

---

## Toronto and East York Community Council

**Meeting No.** 9  
**Meeting Date** Tuesday, October 2, 2007  
**Start Time** 9:30 AM  
**Location** Committee Room 1, City Hall

**Contact** Christine Archibald, Committee Administrator  
**Phone** 416-392-7033  
**E-mail** teycc@toronto.ca

---

| <b>Toronto and East York Community Council</b>   |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| Councillor Sandra Bussin<br>Councillor Janet Davis (Chair)<br>Councillor Paula Fletcher<br>Councillor Adam Giambrone | Councillor Pam McConnell<br>Councillor Joe Mihevc<br>Councillor Case Ootes<br>Councillor Joe Pantalone | Councillor Gord Perks<br>Councillor Kyle Rae<br>Councillor Adam Vaughan (Vice-Chair)<br>Councillor Michael Walker |

**Members of Council and Staff:** Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

**Special Assistance for Members of the Public:** City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call 416-392-7033, TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail: teycc@toronto.ca.

**Closed Meeting Requirements:** If the Toronto and East York Community Council wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately. (City of Toronto Act, 2006)

**Notice to People Writing to the Committee:** The City of Toronto collects any personal information in your communication to Toronto City Council or its committees under the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). Individuals who submit letters and other information should be aware that any personal information in their communication will become part of the public record. The City will make it available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove the personal information.

Direct any questions about this collection to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen St. W. Toronto ON M5H 2N2 (12<sup>th</sup> Floor, West Tower) or by calling 416-392-7033.

### Schedule of Timed Items

10:00 a.m.: Items 1 - 4

10:30 a.m.: Items 5 - 13

11:30 a.m.: Items 14 - 27

**Declaration of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*****Confirmation of Minutes - May 1, 2007 and May 29, 2007.****Speakers/Presentations – A complete list will be distributed at the meeting****Introduction and Enactment of General Bills and Bill to Confirm the Proceedings of Community Council will be last items.**

|       |        |          |  |          |
|-------|--------|----------|--|----------|
| TE9.1 | ACTION | 10:00 AM |  | Ward: 21 |
|-------|--------|----------|--|----------|

**Final Report - Approval of Official Plan Amendment and Draft Plan of Condominium Applications - 2 Ridelle Avenue***Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990*

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that City Council:

1. amend the Official Plan (application No. 07 107384 STE 21 OZ) substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment found in Attachment No. 6;
2. authorize Draft Approval of the Plan of Condominium (application No. 07 107385 STE 21 CD) for 2 Ridelle Avenue, prepared by Wm. E. Bennett on December 19, 2007, and Date Stamped March 22, 2007, subject to the conditions set forth in Attachment No. 7, and authorize the Chief Planner and Executive Director to permit such red line revisions as he may deem appropriate, and that draft plan approval not be issued until the necessary Bill is in full force and effect;
3. require the owner to fulfill the conditions of Draft Approval of Condominium set forth in Attachment No. 7, including the execution and satisfactory registration of any agreements deemed necessary by the City Solicitor, prior to the City's consent for final registration and authorize the City Solicitor to prepare any necessary agreements to secure the conditions, as the City Solicitor deems necessary;
4. authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and Conditions of Draft Approval of Condominium as may be required; and
5. authorize and direct City Officials to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

**Summary**

Applications have been submitted to permit conversion of a 44-unit residential co-ownership building at 2 Ridelle Avenue to condominium.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the applications to amend the Official Plan and recommends approval of the Draft Plan of Condominium, subject to a number of conditions being met.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7076.pdf>)

|       |        |          |  |          |
|-------|--------|----------|--|----------|
| TE9.2 | ACTION | 10:00 AM |  | Ward: 22 |
|-------|--------|----------|--|----------|

## Residential Demolition Application - 609 Avenue Road

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that City Council:

1. Approve the application to demolish the residential building at 609 Avenue Road and instruct the Chief Building Official to issue the demolition permit once a building permit has been issued for a temporary sales office, on the condition that:
  - (a) Prior to the issuance of the demolition permit, the applicant submit a Designated Substance Report, a Dust Control Plan and other information, if any, required for review and approval by the Medical Officer of Health;
  - (b) Prior to the issuance of the demolition permit, the applicant submit a Temporary Landscape Plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, showing the:
    - (i) siting of the sales office
    - (ii) location of temporary parking
    - (iii) temporary landscaping
  - (c) Prior to the issuance of the demolition permit, the applicant submit financial securities in the amount of 120% of the temporary landscaping estimate, as approved by the Director of Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, to guarantee provision of the temporary landscaping on site;
  - (d) The Owner remove all debris and rubble from the site immediately after demolition;
  - (e) The Owner erect a fence in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Deputy Chief Building Official;

- (f) The Owner maintain the site free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code Chapters 632-5 and 629-10, Paragraph B;
- (g) The Owner erect a replacement building on the site not later than three (3) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced;
- (h) The Owner backfill any holes on the property with clean fill; and
- (i) On the Owner's failure to complete the new building within the time specified in Condition 1(g), the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) dollars for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

### Summary

The proposal is to demolish a six-storey equity co-operative apartment building pursuant to Section 33 of the Planning Act. The Ontario Municipal Board issued its decision, on August 16, 2007, to permit the construction of a 16-storey residential building on the site of a vacant six-storey equity co-operative apartment building. The demolition of the existing six-storey building is required to construct the new residential building. Planning staff recommend the approval of the application to demolish the existing building, in advance of a building permit for a replacement building, subject to specified conditions.

### Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7165.pdf>

**(Deferred from June 26, 2007 - 2007.TE7.54)**

|       |        |          |             |
|-------|--------|----------|-------------|
| TE9.3 | ACTION | 10:00 AM | Ward:<br>30 |
|-------|--------|----------|-------------|

### **Public Right-of-Way Improvements to Boston Avenue from Queen Street East to Dundas Street East (Rail Spur)**

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council approve the Comprehensive Plan as shown in Attachments 4a and 4b;
2. City Council direct staff to review development applications adjacent to the west side of Boston Avenue consistent with the recommended Comprehensive Plan discussed in the

report and shown in Attachments 4a and 4b;

3. City Council direct staff to continue to negotiate a publicly accessible pedestrian easement over the Rail Spur, from Queen to Dundas Street East; and
4. City Council authorize staff to make substantially-in-accordance modifications to the approved Comprehensive Plan as may be required, when reviewing development application abutting the rail spur.

### Summary

This report reviews and recommends approval of Right-of-Way Improvements for the west side of Boston Avenue and the adjacent Rail Spur between Dundas Street East and Queen Street East consistent with the attached Comprehensive Plan – Shifting Parking from East Side to the West Side of Boston Avenue (Attachments 4a and 4b). The Comprehensive Plan discussed below provides an opportunity to implement some of the recommendations of the Community Improvement Plan developed by the community, city staff and the local area Councillor in June 2000 (attachment 1). As this area experiences pressures for further conversions of older industrial buildings to live/work permissions, it is important to look at opportunities to improve the existing conditions in terms of parkland and publicly accessible amenity space.

### Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7125.pdf>

|       |        |          |  |          |
|-------|--------|----------|--|----------|
| TE9.4 | ACTION | 10:00 AM |  | Ward: 27 |
|-------|--------|----------|--|----------|

### Deferral of Ontario Realty Corporation Barrier-Free Access Project - Osgoode Hall, 130 Queen Street West

(August 20, 2007) Report from Director Policy and Research, City Planning Division

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owners of the property, Osgoode Hall, at 130 Queen Street West;
2. Council request that the Ontario Realty Corporation defer the approval of the Barrier-Free Access Project Number R40090 for Osgoode Hall, 130 Queen Street West, until such time as provincial standards and guidelines are developed for the provision of barrier-free access to heritage buildings;
3. Council request the Ministry of Community and Social Services to include a representative from Heritage Preservation Services, City Planning Division on the committee struck to develop standards and guidelines for the provision of barrier-free

access to heritage buildings under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act 2005 (AODA).

## Summary

Staff of Heritage Preservation Services, (HPS) have been working with representatives of the Ontario Realty Corporation (ORC) on the introduction of barrier-free access to the front entrance of Osgoode Hall, and consider it premature to proceed with implementation at this time. Provincial standards and guidelines have not been developed for the provision of barrier-free access to heritage buildings. Osgoode Hall, home to the Supreme Court of Ontario and the Law Society of Upper Canada and one of the most significant and beautiful heritage buildings in Canada is a well-known and well-loved heritage landmark in Toronto, located next to City Hall. The ORC plans to proceed this fall with construction of a barrier-free entrance at the front of Osgoode Hall. This alteration will have a serious negative impact on the heritage value of Osgoode and is proceeding in advance of the development of standards and guidelines under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA). HPS is requesting deferral of this project until provincial guidelines are developed. In the meantime HPS will continue working with the ORC to find alternatives, and is recommending that the current accessible entryways to Osgoode be improved.

## Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7150.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Location Map

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7151.pdf>

Attachment 2 - Current Barrier Routes

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7152.pdf>

Attachment 3 - Photos of Osgoode Hall

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7153.pdf>

Attachment 4 - Proposed Plans

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7154.pdf>

Attachment 5 - Elevation and Photo

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7155.pdf>

Attachment 6 - Section Details

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7156.pdf>

## 4a Deferral of Ontario Realty Corporation Barrier-Free Access Project R40090 - Osgoode Hall, 130 Queen Street West, (All Wards) (A)

(September 14, 2007) Letter from Toronto Preservation Board

### Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

1. authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owners of the

property, Osgoode Hall, at 130 Queen Street West;

2. Council request that the Ontario Realty Corporation defer the approval of the Barrier-Free Access Project Number R40090 for Osgoode Hall, 130 Queen Street West, until such time as provincial standards and guidelines are developed for the provision of barrier-free access to heritage buildings; and
3. Council request the Ministry of Community and Social Services to include a representative from Heritage Preservation Services, City Planning Division on the committee struck to develop standards and guidelines for the provision of barrier-free access to heritage buildings under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act 2005 (AODA).

### Summary

For consideration with the report (August 20, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

### Background Information

Letter from Toronto Preservation Board

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7169.pdf>)

|       |        |          |           |          |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.5 | ACTION | 10:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 20 |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

### Sign Variance - 359 Davenport Road

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 359 Davenport Road.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by David Mackie of Titan Outdoor on behalf of 1420110 Ontario Ltd. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign located on the north elevation of the building at 359 Davenport Road.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7034.pdf>**(Deferred from September 10, 2007 - 2007.TE8.17)**

|       |        |          |           |          |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.6 | ACTION | 13:00 AM | Delegated | Ward: 20 |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Sign Variance - 539 King Street West**

(August 1, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the east elevation of the building at 539 King Street West.

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Keith Perkin on behalf of Seniority Investments for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the east elevation of the building at 539 King Street West.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7100.pdf>**Communications**

(September 7, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.6.1)

(September 7, 2007) e-mail from Michael Greco (TE.Main.TE9.6.2)

(September 9, 2007) e-mail from Raj M. Bharati (TE.Main.TE9.6.3)

(September 7, 2007) e-mail from Anna Rytel (TE.Main.TE9.6.4)

|       |        |          |           |          |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.7 | ACTION | 10:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 22 |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Sign Variance - 1982 Yonge Street**

(September 10, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District



## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the front elevation of the building at 1982 Yonge Street.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

To review and make recommendations on a request by Terry Kaufman on behalf of Jaks Construction for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the front elevation of the building at 1982 Yonge Street.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The requested variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7031.pdf>

|       |        |          |           |          |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.8 | ACTION | 10:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 22 |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

## Sign Variance - 1670 Bayview Avenue

(September 5, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 1670 Bayview Avenue.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Leslie Abro of Abcon Media on behalf of Northam Realty Advisors Ltd. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 1670 Bayview Avenue.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7066.pdf>

|       |        |          |           |          |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.9 | ACTION | 10:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 27 |
|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Sign Variance - 481 Church Street**

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the requested variances to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building, at 481 Church Street.

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by David Mackie on behalf of Yoannov Holdings and Lepidas Holdings for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 481 Church Street.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7067.pdf>

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.10 | ACTION | 10:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 27 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Sign Variance - 615-617 Yonge Street**

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 615-617 Yonge Street.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Alex Byod of Titan Worldwide on behalf of Oberon Development Corporation for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 615-617 Yonge Street.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7078.pdf>

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.11 | ACTION | 10:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 27 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

## Sign Variance - 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street)

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, two illuminated ground signs and three illuminated fascia signs at 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street).

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Alex Boyd of Titan Worldwide on behalf of Salvation Army for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, two illuminated ground signs and three illuminated fascia signs at 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street).

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7075.pdf>

**(Deferred from September 10, 2007 - 2007.TE8.14)**

|               |        |          |           |          |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| <b>TE9.12</b> | ACTION | 10:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 30 |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Sign Variance - 11 Sunlight Park Road**

(August 2, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for advertising BMW products, an illuminated tri-vision fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 11 Sunlight Park Road.

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Dominic Rotundo on behalf of Toronto BMW Inc. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for advertising BMW products, an illuminated tri-vision fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 11 Sunlight Park Road. The sign is 18.29m wide and 12.19m high with an area of 222.96m<sup>2</sup>.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7092.pdf>)

**Communications**

(September 7, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.12.1)

(September 7, 2007) e-mail from Michael Greco (TE.Main.TE9.12.2)

(September 9, 2007) e-mail from Raj M. Bharati (TE.Main.TE9.12.3)

(September 14, 2007) e-mail from Anna Rytel (TE.Main.TE9.12.4)

|               |        |          |           |          |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| <b>TE9.13</b> | ACTION | 10:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 27 |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Sign Variance - 819 Yonge Street**

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated

fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 819 Yonge Street.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Alex Byod of Titan Worldwide on behalf of John and Pat Mobilos for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 819 Yonge Street.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7077.pdf>

**(Deferred from September 10, 2007 - 2007.TE8.22)**

|               |        |          |           |          |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| <b>TE9.14</b> | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 20 |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

### Residential Demolition Application - 54 Harbord Street

(August 23, 2007) Report from Acting Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

1. That Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the application to demolish the subject residential building because there is no building permit for a replacement building on the site; in the alternative,
2. Approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings without conditions; or,
3. Approve the application to demolish the subject residential building with the following conditions:
  - (a) Any reasonable conditions which have regard to the nature of the residential property, including the preservation of significant natural features and requiring the erection and maintenance of structures and enclosures.

### Summary

In accordance with city-wide residential demolition control under Article II, Demolition Control, of Municipal Code Ch. 363, as amended by By-law No.1009-2006, enacted by the City Council on September 27, 2006 under the authority of section 33 of the Planning Act, I

refer the demolition application for 54 Harbord Street to you, to whether to grant or refuse the application, including any conditions, if any, to be attached to the permit.

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7101.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7102.pdf>)

## Communications

(September 7, 2007) letter from Susan Dexter, Harbord Village Residents' Association (TE.Main.TE9.14.1)

(September 8, 2007) letter from David Powell, Huron-Sussex Residents' Organization (TE.Main.TE9.14.2)

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.15 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 14 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

## Carport and Fence - 155 Sunnyside Avenue

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approve the request to construct a carport, a portion of which will encroach approximately 1.83 m together with the maintenance of a 1.8 m high close board fence within the public right of way on Garden Avenue flank of 155 Sunnyside Avenue, subject to the owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
  - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
  - b. modify the portion of the fence that abuts the driveway by installing a 45 degree angle splay from a point 1.8 m parallel and perpendicular to the driveway;
  - c. maintain the carport and the board fence at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
  - d. obtain approval for associated work on private property from the Toronto

**Building Division;**

- e. remove the encroachments upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so;
  - f. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to a new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed a request from the owners of 155 Sunnyside Avenue to demolish an existing garage and construct a carport on the Garden Avenue flank, which will encroach approximately 1.83 m onto the public right of way. Of note, during the course of our review, Transportation Services has also determined that a 1.8 m high close board fence has been constructed within the public right of way of Garden Avenue without the benefit of a permit and the requisite encroachment agreement. Of note, the fence has been constructed adjacent to the private driveway entrance.

Given that these encroachments will not impact negatively on the public right of way, providing that the owners modify the existing fence that abuts the driveway entrance by providing a vision splay, Transportation Services recommends approval of the encroachments.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7128.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7129.pdf>

|               |               |          |           |          |
|---------------|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| <b>TE9.16</b> | <b>ACTION</b> | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 22 |
|---------------|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Request for a Fence Exemption - 16 Browside Avenue**

(September 14, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not grant the fence exemption

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to consider a request by the owner(s) of 16 Browside Avenue to be exempted from Chapter 447 – Fences, Section 447-2(B). This section states that the maximum height of a fence in the rear yard cannot exceed 2 metres, (6 feet, 6 inches).

The existing wood/ lattice fence is located in the rear yard of 6 Browside Avenue and consists of 3 sections of fence that are not in compliance with the Bylaw

Section 1 West side: 3.7 metres (12 foot 4 inches) in height and 12.5 metres (41 feet) in length  
 Section 2 North side: 3.4 metres (11 feet) in height and 4.8 metres (16 feet) in length  
 Section 3 South side: 3.4 metres (11 feet) in height and 4.8 metres (16 feet) in length

Photographs have been included in this report (Attachments 1 – 4).

## Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7119.pdf>

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.17 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 22 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

## Request for a Fence Exemption - 6 Clarendon Crescent

(September 14, 2007) Report from Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Municipal Licensing & Standards recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not grant the proposed fence exemption.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The applicant, being the solicitor for the owners of 6 Clarendon Crescent, is seeking approval for a fence exemption to the provisions of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 Fences, Section 447-2(B), to permit a proposed wrought iron metal fence construction to provide security. The proposed construction of the front yard fence consists of three, (3) portions measuring approximately 21.85 metres (71.68 feet) in length along the south property line, xxx along the east property line and xxxx along the west property line on the private property portion of the front yard of 6 Clarendon Crescent. The proposed fence is open construction wrought iron, 2 metres, (6 feet, 6 inches) in height whereas the by-law restricts the maximum height of a front yard fence to 1.2 metres (4 feet). Attachment 1 shows an artists rendering of the proposed fence, Attachment 2 shows photographs of neighbouring properties with similar fences as proposed for 6 Clarendon Crescent which is shown in Attachment 3 pictures.



## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7099.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7180.pdf>)

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.18 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 28 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

### Request for a fence exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447-Fences at 55 Harbour Square

(September 17, 2007) Report from Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Municipal Licensing & Standards recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not grant the fence exemption.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The applicant, being the Landscape Architect for the condominium complex at 55 Harbour Square is seeking exemption to the provisions of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 Fences, Section 447-2(B), to permit the construction of two, (2) pillars as an architectural treatment which will provide stability to a proposed fence construction. Both pillars, pillar 'A' on the on the west side of the driveway entrance and pillar 'B' on the east side of the driveway entrance are wrought iron of open construction as shown in attachments 1a, b & c & 2a, b & c. Attachment 3. provides photographs of the proposed site of the fence and pillars.

The Fence by-law provides that an open fence construction within 8 feet, (2.4 metres) of the front lot line not exceed a height of 4 feet, (1.2 metres). The proposed pillars are set back 6 feet 6 inches, (1.98 metres) from the front lot line which abuts the public sidewalk and exemption is being requested to permit them to be 6 feet, (1.83 metres) in height. The remainder of the proposed fence is in accordance with the by-law provisions.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7146.pdf>)

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.19 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 30 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

### Maintenance of a Shed, Privacy Fence & Retaining Wall - 73 Monarch Park Avenue

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation

Services Toronto and East York District

## **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approve the maintenance of the storage shed and wooden privacy fence and concrete block retaining wall on the Mountjoy Avenue flank of 73 Monarch Park Avenue, subject to the owner providing a vision splay adjacent to the driveway on the Mountjoy Avenue flank and entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
  - a. maintain the storage shed and privacy fence and retaining wall at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
  - b. remove the storage shed, privacy fence and retaining wall upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
  - c. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

## **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 73 Monarch Park Avenue for the maintenance of a wooden privacy fence surmounted on a concrete block retaining wall measuring an overall height of 2.4 m to 2.5 m together with a storage shed within portions of the public right of way on the Mountjoy Avenue flank of 73 Monarch Park Avenue.

Although the fence and retaining wall exceed the allowable height under the Municipal Code, they do not impact negatively on the public right of way, subject to the property owner modifies the fence adjacent to the private driveway servicing 73 Monarch Park Avenue by providing a vision splay. Therefore, Transportation Services recommends approval of the fence and block wall together with the storage shed.

The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

## **Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7062.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7063.pdf>

**(Deferred from September 10, 2007 - 2007.TE8.32)**

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.20 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 14 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Driveway Widening Appeal for a Second Vehicle - 93 Tyndall Avenue**

(August 16, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 93 Tyndall Avenue.

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 93 Tyndall Avenue for the parking of a second vehicle at this location. We do not recommend approval for the parking of a second vehicle at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7110.pdf>

Appendix A - sketch

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7111.pdf>

Appendix B - property data map

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7112.pdf>

Appendix C - photos

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7113.pdf>

**(Deferred from September 10, 2007 - 2007.TE8.31)**

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.21 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 14 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Driveway Widening Appeal for a Second Vehicle - 95 Tyndall Avenue**

(August 16, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 95 Tyndall Avenue.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 95 Tyndall Avenue for the parking of a second vehicle at this location. We do not recommend approval for the parking of a second vehicle at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

## Background Information

Appendix A - sketch

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7106.pdf>

Appendix B - property data map

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7107.pdf>

Appendix C - photos

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7108.pdf>

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7109.pdf>

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.22 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 14 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

## Front Yard Parking Poll Results - 100 Glendale Avenue

(September 10, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for front yard parking at 100 Glendale Avenue.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services reports that the results of the poll to determine support for front yard parking at 100 Glendale Avenue are not in favour. We do not recommend approval of the front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

## Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7045.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7046.pdf>

Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7047.pdf>

Appendix C

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7048.pdf>

Appendix D

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7049.pdf>

**(Deferred from September 10, 2007 - 2007.TE8.37)**

|               |        |          |           |          |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| <b>TE9.23</b> | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 21 |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

### **Driveway Widening Appeal - 10 Glenayr Road**

(August 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for driveway widening at 10 Glenayr Road;
2. request that the owner:
  - a. remove the excess brick paving and restore the boulevard to soft landscaping, as shown on Appendix 'D'; and
  - b. install a permanent physical barrier to separate the driveway and the walkway;
3. request that the owner pay \$406.44 for the removal of the unauthorized ramp and reinstate the proper curb.

#### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 10 Glenayr Road for driveway widening. We do not recommend approval of driveway widening at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

#### **Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7120.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7121.pdf>

Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7123.pdf>

Appendix C

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7122.pdf>

Appendix D

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7124.pdf>

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.24 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 22 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

### **Asphalt Paving Appeal - 55 Duncannon Drive**

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request to maintain the existing asphalt paving for the approved driveway widening application at 55 Duncannon Drive; and
2. request that the owner remove the existing asphalt paving and repave the area using semi-permeable materials.

#### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 55 Duncannon Drive to maintain the existing asphalt paving. We do not recommend approval to maintain the existing asphalt paving at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

#### **Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7051.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7052.pdf>

Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7053.pdf>

Appendix C

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7054.pdf>

Appendix D

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7055.pdf>

**(Deferred from September 10, 2007 - 2007.TE8.35)**

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.25 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 22 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Front Yard Parking Appeal - 82 Duggan Avenue**

(August 23, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for front yard parking at 82 Duggan Avenue; and
2. request that the owner remove the existing paving and restore the area to soft landscaping.

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 82 Duggan Avenue for front yard parking. We do not recommend approval for front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7115.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7114.pdf>

Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7116.pdf>

Appendix C

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7117.pdf>

Appendix D

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7118.pdf>

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.26 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 22 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

**Driveway Widening Appeal for a Second Vehicle -107 Soudan Avenue**

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 107 Soudan Avenue.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 107 Soudan Avenue for the parking of a second vehicle at this location. We do not recommend approval for the parking of a second vehicle at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7056.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7057.pdf>)

Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7058.pdf>)

Appendix C

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7059.pdf>)

Appendix D

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7060.pdf>)

**(Deferred from September 10, 2007 - 2007.TE8.26)**

|        |        |          |           |          |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| TE9.27 | ACTION | 11:30 AM | Delegated | Ward: 31 |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|

## Revocation of a Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit located at the East Side of Coxwell Avenue, 20.12m north of Cosburn Avenue

(August 23, 2007) Report from Manager, Licensing Services, Municipal Licensing & Standards

## Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that the Toronto and East York Community Council to revoke the existing vending permit location #200125.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Toronto East York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. To report on a directive from Toronto and East York Community Council at its meeting held on June 26, 2007 that the Manager, Licensing Services, Municipal Licensing and Standards issue a permit for the existing vending location until such time as an alternative location is found.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7104.pdf>)

Attachment - Letter from Municipal Licensing and Standards, Licensing Services and Refusal



Letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7105.pdf>)

|        |        |  |  |          |
|--------|--------|--|--|----------|
| TE9.28 | ACTION |  |  | Ward: 32 |
|--------|--------|--|--|----------|

**Preliminary Report - Zoning and Site Plan Applications - 763-765  
Woodbine Avenue & 1945-1955 Gerrard Street East**

(September 7, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

**Summary**

This application was made on or after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes to construct a 7 storey mixed use building containing 36 residential units with commercial units at grade at 763-765 Woodbine Avenue and 1945-1955 Gerrard Street East.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted applications and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

This application has been circulated to City departments and external agencies, where appropriate, for comment. Staff will hold a community consultation meeting in the fall of 2007. The target date assumes that applicant will provide all required information in a timely manner.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7088.pdf>)

|               |        |  |  |          |
|---------------|--------|--|--|----------|
| <b>TE9.29</b> | ACTION |  |  | Ward: 28 |
|---------------|--------|--|--|----------|

## **Request to amend Section 37 Agreement - 38 – 40 The Esplanade and 1 Scott Street**

(September 17, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Subject to Recommendation 2 of this report, City Council authorize the revisions to the Section 37 Agreement for 38-40 The Esplanade and 1 Scott Street, which will permit the required weather protection to be discontinuous in three locations and to have a depth reduced to 2.5 metres and reduced to 1.5 metres within 13 metres of the east property line.
2. The Section 37 Agreement be revised as set in Recommendation 1 only on condition that:
  - (a) the Committee of Adjustment grants minor variances to permit a reduced width of weather protection and interruptions in the weather protection; and
  - (b) the applicant submit revised Site Plan drawings showing the revisions satisfactory to the Chief Planner;
3. City Council direct the City Solicitor to prepare revisions to the Section 37 Agreement necessary to implement the changes set out in Recommendation 1; and
4. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### **Summary**

This report reviews a request by the owner of 38-40 The Esplanade and 1 Scott Street to amend the Section 37 Agreement registered against the title of the property. The requested amendment would permit the width of weather protection canopies required over the sidewalk to be reduced. The purpose of the reduction relates to structural issues raised by the public art plan for the development. The agreement would only be revised if the Committee of Adjustment approves required minor variances.

### **Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7134.pdf>)

|        |        |  |           |          |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|
| TE9.30 | ACTION |  | Delegated | Ward: 22 |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|

## Maintenance of an Existing Identification Sign - 619 and 625 Avenue Road

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto East York Community Council:

1. approve the maintenance of the identification sign within the public right of way fronting 619 and 625 Avenue Road, subject to the property owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
  - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in an amount not less than \$2,000,000 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
  - b. maintain the identification sign at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the agreement;
  - c. remove the identification sign upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
  - d. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed a request from Kenair Apartments Limited and TSCC 1516, the condominium located at 619 and 625 Avenue Road, known as "The Lonsdale", to maintain an existing sign fronting the property that encroaches within the public right of way.

Given that the sign does not impact negatively on the public right of way, Transportation

Services recommends approval of the maintenance of the identification sign.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7126.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7127.pdf>)

|        |        |  |  |             |
|--------|--------|--|--|-------------|
| TE9.31 | ACTION |  |  | Ward:<br>28 |
|--------|--------|--|--|-------------|

### **Application to remove the Holding Symbol (H) from the Zoning By-law and Application for Residential Demolition - Part of 620 Dundas Street East (230 Sumach Street, 202-208 Sumach Street, and 638-644 Dundas Street East) Regent Park Revitalization**

(September 17, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Zoning By-law to remove the Holding Symbol (H) from the lands shown on the map attached to this report as Attachment 1;
2. City Council approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings with the following conditions, notwithstanding that there is no building permit for a replacement buildings on the site:
  - (a) prior to the issuance of demolition permits for any buildings on the site, TCHC shall obtain the Provincial consent for the sale or lease of land as required under the Social Housing Reform Act;
  - (b) the removal, handling and disposal of all hazardous materials including but not limited to asbestos, lead, mercury, silica and PCBs shall be conducted in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment and the Ministry of Labour regulations and guidelines;
  - (c) the removal of ozone-depleting substances, if encountered, shall be performed by a licensed contractor in compliance with the Ministry of the Environment regulations;
  - (d) the owner shall ensure the implementation of the demolition and excavation dust control measures approved by the Medical Officer of Health;
  - (e) the owner shall not remove privately owned trees until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities commence which warrant the destruction of trees;

- (f) the owner shall install tree protection hoarding for tree nos. 216, 217, 218, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 228, 229, 244, and 245, as inventoried in the arborist report prepared by Bruce Tree Expert Company Ltd., dated August 9, 2007, in accordance with the tree protection zone listed in the above-noted arborist report, prior to the commencement of any on-site construction and/or demolition activity;
  - (g) the owner shall erect a construction fence in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
  - (h) all debris and rubble shall be removed from the site immediately after demolition;
  - (i) the site shall be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code 632-5 and 629-10, Paragraph B; and
  - (j) any holes on the property shall be back-filled with clean fill;
3. City Council advise TCHC that under the terms of their fee deferral, the private tree by-law application fees must be paid once the final proportion of the development to be comprised of non-profit housing is determined.

### **Financial Impact**

Removal of the Holding Symbol from the Zoning By-law enables TCHC to develop the site under previously approved zoning standards. It does not force or obligate the owner to proceed with development. Therefore, the recommendations of this report have no direct financial implications.

The intended development on the land is an indoor swimming pool to be constructed and operated by the City. The swimming pool is included in the approved 5-Year Capital Plan for Parks, Forestry and Recreation and is within existing targets.

### **Summary**

This application was made after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes to remove the Holding Symbol (H) from the Zoning By-law for a parcel of land in Regent Park. The parcel is intended to be conveyed by TCHC to the City for construction of an indoor swimming pool that will be incorporated into the future park in the neighbourhood. TCHC has also applied to demolish the three residential buildings that exist on the parcel, known as 230 Sumach Street, 202-208 Sumach Street, and 638-644 Dundas Street East.

The proposal is consistent with the previous planning approvals for the Regent Park redevelopment and is part of the implementation of a major community facility in the neighbourhood.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7083.pdf>)

|        |        |  |           |          |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|
| TE9.32 | ACTION |  | Delegated | Ward: 22 |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|

### Sign Variance - 255 Spadina Road

(September 11, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the requested variances to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign on the southwest corner of the property at 255 Spadina Road with a condition that the sign be turned off between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. by means of an automated timing device and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Chris Gonzaga on behalf of City of Toronto, for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign on the southwest corner of the property at 255 Spadina Road. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7087.pdf>)

|        |        |  |  |          |
|--------|--------|--|--|----------|
| TE9.33 | ACTION |  |  | Ward: 27 |
|--------|--------|--|--|----------|

### Sign Variance - 95A Bloor Street West

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council approve the request for variances to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated projecting sign on the north elevation of the building at 95A Bloor Street West; and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

## Summary

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by David Adam, with Zip Signs Ltd., on behalf of Jacob Developments Ltd. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and from former Metropolitan Toronto By-law No. 118 to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated projecting sign to represent "Far Coast" on the north elevation of the building, at 95A Bloor Street West. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7086.pdf>

|        |        |  |           |          |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|
| TE9.34 | ACTION |  | Delegated | Ward: 19 |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|

## Traffic Calming - Carling Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Leeds Street

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The Transportation Services Division recommends that traffic-calming devices not be installed on Carling Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Leeds Street.

## Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Community Council decides speed humps on Carling Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. Transportation Services has been allocated \$695,000.00 in its 2007 Capital budget for traffic calming initiatives and installing speed humps on Carling Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic calming devices to reduce the operating speed of Carling Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Leeds Street.

The operating speed of the street is already below a level which would benefit from installing traffic-calming devices. Therefore, Transportation Services staff should not install speed humps on Carling Avenue.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7135.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7136.pdf>)

Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7137.pdf>)

|        |        |  |           |          |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|
| TE9.35 | ACTION |  | Delegated | Ward: 30 |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|

## Results of the Speed Hump Poll - Larchmount Avenue, Between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic calming (speed humps) not be installed on Larchmount Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East.

## Financial Impact

Adopting the above-noted recommendation carries no financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides to approve installing speed humps on Larchmount Avenue, the following financial impact will result:

1. Larchmount Avenue is \$15,000.00. Funds are contained in the Transportation Services 2007 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. The installation of these speed humps would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report summarizes the results of the traffic calming poll undertaken on Larchmount Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East. The poll results showed less than the



required “50 percent plus one” ballot return rate. Installation of speed humps should not proceed on Larchmount Avenue as there is no clear indication that residents support this proposal.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7142.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7143.pdf>)

Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7144.pdf>)

|        |        |  |           |          |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|
| TE9.36 | ACTION |  | Delegated | Ward: 32 |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|

### Traffic Calming - Benlamond Avenue, between Glenoak Drive and Main Street

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic calming not be installed on Benlamond Avenue, between Glenoak Drive and Main Street.

### Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Benlamond Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. Funds in the amount of \$695,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2007 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. Installing speed humps on Benlamond Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic calming to address residents’ concerns with the speed of traffic on Benlamond Avenue, between Glenoak Drive and Main Street.

Our assessment indicates the speeds and traffic volumes on the street are too low to justify traffic calming. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Benlamond Avenue at this time.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7138.pdf>)

## Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7139.pdf>)

## Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7140.pdf>)

## Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7141.pdf>)

|        |        |  |           |          |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|
| TE9.37 | ACTION |  | Delegated | Ward: 32 |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|

## Traffic-Calming - Columbine Avenue, between Kingston Road and Woodbine Avenue

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic-calming not be installed on Columbine Avenue, between Kingston Road and Woodbine Avenue.

### Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Columbine Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. Installing speed humps on Columbine Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic-calming to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic on Columbine Avenue, between Kingston Road and Woodbine Avenue.

Our assessment indicates the speeds on the street are already below a level which would benefit from traffic calming. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Columbine Avenue at this time.

### Background Information

#### Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7130.pdf>)

#### Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7131.pdf>)

#### Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7132.pdf>)

#### Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7133.pdf>)

|               |               |  |           |              |
|---------------|---------------|--|-----------|--------------|
| <b>TE9.38</b> | <b>ACTION</b> |  | Delegated | Ward: 14, 19 |
|---------------|---------------|--|-----------|--------------|

### **Installation of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities – September 2007**

(September 17, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council approve the installation of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities at the locations identified in the attached Appendix A.

#### **Financial Impact**

| <b>Type of funding</b>          | <b>Source of funds</b>                                 | <b>Amount</b> |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------|
| Available within current budget | Transportation Services Division 2007 Operating Budget | \$600.00      |

#### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose is to obtain approval for the installation of a number of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.

#### **Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7145.pdf>)

|               |               |  |           |          |
|---------------|---------------|--|-----------|----------|
| <b>TE9.39</b> | <b>ACTION</b> |  | Delegated | Ward: 27 |
|---------------|---------------|--|-----------|----------|

### **Encroaching Decorative Lights - 1–11 St. Thomas Street**

(September 18, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approve the installation of 4 decorative pedestrian light standards on St. Thomas Street and 6 decorative pedestrian light standards on Charles Street West flank within the public right of way, together with decorative lights attached to the building wall over the main entrances to the building on Thomas Street and Charles Street West, subject to the owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:

- a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in the amount not less than \$2,000,000 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
  - b. maintain the encroachments at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the decorative light standards or decorative lights beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
  - c. obtain approval for the wall mounted lights from the Toronto Building Division;
  - d. pay for the costs of preparing and registration of the agreement on title; and
  - e. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City;
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachments, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
  3. request Legal Services to prepare, execute and arrange to register the Encroachment Agreement on title.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed a request from the agent of the owner of 1-11 St. Thomas Street for permission to install 4 decorative pedestrian light standards on St. Thomas Street and 6 decorative pedestrian light standards on the Charles Street West flank within the public right of way. In addition, the applicant is seeking permission to maintain existing decorative lights attached to the building wall over the main entrances to the building on St. Thomas Street and the Charles Street West which will encroach over the public right of way anywhere from 0.22 m to 0.23 m.

Given that the encroachments will not impact negatively on the public right of way, Transportation Services recommends approval of the encroachments.

### **Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7095.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7096.pdf>

|        |        |  |  |             |
|--------|--------|--|--|-------------|
| TE9.40 | ACTION |  |  | Ward:<br>28 |
|--------|--------|--|--|-------------|

**Toronto Waterfront Revitalization – West Don Lands Precinct – Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Leasing of a Portion of the Un-named Link Road West of Old Brewery Lane, extending between River Street and Bayview Avenue**

(August 14, 2007) Report from General Manager, Transportation Services and Chief Corporate Officer

**Recommendations**

The General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Corporate Officer recommend that Toronto City Council:

1. Permanently close the portion of the un-named Link Road, shown as Part 1 on the attached Sketch No. PS-2006-027a (the “Link Road”) as public highway, upon construction, conveyance to the City and dedication of the new Bayview Avenue extension, subject to compliance with the requirements of City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 162;
2. Direct Transportation Services staff to give notice of a proposed by-law to close the Link Road in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 162 of City of Toronto Municipal Code, with the Toronto and East York Community Council to hear any member of the public who wishes to speak to the matter;
3. Declare the Link Road surplus to the City’s requirements subject to the retention of a permanent easement in favour of the City for the protection and maintenance of an existing 1650 mm storm sewer, 150 mm water main, 375 mm combined sewer, a 300 mm combined sewer, and that all steps be taken to comply with the City’s real estate disposal process as set out in Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code;
4. Direct Ontario Realty Corporation (“ORC”), as agent for Her Majesty the Queen Right of Ontario (the “Province/Tenant”) and/or Waterfront Toronto (“WT”), to pay the cost to remove the existing street lighting infrastructure of Toronto Hydro located within the Link Road, with the cost to be determined prior to removal;
5. Direct ORC or WT to pay Toronto Hydro directly and provide the City Solicitor with written confirmation from Toronto Hydro that the cost to remove the street lighting infrastructure has been paid, prior to posting the Public Notice on the City’s web site;
6. Authorize the Chief Corporate Officer to negotiate with Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (“TRCA”) for the possible disposal of all or part of the Link Road;
7. Authorize the City to enter into a lease agreement to lease the Link Road, once closed, to ORC, as the Province/Tenant, for nominal rent, on the terms and conditions set out in Appendix “A” of this report, and that the lease agreement be in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;

8. Authorize the Chief Corporate Officer to administer and manage the lease agreement, including the provision of any consents, approvals, notices and notices of termination, provided the Chief Corporate Officer may, at any time, refer consideration of such matters (including their content) to City Council for its determination and direction; and
9. Authorize the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in City Council of any bills necessary to give effect thereto.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications arising from this report. However, lands for new streets, parks and infrastructure will eventually be conveyed or dedicated to the City for nominal consideration, as part of the subdivision approval process for the West Don Lands Precinct. The granting of leases, for nominal rent, is in keeping with the principles set out in the report entitled "Governance Structure for Toronto Waterfront Revitalization" approved by City Council in 2004, whereby access to public funds is to be made available on an incremental basis to facilitate implementation of, among other things, the trigovernment approved West Don Lands Precinct revitalization plan.

### Summary

The General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Corporate Officer request that the portion of the un-named link road, west of Old Brewery Lane to River Street, be closed and be declared surplus to the City's requirements. In addition, the Chief Corporate Officer is also requesting authorization to lease the un-named link road to Ontario Realty Corporation as agent for Her Majesty the Queen Right of Ontario.

### Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7082.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7103.pdf>

|        |        |  |           |          |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|
| TE9.41 | ACTION |  | Delegated | Ward: 29 |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|

### "No U-Turn" regulation - Durant Avenue

(September 18, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council prohibit U-turns at all times by northbound and southbound vehicles on Durant Avenue, between Plains Road and Cosburn Avenue.

**Financial Impact**

| Type of funding                 | Source of funds                                       | Amount   |
|---------------------------------|---|----------|
| Available within current budget | Toronto Transportation Services 2007 operating budget | \$500.00 |

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services is requesting Community Council's authority to prohibit U-turns on Durant Avenue, between Plains Road and Cosburn Avenue in front of Cosburn Middle School. The investigation indicates drivers making U-turns on this street create a safety hazard for children walking to/from the school. Transportation Services is requesting Community Council's authority to prohibit U-turns on this section of the street.

**Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7064.pdf>)

Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7065.pdf>)

|        |        |  |           |          |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|
| TE9.42 | ACTION |  | Delegated | Ward: 20 |
|--------|--------|--|-----------|----------|

**Appointments to Scadding Court Community Centre**

(September 10, 2007) Letter from Kevin Lee, Scadding Court Community Centre

**Recommendations**

The Toronto and East York Community Council appoint the following persons to the Scadding Court Community Centre's Board of Management:

Jim Montgomery  
 Julie Gibson  
 Raymond Coburn  
 Doug Lowry  
 Gloria Zhang  
 Carmen McGee

**Summary**

Communication (September 10, 2007) from Kevin Lee, Executive Director, Scadding Court Community Centre submitting names of Appointees.

**Background Information**

Letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7162.pdf>)

|        |        |  |  |          |
|--------|--------|--|--|----------|
| TE9.43 | ACTION |  |  | Ward: 31 |
|--------|--------|--|--|----------|

### **Appointments - Crescent Town Club Inc.**

(August 23, 2007) Letter from Butch Windsor, Crescent Town Club Inc.

#### **Recommendations**

That City Council submit to the Annual General Meeting of Crescent Town Club Inc. on October 25, 2007, a nominee for the expiring term of Councillor Davis for a three year term to the Board of Directors.

#### **Summary**

Requesting that City Council submit a City Councillor nominee to the Board of Directors appoint Members to the Crescent Town Club Inc. The City Clerk will be canvassing members of Council for their choice in this appointment, and the results will be submitted to the October 2, 2007 meeting of the Toronto and East York Community Council.

#### **Background Information**

Letter

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7089.pdf>

|        |        |  |  |  |
|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| TE9.44 | ACTION |  |  |  |
|--------|--------|--|--|--|

### **Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes**

#### **Summary**

Seeking Council's endorsement of these events for liquor licensing purposes.

### **44a Royal Agricultural Winter Fair**

(July 25 ,2007) from the Royal Agricultural Winter Fair

#### **Recommendations**

Recommending that the Royal Agricultural Winter Fair be declared an event of municipal significance.

#### **Summary**

Seeking Council's endorsement of this event as a Significant Event

#### **Communications**

(July 25, 2007) letter from The Royal Agricultural Winter Fair (TE.Main)



## 44b The Fringe Festival

(September 18, 2007) Letter from Chuck McEwen, Fringe of Toronto Theatre Festival

### Recommendations

That the Fringe of Toronto Theatre Festival taking place at The Factory Theatre from January 2 to 13, 2008, for liquor licence purposes, be declared an event of municipal significance for liquor license purposes and advise the AGCO that there is no objection to this event taking place, or to the sale and service of alcohol on an outside patio from 6:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight on weeknights, and 2:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight on weekends for the duration of the event.

### Summary

Seeking Council's endorsement of this event for liquor license purposes.

### Communications

(September 18, 2007) letter from Chuck McEwen, Fringe of Toronto Theatre Festival (TE.Main)  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/comm/communicationfile-3603.pdf>

## 44c Spirit of Edmonton Grey Cup Hospitality Committee

(September 11, 2007) Letter from The Spirit of Edmonton

### Recommendations

That The Spirit of Edmonton taking place at the Sheraton Centre be declared an event of municipal significance. .

### Summary

Seeking Council's endorsement of this event as a Community Festival or Significant Event A

### Communications

(September 11, 2007) letter from The Spirit of Edmonton (TE.Main)

|           |        |  |           |  |
|-----------|--------|--|-----------|--|
| TE9.Bills | ACTION |  | Delegated |  |
|-----------|--------|--|-----------|--|

**General Bills**  
**Confirmatory Bills**