed agreement, which is currently under review by the City Solicitor; and

**WHEREAS** the purpose of the program is to help the City with its air pollution reduction goal, and as biking weather is upon us, this report has come directly to Council bypassing the request to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee; and

**WHEREAS** the Commissioner of Corporate Services has prepared the attached report and all is in place to proceed with the program; and

**WHEREAS** there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council give consideration to the attached report dated May 22, 2001, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, and such report be adopted.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated May 22, 2001, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

*“It is recommended that:*

- (1) Council give authority to the City Solicitor to enter into agreement with ‘Community Bicycle Network’ for the purpose of operating Bike Share Program hubs at Toronto City Hall, and Metro Hall; and*
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.”*

**(3) CRTC Decision Respecting the Vancouver/Ledcor Proceedings**

**Moved by: Councillor Moscoe**

**Seconded by: Councillor Ootes**

**“WHEREAS** on December 3, 1999, the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (‘CRTC’) issued Telecom Public Notice 99-25, respecting the terms and conditions of access by telecommunications carriers to municipal property in the City of Vancouver; and

**WHEREAS** the Public Notice proceeding was initiated by the CRTC, in recognition of the national implications for telecommunications’ carriers and municipalities throughout Canada, which might result from the CRTC decision in the Vancouver case; and

**WHEREAS** the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) and individual Canadian municipalities therefore took part in the Public Notice proceeding by making submissions and tendering evidence in support and protection of the national interests of Canadian municipalities; and

**WHEREAS** the CRTC subsequently issued Decision No. 2001-23 on January 25, 2001, which, in the opinion of the FCM, constitutes a direct attack upon the inherent jurisdiction of municipalities to manage construction within, and occupation of, their public highways by private commercial entities, including the right to be compensated for the use of municipal property; and

**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting of January 30, 31 and February 1, 2001, authorized the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, to instruct outside counsel in filing an appeal of the CRTC decision and taking such other action as may be necessary to protect the interests of the City; and

**WHEREAS** the Federal Court of Appeal, on May 14, 2001, granted leave to the FCM and the municipalities of Vancouver, Calgary, Halifax, Toronto and Ottawa to appeal the decision of the CRTC; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto has access agreements with telecommunication companies totalling some \$9.4 million in annual revenue, most of them premised on the final outcome of the appeal; and

**WHEREAS** failing to appeal this decision will cause the City to immediately forfeit at least half of this annual revenue, some \$4.4 million, and potential annual revenue of some \$20 million; and

**WHEREAS** FCM believes that a successful appeal will result in the preservation of municipal authority over the use of its own property and protect the interests of the municipal taxpayer by preventing the continued subsidy of telecommunications companies through the free use of municipal property for private 'for profit' activities; and

**WHEREAS** the appeal must be filed within the next two weeks;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council:

- (1) contribute to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) in trust to fund the legal and administrative costs of the appeal of the decision of the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission in the Vancouver/Ledcor proceeding (CRTC Decision 2001-23) to the Federal Court of Appeal, and all subsequent appeals, such contribution to be calculated on the basis of 5.9 cents per year, per capita, for an annual total of \$153,046.00 per year, for three years, to be paid by the City in quarterly instalments of \$38,262.00;
- (2) authorize this per capita contribution on the basis that:
  - (i) sufficient Canadian cities shall commit on a per capita basis so that the total per capita contribution is representative of 6.5 million Canadians; and
  - (ii) if cities representing a total per capita contribution representing 6.5 million Canadians do not provide a similar undertaking to pay their share of this Appeal, this commitment shall expire and no further contribution shall owe; or

- (iii) in the event that commitments are received representing in excess of 6.5 million Canadians, this commitment shall be reduced proportionately; and
  - (iv) in the event that the expenses incurred are less than the estimate provided by FCM, that any excess contribution paid to FCM shall be refunded to the City of Toronto;
- (3) agree to pay the quarterly instalments to FCM promptly upon receipt of an invoice so that FCM can satisfy its ongoing legal and administrative expenses in a timely manner; and
  - (4) authorize funding for payments in 2001 totalling \$114,785.00 from the Corporate Contingency Account, and direct that payments in 2002 and 2003 shall be included as part of the budget submission for the Toronto Telecommunications Office in those years.”

***Disposition:***                    ***The Motion was adopted, without amendment.***

**(4) Ontario Municipal Board Hearing Respecting 8 Mallory Gardens**

**Moved by:**                    **Councillor Walker**

**Seconded by:**                **Councillor Prue**

“**WHEREAS** at its meeting held on February 13, 2001 the Committee of Adjustment, South District refused Application No. A899/00TO for variances required to build a pair of semi-detached two-storey houses on the parking area for the apartment building at 8 Mallory Gardens; and

**WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment also refused the accompanying application for Consent to Sever the property into three parcels, two for the new houses and the remaining for the existing apartment building; and

**WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment cited five reasons for refusal of the Consent to Sever application including the premature nature of the application and the failure of the applicant to demonstrate the suitability of the proposed severance; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes to build on what is currently the parking area for tenants and their visitors, and the Committee of Adjustment cited the failure of the applicant to demonstrate the adequacy of vehicular access and parking facilities as a reason for refusal of the application; and

**WHEREAS** the Deer Park Community already has a shortfall of facilities for parking automobiles and this application will reduce parking for tenants who already have to deal with the insufficient parking facilities; and



**WHEREAS** the application will reduce the lot of the existing building and hence create a new density benchmark of 2.0 times coverage in the heart of this neighbourhood; and

**WHEREAS** this application will reduce the amount of landscaped open space to 35 percent instead of the required 50 percent; and

**WHEREAS** this application contravenes the City's policy of protecting stable residential neighbourhoods from unreasonable intensification; and

**WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment refused the application because it did not meet any of the four tests to be deemed minor;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Solicitor be directed to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board on June 18, 2001, to defend the decision of the Committee of Adjustment; and, if necessary, retain outside planning advice."

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

**(5) Declaration as Surplus - 1000 Finch Avenue West and 4580 Dufferin Street**

**Moved by: Councillor Feldman**

**Seconded by: Councillor Li Preti**

**“WHEREAS** the properties municipally known as 1000 Finch Avenue West and 4580 Dufferin Street (the ‘Property’) have recently become part of the City ownership as a result of the Municipal Tax Sale process; and

**WHEREAS** it is desirable to market the Property as soon as possible;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Property be declared surplus and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken, conditional upon the Commissioner of Corporate Services not receiving, by the conclusion of the internal circulation process on June 6, 2001, any expressions of interest for the Property (save and except for such expressions of interest for office space as may be accommodated in a sale situation);

**AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Property be offered for sale on the open market through a broker retained in accordance with the Council-approved procedure for the establishment of a ‘Roster of Real Estate Brokers to Market Industrial, Commercial, Institutional and Substantial Residential Properties for the City of Toronto’; instructions to the broker to include a 30 day marketing period;

**AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, if multiple offers for the purchase of the Property are submitted and, if in the opinion of staff, a price improvement can be achieved, staff be directed to solicit further best offers from all offerors; the results of the marketing to be reported directly to Council at its meeting of July 24, 2001.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to:*

- (1) *deleting from the third Operative Paragraph the words “directly to Council at its meeting of July 24, 2001”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “to a special meeting of the Administration Committee, if required, prior to the July 24, 2001 Council Meeting”; and*
- (2) *adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

*“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT all outstanding reports from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the City Auditor and the Commissioner of Corporate Services be submitted to the relevant meeting of the Administration Committee.”*

**(6) Appointment of Interim Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer**

**Moved by: Councillor Miller**

**Seconded by: Councillor Berardinetti**

**“WHEREAS** the Chief Administrative Officer has submitted a report dated May 28, 2001, with respect to the Interim Appointment for Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council give consideration to the aforementioned report dated May 28, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer and that such report be adopted.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated May 28, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer, embodying the following recommendations:*

*“It is recommended that:*

- (1) *the appointment of Wanda A. Liczyk, as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be rescinded;*
- (2) *Michael R. Garrett be appointed Interim Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer until such time as a replacement is appointed by Council; and*
- (3) *the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council, this day, of any Bills that are required.”*

**(7) Amendment to Condition of Approval for Rooftop Signs - 279 Yonge Street**

**Moved by: Councillor Rae**

**Seconded by: Councillor Chow**

“**WHEREAS** City Council presently has before it Clause No. 5 of Report No. 4 of The Downtown Community Council, headed ‘Intention to Designate under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act – 279 Yonge Street (Child’s Restaurant and Offices)’; and

**WHEREAS** at its meeting on May 15, 2001, Downtown Community Council did not consider Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report dated April 18, 2001 from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, contained in the Clause, as the conditions for sign approval had been previously considered by Council at its meeting of January 30 and 31, and February 1, 2001 (Clause No. 3 of Report No. 1 of The Downtown Community Council, as amended); and

**WHEREAS**, in adopting Clause No. 3 of Report No. 1 of The Downtown Community Council, City Council authorized an amendment to Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to allow the construction of rooftop signs on the property located at 279 Yonge Street, on the condition that the owner of the property enter into a heritage easement agreement; and

**WHEREAS** the owner and the City were unable to negotiate a heritage easement agreement; and

**WHEREAS** the owner has indicated a willingness to comply with a revised set of conditions that accomplish the City’s objectives with respect to the preservation of the building on the property;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with §27-49 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Clause No. 3 of Report No. 1 of The Downtown Community Council be re-opened for further consideration, insofar as it pertains to the condition that the owner be required to enter into a heritage agreement with the City, and that such condition be deleted;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council adopt Recommendations Nos. (2) and (3) embodied in the report dated April 18, 2001, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, as contained in Clause No. 5 of Report No. 4 of The Downtown Community Council.”

*Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 1 of the Downtown Community Council, for further consideration, insofar as it pertains to the condition that the owner be required to enter into a heritage agreement with the City, and adopted the balance of this Motion, without amendment. (See also page 28 for the companion amendment to Clause No. 5 of Report No. 4 of the Downtown Community Council.)*

- (8) **25 Leonard Avenue - St. Clare’s Multifaith Housing Society Transitional Housing Society**

**Moved by: Councillor Chow**

**Seconded by: Councillor Rae**

“**WHEREAS** this Council has declared homelessness a national disaster; and

**WHEREAS** one of the main causes of homelessness is the difficulty in securing affordable housing; and

**WHEREAS** the Mayor’s Task Force on Homelessness stated that the City requires 2,000 new rental units per year; and

**WHEREAS** the supply of new rental units has been less than a tenth of the required supply; and

**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on June 7, 8, and 9, 2000, by its adoption of Clause No. 10 of Report No. 7 of The Policy and Finance Committee, approved making a grant to St. Clare’s Multifaith Housing Society for \$250,000.00 from the Mayor’s Homeless Initiative Reserve Fund to develop 15 short-term housing and 45 self-contained units; and

**WHEREAS** City Council adopted the recommendation that the funding must be released within six months of Council approval of the grant, subject to the conditions set out in the Council authority; and

**WHEREAS** City Council at its Inaugural Meeting held on December 5, 6 and 7, 2000, by its adoption of Motion P(11), approved an extension of the six month funding period to June 7, 2001; and

**WHEREAS** St. Clare’s Multifaith Housing Society has purchased the property located at 25 Leonard Avenue with the assistance of the federal program administered by the City of Toronto, known as Supporting Communities Partnership Initiatives; and

**WHEREAS** St. Clare’s Multifaith Housing Society is preparing to apply for a building permit to convert the building located at 25 Leonard Avenue into transitional housing with financial assistance from the Mayor’s Homeless Initiative Reserve Fund;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with §27-49 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Motion P(11), headed ‘Proposed Development at 25 Leonard Avenue’, be re-opened for further consideration;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council authorize a further six-month extension of the Mayor’s Fund grant period authorized by Council on December 5, 6, and 7, 2000, until the recipient can meet such conditions as set out in the Council authority.”

**Disposition: Council re-opened Motion P(11) for further consideration and adopted the balance of this Motion, without amendment.**

**(9) Permit Parking on Howard Park Avenue**

**Moved by: Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski**

**Seconded by: Councillor Miller**

“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on October 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11 and 12, 2000, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 89 of Report No. 16 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Introduction of Permit Parking on an Alternate Side Basis on Howard Park Avenue, between Roncesvalles Avenue and Parkside Drive’; and

**WHEREAS** the initial request from Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski was for permit parking on both sides of Howard Park Avenue, but this was rejected by Transportation due to street maintenance issues, and, as a result, parking is limited and area residents are ticketed frequently; and

**WHEREAS** Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, on behalf of area residents, requests that this matter be reconsidered to allow permit parking on both sides of Howard Park Avenue, between Roncesvalles Avenue and Parkside Drive; and

**WHEREAS** it is vital to deal with this matter as soon as possible in order to eliminate the confusion over permit parking regulations on the street and to prevent further ticketing of residents;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council rescind Recommendation No. (1) embodied in Clause No. 89 of Report No. 16 of The Toronto Community Council and replace it with the following new Recommendation No. (1):

‘(1) permit parking be introduced on both sides of Howard Park Avenue, between Roncesvalles Avenue and Parkside Drive, on a street name basis, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. and 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week;’.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

**(10) Metropolitan Toronto Habitat for Humanity Inc. – Exemption from Non-Profit Housing Development Policy.**

**Moved by: Councillor Pitfield**

**Seconded by: Councillor Bussin**

“**WHEREAS** City Council in February 2000, adopted Clause No. 3 of Report No. 1 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, headed ‘Exempting Non-Profit Housing from Planning Application Fees, Building Permit Fees and Parkland Dedication Payment Requirements’; and

**WHEREAS** the mission of the Metropolitan Habitat for Humanity is to eliminate poverty housing by providing persons of low income with permanent affordable homes;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the sites to be developed by Metropolitan Toronto Habitat for Humanity Inc. at 2 and 27 Lucy Avenue and the property located at the northeast corner of Lovilla Boulevard and Weston Road be exempt from the fees consistent with the exemptions currently granted under City Council's non-profit housing development fee exemption policy;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioners of Community and Neighbourhood Services and Urban Development Services, be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee on how to implement the inclusion of Metropolitan Toronto Habitat for Humanity and other potential non-profit ownership housing developments, as part of the City's non-profit housing development fee exemption policy.”

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

*“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to include in their forthcoming report to the Administration Committee, criteria for, and a process by which, Habitat for Humanity and other City qualified non-profit housing organizations can be included in the circulation list seeking declarations of interest in City properties being recommended to be declared surplus to the City's needs.”*

**(11) Parking Prohibitions on Calico Drive, from Thurrock Road to Giltspur Drive**

**Moved by:                    Councillor Augimeri**

**Seconded by:                Councillor Sutherland**

**“WHEREAS** at the request of local residents, staff of the Transportation Services Division have reviewed the existing parking prohibitions on Calico Drive from Thurrock Road to Giltspur Drive; and

**WHEREAS**, currently, parking is permitted for up to a maximum of three hours on the east and west sides of Calico Drive, from Thurrock Road to Giltspur Drive; and

**WHEREAS** observations by staff of the Transportation Services Division have verified that vehicles are continually parked on both sides of Calico Drive, from Thurrock Road to Giltspur Drive; this parking activity, which occurs during a variety of times, but is primary associated with the drop-off and pick-up activities associated with Calico Public School and St. Blaise Catholic School, restricts the flow of two way traffic; and in addition, school children are crossing the road from between parked cars; and

**WHEREAS** the Transportation Services Division has received a petition from area residents to restrict parking on the east and west sides of Calico Drive, from Thurrock Road to Giltspur Drive, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday; and

**WHEREAS** the implementation of the parking prohibitions will significantly improve the level of safety for pedestrians when crossing Calico Drive from Thurrock Road to Giltspur Drive;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to install ‘No Parking 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday’ on both sides Calico Drive, from the southerly limit of Thurrock Drive to the northerly limit of Giltspur Drive.”

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

**(12) Resignation from the Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts**

**Moved by:                    Councillor Johnston**

**Seconded by:                Councillor Augimeri**

“**WHEREAS** at its meeting held on March 29, 2001, the Board of Directors of the Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts received, with regret, a letter of resignation from Ms. Constance Sugiyama, a community representative on the Board; and

**WHEREAS** Council has previously invited the Board to exercise its special skill and knowledge in requesting the Board to bring forward, for Council’s consideration a proposed nomination and election process, as contemplated in the Business Plan, for the future appointment of Board members; and

**WHEREAS** the Nominating Sub-committee of the Board is in the midst of preparing such nomination and election process; and

**WHEREAS** the individual identified in the attached confidential Appendix meets the nominating criteria established by City Council (i.e. is over 18 years of age, resides in the City of Toronto, and is a Canadian citizen); and

**WHEREAS** it is a matter of urgency that the vacancy be filled and it could take several months to fill this vacancy through Council’s nominating process;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council appoint the individual identified in the attached confidential Appendix as a community representative on the Board of Directors of the Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts to replace Ms. Constance Sugiyama for a term of office expiring November 30, 2003, and until his successor is appointed, or until the new Board can stand in place of the old Board on the date a lease of the Centre between the City and the Hummingbird Performing Arts Centre Corporation comes into force, as provided for in the Hummingbird Performing Arts Centre Corporation Act, 1998.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council appointed Mr. Michael Pitanna as a community representative on the Board of Directors of the Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts for a term of office as outlined above.*

**(13) Appointment of Acting City Clerk**

**Moved by: Councillor Berardinetti**

**Seconded by: Councillor Miller**

“**WHEREAS** the Commissioner of Corporate Services has submitted a confidential report dated May 28, 2001, with respect to a personnel matter pertaining to the appointment of an Acting City Clerk;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council give consideration to the aforementioned confidential report dated May 28, 2001, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and that such confidential report be adopted.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the confidential report dated May 28, 2001, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendation, such report now public in its entirety:*

*“It is recommended that Jeffrey A. Abrams be appointed Acting City Clerk, on an interim basis, commencing June 1, 2001, and until a new City Clerk is appointed by Council and the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.”*

**(14) Province of Ontario Offer to Convey the Former Princess Margaret Site to the City of Toronto for Affordable Housing Services**

**Moved by: Councillor Rae**

**Seconded by: Councillor Duguid**

“**WHEREAS** on October 28, 1998 Council declared homelessness a national disaster; and

**WHEREAS** one of the main causes of homelessness is the difficulty in securing affordable housing; and

**WHEREAS** the Mayor’s Task Force on Homelessness stated that the City requires 2,000 new rental units per year; and



**WHEREAS** the supply of new rental units has been less than a tenth of the required supply; and

**WHEREAS** the Province of Ontario has offered to convey the site of the former Princess Margaret Hospital (PMH) to the City of Toronto for the purpose of providing space for emergency shelter or affordable housing; and

**WHEREAS** the former PMH site can be redeveloped for a mix of affordable rental and market housing at no cost to the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** the redevelopment of the PMH site will assist in the revitalization of this area of the City, will complement and can be done in conjunction with the redevelopment of the adjacent Wellesley Central Hospital site and will provide opportunities for new housing, open space and other community amenities; and

**WHEREAS** on May 23, 2001 a funding allocation for a new long-term care facility was announced for the adjacent Wellesley Central Hospital site and there is urgency to proceed with negotiations to facilitate this development as part of a larger redevelopment initiative;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council give consideration to the attached confidential report dated May 23, 2001, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and that such confidential report be adopted.”

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the confidential report dated May 23, 2001, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, such report to remain confidential, in its entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the Municipality.*

**(15) Notice of Application - Lobbyist Disclosure**

**Moved by:                    Councillor Berardinetti**

**Seconded by:                Councillor Holyday**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, adopted a resolution concerning disclosure of lobbying with respect to certain requests for proposals and contracts pursuant to the provisions of City of Toronto By-law 462-2000; and

**WHEREAS** Notice of Application to quash the resolution has been commenced in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice and served upon the City and this application is scheduled for hearing on June 15, 2001; and

**WHEREAS** the City Solicitor wishes to report upon the matter and obtain instructions in respect of the Notice of Application; and

**WHEREAS** it is appropriate to consider the report of the City Solicitor In Camera as it deals with instructions regarding the Application; and

**WHEREAS** this matter has implications concerning Council's future ability to invoke its lobbyist disclosure policy;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council give consideration to the confidential report dated May 22, 2001, from the City Solicitor, and that such confidential report be adopted.”

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the confidential report dated May 22, 2001, from the City Solicitor, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information which is subject to Solicitor/Client privilege, save and except the following recommendation embodied therein:*

*“It is recommended that the City Solicitor’s office, in consultation with the Purchasing and Materials Management Division of the Finance Department, represent the City in opposing the application.”*

**(16) Term Letter Agreements – C1.com Inc. and GT Group Telecom Services Corp.**

**Moved by:                    Councillor Ootes**

**Seconded by:                Councillor Milczyn**

“**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto currently has Term Letter Agreements with C1.com Inc. (‘C1’) and GT Group Telecom Services Corp. (‘GT’) which permit those companies to construct, maintain and operate separate fibre optic telecommunications networks within certain public highways under the jurisdiction of the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** C1 has become insolvent and has filed for protection under the Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act pending the commencement of bankruptcy proceedings; and

**WHEREAS** C1 and GT have obtained court ratification of an agreement for the purchase of C1’s assets by GT; and

**WHEREAS** the Executive Lead on Telecommunications and the City Solicitor have prepared a confidential joint report dated May 25, 2001, respecting the proposed assignment; and

**WHEREAS**, for the reasons of legal deadline as outlined in the aforementioned confidential report, Council consideration of this matter is required at Council's meeting of May 30, 2001;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council give consideration to the confidential joint report dated May 25, 2001 from the Executive Lead on Telecommunications and the City Solicitor and that the recommendations as contained in the report be adopted."

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the joint confidential report dated May 25, 2001, from the City Solicitor and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the Municipality, save and except the following recommendations embodied therein:*

*"It is recommended that:*

- (1) City Council consent to the assignment of the Term Letter Agreement (August 24, 2000) with CI.com Inc. to GT Group Telecom Services Corp. on such terms and conditions as may be required by the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, and in such form as required by the City Solicitor in order to protect the interests of the City of Toronto; and*
- (2) City Council authorize staff to take all appropriate action, including the execution of any documents as may be required, to give effect thereto."*

**(17) Bloordale Village Festival – Community Festival of Municipal Significance**

**Moved by: Councillor Silva**

**Seconded by: Councillor Disero**

**"WHEREAS** the annual Bloordale Village Festival is a much anticipated community event in the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** the Bloordale Village is seeking a resolution from City Council declaring the Bloordale Village Festival to be held on Saturday, June 23, 2001, from 12:00 Noon to 12:00 Midnight, to be a community festival of municipal significance; and

**WHEREAS** this resolution is required by the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario to issue a special occasion permit at Bloordale Village Boundaries, Bloor Street/Dufferin Street to Lansdowne Avenue;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it deems the annual Bloordale Village Festival to be a community festival of municipal significance, in order to proceed with the application for a special occasion permit.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

**(18) Technical Amendment to the 2001 Phase-in Program for Tax Increases and Decreases**

**Moved by: Councillor Shiner**

**Seconded by: Councillor Soknacki**

“**WHEREAS** subsection 372.2(10) of the Municipal Act, as amended (the ‘Act’), provides that if there has been a change in the use or character of any land or in its classification under the Assessment Act that, in the opinion of the council of the municipality, makes a phase-in or the continuation of a phase-in in respect of such land inappropriate, the council may in the by-law passed under subsection 372.2(1) of the Act implementing a program to phase-in tax increases and decreases, or in another by-law, exclude such land from the application of the phase-in; and

**WHEREAS** at its meeting of December 16 and 17, 1998, City Council adopted Clause No. 9 of Report No. 9 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, thereby excluding from the program phasing-in 1998 assessment-related tax increases and decreases all properties which were not classified as residential in 1997, but as a result of a change in character or use of the property were classified as residential in 1998; and

**WHEREAS** By-law No. 472-1998, being the by-law to phase-in 1998 assessment-related tax increases and decreases for the residential/farm property class, was amended to exclude properties which were not classified as residential in 1997, but had a change in character or use causing them to be classified as residential in 1998 either on the returned assessment roll or as a result of a request for reconsideration or an assessment appeal; and

**WHEREAS** the report of the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer dated February 5, 2001, to the Policy and Finance Committee, entitled ‘Preliminary 2001 Provincial Re-assessment Impacts and Tax Policy Options - Residential Property Class’, recommending a phase-in program that will apply in 2001 and subsequent years (the ‘2001 Phase-in Program’), and that was adopted with amendment by Council at its meeting of April 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30 and May 1 and 2, 2001 as part of Clause No. 2 of Report No. 4 of The Policy and Finance Committee, did not specifically recommend a continuation of the above-mentioned exclusion for the 2001 Phase-in Program so as to exclude properties that were not classified as residential in 2000, but as a result of a change in character or use of the property were classified as residential in 2001;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the phase-in of tax increases and decreases for properties that were not classified as residential in 2000, but as a result of a change in character or use of the property were classified as residential in 2001 either on the returned assessment roll or through a request for reconsideration or an assessment appeal, is inappropriate, and that such properties be excluded from the 2001 Phase-in Program;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bill to include a provision in the by-law enacting the 2001 Phase-in Program to allow the exclusion from the 2001 Phase-in Program of such properties.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

**(19) Delegation of Signing of Cheques to a Director of the Finance Department**

**Moved by: Councillor Miller**

**Seconded by: Councillor Altobello**

“**WHEREAS** § 257-1 of the Municipal Code provides that all cheques issued by the City of Toronto shall be signed by the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer if the signatures are stamped, lithographed or engraved on any City cheque; and

**WHEREAS** Bill No. 432 appoints Michael R. Garrett as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** Michael R. Garrett also holds the office of Chief Administrative Officer of the City of Toronto;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer may delegate the signing of cheques to the Director, Accounting Services or the Director, Treasury and Financial Services of the Finance Department, if the signatures are stamped, lithographed or engraved on any City cheque;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** § 257-1 of the Municipal Code be amended to allow cheques to be signed by the Chief Administrative Officer and a Director of the Finance Department designated by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

**(20) Exemption from Part Lot Control – 69 and 71 Sufi Crescent**

**Moved by: Councillor Minnan-Wong**

**Seconded by: Councillor Sutherland**

“**WHEREAS** City Council enacted By-law No. 499-1999 on July 29, 1999, which designated certain lands on Registered Plan 66M-2328 as being exempt from the part lot control provisions of subsection 50(5) of the Planning Act for a period of one year; and

**WHEREAS** By-law No. 499-1999 expired on July 29, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has requested the enactment of a further part lot control exemption by-law to facilitate the conveyance of two remaining lots on Plan 66M-2328 that had been subject to By-law No. 499-1999; and

**WHEREAS** the conveyance of the aforesaid two remaining lots is scheduled to take place prior to the next City Council meeting;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council enact a part lot control exemption by-law for the lands described as Part of Block 2 on Plan 66M-2328, designated as PARTS 8 and 9 on Plan 66R-18337, with such by-law to expire three months from the date of its enactment, and the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto.”

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

**(21) Prohibition of Left Turns – Bayview Avenue and Foxwarren Drive**

**Moved by:                    Councillor Shiner**

**Seconded by:                Councillor Augimeri**

“**WHEREAS** the construction of the Sheppard Subway, and other associated work, has necessitated the closure of lanes on Bayview Avenue northbound and southbound, to the north and south of Sheppard Avenue East, for an extended period of time; and

**WHEREAS** southbound traffic on Bayview Avenue is currently restricted to one lane; and

**WHEREAS** a high volume of motorists are turning left from southbound Bayview Avenue to eastbound Foxwarren Drive to avoid the intersection of Bayview Avenue and Sheppard Avenue East; and

**WHEREAS** this situation, created by the current construction, is posing a concern for the safety of the residents of Foxwarren Drive;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the recommendations contained in the attached report dated May 31, 2001 from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, to prohibit left turns from southbound Bayview Avenue to eastbound Foxwarren Drive, be adopted.”

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated May 31, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

*“It is recommended that:*

- (1) southbound left-turns be prohibited from Bayview Avenue to Foxwarren Drive, between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday;*
- (2) the District 3 Transportation Services Division report to the first appropriate meeting of the Works Committee in 2002 to assess the need to maintain the southbound left turn restrictions at Bayview Avenue and Foxwarren Drive; and*
- (3) the appropriate by-law(s) be amended accordingly.”*

### **CONDOLENCE MOTIONS**

**(1) Moved by: Councillor Moscoe**

**Seconded by: Mayor Lastman**

**“WHEREAS** the Mayor and Members of City Council are deeply saddened to learn of the passing of Mr. Saul Cowan on May 11, 2001; and

**WHEREAS** Mr. Cowan was a tireless worker for world peace and for better schools, clinics, hospitals, libraries and social services, in the former City of North York; and

**WHEREAS** Mr. Cowan was an active leader in community service for many years and did his best to inspire people to strive for higher objectives, and bring local issues to the attention of public authorities; and

**WHEREAS** Mr. Cowan served as a member of the Metropolitan Toronto School Board for two years and as a member of the Board of Education for North York for twenty years, including one year as its Chairman and two years as its Vice-Chairman; and

**WHEREAS** Mr. Cowan was a member of the first Library Board, the first Family Service Centre, and Chairman of the first Social Planning Council in North York;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to the Cowan family.”

***Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.***

**(2) Moved by: Councillor Shaw**

**Seconded by: Councillor Disero**

**“WHEREAS** the Mayor and Members of Toronto City Council are deeply saddened to learn of the passing of Mrs. Beverly Mascoll at the age of fifty-nine years; and

**WHEREAS** Mrs. Mascoll a well known business leader was President of Mascoll Beauty Supply Ltd., one of Canada's leading companies in the cosmetic industry; and

**WHEREAS** Mrs. Mascoll's distinguished community service spanned thirty years, and she received an Order of Canada in 1998; and

**WHEREAS** Mrs. Mascoll was a member of the Ontario Black History Society, a Director of the Toronto International Film Festival and the Ontario Science Centre; and

**WHEREAS** Mrs. Mascoll is well known for her philanthropy, leadership, mentoring and support to the African Canadian Community of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** Mrs. Mascoll has been recognized by many organizations, including the YWCA, which presented her with a Woman of Distinction award in May of 1993, the Canadian Council of Christians and Jews Human Relations Award in 1992, and the Harry Jerome Award for Outstanding Achievement in Business;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of City Council, an expression of sincere sympathy to the Mascoll family, especially her husband Emerson and her son Eldon."

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted unanimously.*

Toronto, Ontario  
June 7, 2001

Acting City Clerk